1	
2	
3	
4	
5	VISTA AIRFIELD
6	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
7	
8	PUBLIC COMMENTS
9	Tri-Cities Visitor & Convention Bureau
10	7130 West Grandridge Boulevard Kennewick, Washington 99336
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	January 24, 2013
16	7:00 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	BRIDGES REPORTING & LEGAL VIDEO Certified Shorthand Reporters
24	1030 North Center Parkway
25	Kennewick, Washington 99336 (509) 735-2400 - (800) 358-2345
	1

PROCEEDINGS

3 MR. MEHAFFY: So welcome to you all.

This is a public hearing, and I'll explain a little bit to you about how this is going to work.

We've got a stenographer who is going to record your comments as you come forward. I'll call your name.

The way it's going to work is you'll come forward, and I'll know your name, I'll ask you for your name ahead of time and I'll read it off the list, two names -- the person who is going to speak and the person who is going to speak after them -- so you'll know and we'll be able to move quickly.

Before we begin, we would like to start with the Pledge of Allegiance, as all the Port meetings do.

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

MR. MEHAFFY: Okay, so as I said, tonight we're here to get your oral comments on the report, and really on any other aspect of the project that you want to go on the record. And I want to stress that this is one of a number of opportunities for you to comment on the record.

And this is not a debate tonight. It's a formal hearing giving you the opportunity to get your

comments on the record. Everybody's comments, as I said, 1 2 will be recorded by the stenographer and the transcript 3 will appear in the final report, along with a response. We will take into account the evidence that 4 5 you present in all of the comments and any modifications that we deem are necessary, according to the evidence 6 7 presented. 8 So, again, it's very important for everyone 9 to speak in turn and not interrupt anyone else. If you 10 are not already signed up, everybody is signed up, I assume, who wants to speak? Good. Good. 11 12 So I think what we'll do is allocate about 13 five minutes for everybody, Larry, I think --MR. PETERSON: That should be fine, sir. 14 15 MR. MEHAFFY: -- we'll be in good shape. 16 So what we'll do, if I run out of time, if I cut you short, we'll have the opportunity for you to come back at 17 the end and we'll have some additional time. But what 18 we'll do is, I'll hold this up, give you a warning of one 19 20 minute, and then after that, I'll tell you that the time 21 is up. 22 So, again, I want to emphasize that this is 23 only one of the opportunities for comment, and you may 24 also submit your comments directly to the Port in writing or in e-mail, and here is the e-mail address and the 25 3 Port's address. And note that all comments are due by 5 p.m. on Monday, February the 11th.

Again, regarding the subject matter, you're welcome to comment specifically on the draft conclusions

-- I'm going to talk about those in just a moment, run through those -- on the methodology that we used on other parts of the report and really on anything that you feel is germane to the study and to this issue.

And I would ask you not to bring in irrelevant issues. I'm not going to interrupt anyone, you're free to say what you want to say, but I would only ask you to use your good judgment. Keep your remarks focused, please, and avoid personal attacks on others.

So what I'm going to do now is briefly describe the general process that we're following with this report, which has very specific requirements to comply with the law and to be fair to all parties.

So I think as most of you know, the draft study and the EIS was released the Thursday before last, January the 10th, and we're now in a 30-day comment period.

We've made major announcements of this in the media and through direct contact with affected parties.

Again, we've published the e-mail address, as you've seen, where interested parties may send their comments,

as well as, of course, the Port's mailing address and, of course, we have the formal hearing tonight, which is required as part of this process.

At the end of this 30-day period, as I mentioned, we'll complete the final report, it will go online and there will be announcements of that in the media, and the Port will also provide notice of the availability of the final report and the EIS to anyone who comments either tonight or in writing, as long as you give your contact information and indicate to us that you want to be notified.

As I think we're all aware, this study looks at the impacts of three options: keeping and expanding Vista Field or, alternatively, closing and redeveloping Vista Field; and it compares those to a "no action" alternative, as required by the State Environmental Policy Act.

And this has been a very formal process governed by the structure of the SEPA process, and as many of you know, we've done a lot of public outreach and public involvement to give the members of the community an opportunity to present all of their information and their ideas.

And there's been, of course, a great deal of fact-finding research and investigation that we've done,

with the assistance of some leading experts, agency officials, and sources of data that are available for all the issues that we looked into.

I would like to just stress, again, the impartiality of this team, and what I do believe is the fairness of this process. I know it's been controversial. We were, as you, many of you know, we were selected by a panel that included not just the Port of Kennewick, but representatives, other representatives of local governments, stakeholders, and members of the public.

And joining us on our team are some of the top regional and national experts in aviation, economics, environmental engineering and other disciplines. And as I've said before, none of us is from, or has previous ties to, the Tri-Cities.

As we've said repeatedly, our professional reputation rests on our integrity as honest brokers who will call them as we see them.

So the goal of the public process has been to bring together the key experts with the key stakeholders to identify the best possible case for each of the two scenarios, plus the "no action," which is self-defined, and not for us, as consultants, to make that choice, but to help the Port and the community to make that choice,

based upon the best information of the alternatives that are available.

And so our first job was to research the issues and work with stakeholders to understand the concerns, that's called a scoping process. We started off with a scoping meeting in this room, back on October the 4th, where we asked key questions and discussed the issues with members of the public, followed by breakout issues on economics, aviation, urban planning, environmental issues, and others.

We met with key stakeholders individually, including City of Kennewick, as you see here, surrounding business owners, the pilot community, Kennewick Irrigation District, public facilities board, Convention and Visitors Bureau, state agencies, tribal leaders, and quite a few others. And, of course, we met with members of the public, including people who support the airport and people who oppose the continued operation of the airport.

And we had intensive media outreach, as you know, including a number of articles in the newspaper, advertisements, telephone interviews, and other ways of getting the word out that this is a public process, a transparent process, and as this KNDO news report put it, "now's your chance to tell the Port of Kennewick how

you'd like to see Vista Field in the future."

So we've really made an effort to explain this choice to the public to help the community to understand the issues and to get involved in exploring the alternatives.

And, just briefly, I'll run through some of those issues for those of you who haven't seen it. We asked in the first scoping meeting, what is the baseline of activities, the current positives and negatives, since there's been some controversy about that.

Second, we wanted to look at the optimum alternatives for expanding the airport and/or associated development. And then if it's decided not to keep the airport open, we want to look at the optimum alternatives for a phased closure and the impacts from that.

And then, fourth, we want to know the alternatives, how they actually compare on a number of different issues, and cost is one of those, only one of those. There's feasibility issues, there's other kinds of impacts that have to be weighed as well, and the community has to make those choices.

And, finally, perhaps most important in the long run, we want to know how we can help to move beyond a rearview analysis and understand the real opportunities that are here for the region and for the Port, in

particular, how this site can play a role in that, in things like economic development, job growth, fiscal responsibility, quality of life issues, and what we hope are, certainly our goal throughout this process has been to have a shared positive vision of the opportunity ahead coming out of the current period of controversy.

And I do want to emphasize to you, I know there has been some continued debate and difference, strong difference of opinion about the future of the airport, in particular, but I do want to emphasize the positive vision that has come out of this process that has been shared, frankly.

And I find that very encouraging, that there are people who, regardless of whether the airport continues or does not continue, do believe there's an opportunity for more mixed use, vibrant, walkable synergies between different businesses and all the other things.

And so in spite of the cost of this study, which there's been some frustration about, I understand, I think one positive outcome of this process has been an opportunity to think, in the bigger picture, about what the opportunities are for the region and for the Port, in particular.

And so I hope that this has begun or helped

to facilitate a kind of civic conversation about that to clarify some of those issues, regardless of what the particular decision is on the airport.

So before I run through the key conclusions of the report, I want to briefly show here some of the drawings that emerged from this charrette process, which I think there's some terrific stuff, and I've heard some feedback from the members of the community thinking that as well, that folks did want a better quality of development, more amenities, more mixed use, something that would help to attract dynamic new businesses and their employees to the Tri-Cities.

Many people spoke about wanting to have that and having distinctive amenities that set the community apart, and I think we can say, with hand on heart, that both of the alternatives would provide those things.

And so the expansion alternative was this -again, just a couple of slides I'm going to run through
here from the charrette process -- where there was a
cycle of workshops and breakouts and particular topics
that were looked at, experts who looked at technical
briefings and evaluations of various issues and then
refining the drawings and producing those.

And the airport option was, we call it the mini-aerotropolis. There's a concept known as an

aerotropolis, which provides synergies between an airfield and the surrounding businesses.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the interesting thing is that you have already a number of those surrounding the current Vista Field. So that looked like a very intriguing opportunity coming out of the charrette to go ahead with an FBO facility that is a very high quality, permanent facility, that shows the commitment to the airport and caters to a wider community in a much more aggressive kind of way, providing other amenities to establish an iconic identity -- landscaping, artwork, signage, a vineyard theme -which was a nice idea, I think as well, kind of tying into some of the regional marketing concepts -additional off-site hangers with gates, and a framework for mixed-use development around the airport, including new streets, an axial connection to the entertainment district, synergies with existing businesses, and so on, as we talked about.

So this is a pattern language concept that the Port has been using for its previous project and one that we developed in the workshop.

Some of this material is in the Appendix C, as well as in the Section 2 of the report, some of the elements that came out of the previous studies as well as the current study.

The terminal and FBO, something that is really, expresses permanence, amenities, esthetics that tie into the regional characteristics, mixed use around the airport.

Looking at some precedents, there's one in Scottsdale, Arizona that we studied, and so there's the concept of where our terminal could go, the activated edge, the new hangers and mixed use, walking trails and other amenities, and then the surrounding development, if -- and it's outside the scope of the Port's area -- but the key goal in both scenarios would be to have greater synergies with the entertainment district and the surrounding development, and you could have quite a terrific development there.

That access to the northwest would be key, we think, in both of those schemes to be able to have that good connection to Columbia Center Boulevard and to the freeway and to all the other elements that are to the northwest there.

And so, again, the connectivity is a challenge for both of those scenarios. I just want to show you, very quickly, some of the images of what that scene would look like in a birds's eye view. And then running through the development alternative, you'll see some similarities, again, a mixed-use framework

connecting to the shopping and the flex industrial area.

In this case, using the lineal form of the airport as a kind of sequential space of public open spaces with amenities around those, water features and civic amenities, and that river walk concept could be applied in either scenario.

Phased closure and redevelopment. Allowing the growth of this mixed use, the concept came up of a "Downtown for the Tri-Cities," as it was called, and so there was a pattern language that was developed for that very outline form that would need to be developed a little more.

Town Center Concept, there are a number of precedents we looked at, projects I've been involved in, Hillsboro, Oregon, other precedents that are well understood in terms of the retail economics and the way they work, there would be some challenges to look at, certainly, in either scenario, but both of them have strong precedents that show how they could work economically.

And then various amenities, the parks and other things. A more aggressive concept would be the river walk concept. Another concept we looked at is the notion of co-location, something called industrial ecology, where you put businesses together that feed each

other, and this would be true for both scenarios.

There is the scheme that we produced as a result of the charrette, the chain of open spaces there. Again, the surrounding community, the entertainment district that could also be tied into that and, again, you could have the water features and the other elements. Once again, that northwest access is really critical there.

There's a little bird's eye view that our colleague, Lawrence Qamar, did of that as well, and there's, again, the same bird's eye view from the perspective that you saw before.

So, again, there's that -- see if this has a laser pointer -- there it is, that access right there, in both schemes, that's, we considered that access critical. And so there's the runway, you can see the length of the runway becomes the main street, in effect, and then the entertainment district next to that.

So those are the two alternatives that we developed in the charrette as part of developing the materials that we heard from the stakeholders previously, some of the concepts for the FBO and so on, and then for the redevelopment scenario.

And then we took those and ran them through the analysis, using the economic analysis from

ECONorthwest, which is one of the northwest's, and indeed the nation's, most respected economics consultants;

Century West Aviation, a very highly regarded aviation consultant; and Parametrix, who is an environmental engineer, very highly respected as well, and looking at all the impacts of those two scenarios, and then the third scenario, the baseline.

So I'm not going to go through this in great detail, other than just to encourage you, we've got a couple of copies of it here, and it is online in this kind of flip-book form, which is very handy, and if you go to Section 1.5, that's kind of the executive summary, in a way.

You can go to that first and kind of see some of the key conclusions which show that, indeed, both of those alternatives would be positive impacts for the region, not just economic, but other impacts, relative to the "no action" alternative.

In each case, the mix of benefits, costs and trade-offs is going to vary significantly, and that's something that is not just a hard number. If it was a hard number, we wouldn't need this study. We could simply say, if you want to make the most money, you should sell the land, that's a sort of no brainer.

But it's not that kind of decision. It's a

decision about what the community wants in terms of amenities and in terms of economic resources and all the other things.

So the one, so we stayed clear of making any kind of preference for one scheme or another. The one, the only clear conclusion that we can report is that there was widespread criticism of the "no action" alternative and, indeed, only one of the stakeholders we interviewed expressed any support for it at all.

So I'm, again, I won't run through all of the details of the current performance issues and the economic impact issues. I'm hoping that those of you who are going to speak tonight will be able to, will be familiar with those or will be able to address particular issues of concern to you or that you want to add to or that you want to emphasize or any other comments that you want to make, as I said before.

This structure, by the way, follows the scoping report process, which is based upon the comments that we gathered, and so there are significant areas of controversy and areas that are identified through the scoping process.

And then the final section, the 1.1.5.3 -- excuse me for flipping through so fast -- the preliminary conclusion regarding issues to be addressed, and those

are the sort of bottom line issues.

The bottom line is that both of the scenarios are feasible. They do have a mix of different costs, different levels of investments, certainly. There's a much greater investment required for the expansion alternative than for the closure and redevelopment alternative.

That's really just in the nature of a development process, where you can sell off land and you have more land to sell off, and the private sector is doing more of the development than when you're operating an airport.

And then the last section is environmental impacts, which includes economic impacts as part of that analysis, but that's a more typical requirement for the Environmental Impact Statement process. And there's a summary matrix in Section 1.5, which is just a big compilation of what's in Section 3 of the report.

And that's a very exhaustive analysis of all the different categories of environmental impacts, natural environmental impacts, built-in environmental impacts, and then it gets into economic impacts at the end there.

So, again, I won't go through a lot of detail and take a lot of time because I would like to turn to

your comments as well, but I want to make sure everybody is aware of this and aware of the overview structure where it compares the three alternatives in terms of these impacts.

In general, these are the kinds of impacts that one would anticipate as part of the normal comprehensive planning for a community. There's nothing here that is problematic, from our point of view.

Again, I just noted, and we noted, that there are significant issues of cost difference and these are broken down in terms of the alternative.

And, again, this is something, I've said this repeatedly, that has to be weighed in terms of what you're getting from it. You don't build a park to make money, you don't build a convention center to be a profit center. You build it to create other kinds of synergies and other kind of amenities for the community, and I think that's what we're talking about here, certainly in the case of the air field as a piece of infrastructure, which has been pointed out by a number of the pilots, and I think that's a fair statement.

That's a piece of infrastructure that has to be looked at in that sense. But there are certainly cost issues, as with any investment, and that's something that the community has to look at and understand how they're

going to pay for that, because it's not, it doesn't pay 1 2 for itself, certainly. 3 Then this summary that -- I don't know, 4 Larry, if we have this summary also on that sheet --5 MR. PETERSON: We have a bunch of these over here, the --6 7 MR. MEHAFFY: We've got copies of these, these are just sort of the bottom line numbers in terms 8 9 of the choices that are likely going to be before the Port in terms of the investment to be made. 10 11 So on that note, I think we can begin to have 12 testimony. We want to open, formally open the public 13 hearing. And, again, I'm going to call the first person 14 to come up to testify, and then I'll mention the second 15 person and ask them to be ready to come up so we don't 16 lose too much time. 17 So the first person is --18 MR. OGATA: Can I ask you a question? Could you take a minute to explain how the input data 19 20 that you asked would be handled, you know, the February 21 11th? 22 MR. MEHAFFY: Yes, actually, in fact, I 23 meant to say that. I'm glad you pointed it out. 24 All of the comments will be compiled and they 25 will be included in the final report. The testimony that 19

1 you give tonight will be included in the final report. And we will have a written response to that, and we will 2 3 respond by, if there's evidence that something needs to be revised, it will be revised in the report. 4 5 We already know there are a couple of typos 6 and things like that that we have to catch, but we will take into account what you have to say and consider that 7 in the final report. 8 9 Okay, the first person is Jim Hodge and the 10 second is John Givens, and please keep an eye on my --11 MR. HODGE: Jim Hodge, 503 Paver Court, 12 Benton City. First of all, I would like to thank the Port 13 for the opportunity to speak. 14 15 They really did a really wonderful job with 16 Clover Island and the marina there, and they have an 17 opportunity to do the same thing with Vista Field, if they'll just step back and not lose this opportunity, and 18 19 they could make it as good as what they've done with 20 Clover Island. The other question is, in your data, on the 21 22 depreciation, I don't know if you can answer me, what 23 year that depreciation starts. 24 Those numbers seem, is that 20 years down the 25 road or is that the first year when they start turning

20

1 the airport over or how did you arrive at those numbers? 2 MR. MEHAFFY: Well, I'm sorry, our 3 structure doesn't allow question and answer, so we maybe can have an informal conversation afterwards. 4 5 MR. HODGE: Okay. Then my next question 6 is, as far as the relocation of the aircraft, did you contact the other airports to see if there's hangers and 7 facilities that can handle them right away. 8 9 And I know you can't answer that question but 10 off the record. Thank you. 11 MR. MEHAFFY: The next person after John 12 Givens will be Carl Cadwell. 13 MR. GIVENS: Good evening. My name is 14 John Givens, and I'm here tonight as a designated 15 representative of the Kennewick Public Facilities 16 District to speak to the outreach effort for public input 17 made to the district by the Port of Kennewick related to 18 the future use and development of direction of what today 19 is the Vista Field Airport. 20 The Kennewick Public Facilities District has 21 submitted a letter to the Port District, which in the 22 essence of time, I'll summarize with these public remarks 23 tonight. 24 Vista Field Airport is a neighboring property to the Three Rivers Campus, which consists of the Three 25 21 Rivers Convention Center, the Toyota Center and the Toyota Arena.

The convention center is owned by the Kennewick Public Facilities District. The Toyota Center and the Toyota Arena are managed by the Kennewick Public Facilities District for the City of Kennewick.

Vista Field is an airport, to the current stage of its development, has neither been considered a benefit or a detriment to the development or operation of the Three Rivers Campus. If expanded as an airport, the Kennewick Public Facilities District Board would continue to consider that option to be neither a detriment or a benefit to the Three Rivers Campus, assuming campus development is not stifled by tighter height restrictions of an expanded airport.

If the alternative mixed-use development becomes the selected alternative, the Kennewick Public Facilities District would embrace that action as one that could provide many new opportunities to the campus to include partnering options for the master planning of the Vista Three Rivers Entertainment District combined areas.

Mixed-use development could provide many millions of dollars in new public and private investment for infrastructure. It could provide substantial opportunity for new employment growth. It could

1 potentially provide millions of dollars annually in new 2 property and sales tax collection opportunities for the 3 City of Kennewick, the Kennewick Port District, and the Kennewick Public Facilities District to redistribute in 4 5 their own ways for the benefits provided to their 6 citizens. The Kennewick Public Facilities District 7 would wholeheartedly and unanimously support the 8 redevelopment of Vista Field as a mixed use development 9 10 opportunity, without the continued presence of an 11 airport, if that's the path either Port officials or 12 voters choose. 13 On behalf of the Kennewick Public Facilities District, we would like to thank the Port of Kennewick 14 for this outreach effort and we would like to thank you 15 16 and your associates for what we consider a job well done. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 19 Carl Cadwell, and then after Carl Cadwell, 20 Mike Showalter. 21 MR. SHOWALTER: I'm just here to observe, 22 not speak. 23 MR. MEHAFFY: Sorry? 24 I'm just here to observe, MR. SHOWALTER: 25 not speak.

23

```
1
                     MR. MEHAFFY:
                                   Oh, sorry.
 2
                     MR. SHOWALTER: Move onto whoever else is
 3
    on deck.
 4
                     MR. MEHAFFY: Marjy Legget will be after.
 5
                     MR. CADWELL: I prepared some handouts.
                 I want to, first of all, thank you for all
 6
 7
     the work you've done. I like the plans that have been
     shown, I think they give a new vision that's happened to
 8
9
     the area. Of course, I'm a great advocate.
10
                 I'm Carl Cadwell, I'm sorry, and I do live in
11
     the district. What else did you want to know about me?
12
    Was that enough?
13
                     MR. MEHAFFY:
                                   Sorry?
14
                     MR. CADWELL: I forgot how we're supposed
    to do the introduction. Carl Cadwell --
15
16
                     MR. MEHAFFY: Please do give your
17
    address, if you would. I know you've all written them
18
    down, but if you'll give them for the stenographer.
19
                     MR. CADWELL: My office is 909 North
20
    Kellogg on Vista Field. I'm a major, I'm the major, I
21
    probably have more into Vista Field than any other person
22
    here, and I'm going to run over five minutes, and I have
23
    a couple people that, if you're gracious enough, I will
24
     take their time.
25
                 But I think there's three things that I would
                                                             24
```

like to talk about tonight. One of the things I want to do, whenever I see anything, I want to know if it passes the sniff test. Does it feel right, does it feel right in your gut, does the assumptions that are made in here, they don't list a lot of the assumptions, do they make sense, and can you support them as they go on?

So the first sniff test, I said, is what about the operating cost, and this is on page 172. You get that far into it to find this out, on the Vista Field project, and that's the first page in the handout that I provided. And so there's some things, as I go through that.

When you look at the total, this is the average for seven years, so they took seven years of data and added it all up and divided by seven, and this is the average for seven years, okay.

So what they said is the average revenues were \$50,459 for incoming revenues. By the way, during two of those years, the operating at Vista Field ran in the positive, it was not operated as a loss; that was when Ben Tuttle was running the operations at Vista Field.

Then we have, but what was left out of there is they also had a sale of \$460,000 when Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute purchased the land, so that's left

out of that income kind of number there, which certainly modifies the number.

The miscellaneous item is \$12,652. This includes a \$75,000 buyout of Tuttle in 2008 that's averaged in there. They put down \$141,000 a year for managing the airport in direct cost to the airport.

Now they had a part-time manager, Dan Cryer, who is no longer there, hasn't been there for a number of years, and these reflect the direct costs that the staff has put in, in the last couple of years in doing things like, how do get an FBO in and the time spent on that, how do you do this study here.

So most of this is direct staff costs, really have nothing to do with operating an airport, but have to do with the time spent on trying to deal with the airport issue and is not an ongoing cost.

The adjusted indirect expenses, they've allocated overhead expenses to the airport there on the \$46,000 number. I don't know how they derived it, and but it won't go away. It's just going to be transferred to another party, so it doesn't reduce the cost of operating the Port.

The depreciation item is really a big question mark in my mind, that's \$151,000. If you take that for seven years, that means that the Port put in a

\$1,061,914 into Vista Field in buildings and infrastructure and things like that that depreciate over 30 years.

Does anybody here really believe that the Port put in a million dollars into the Port in the last six years? To anybody that's been involved in the Port, the answer is clearly no. If this was a number that reflects spread out over 30 years, it means that they've put in \$4,551,000 into the Port in the last few years, and everybody knows that that's not the case.

So I believe what that number really represents is the buyout of the hangers that they paid one to two times what they should have paid for, one and a half to two times that they should have paid for, and they wrote it all off in one year, and is not an ongoing expense, the way the Port is now.

So they show P & L, profit and loss, after depreciation of \$360,000. So I went through and I said, what would it look like if we got out of this, we're not spending all of this money on studies and lawyers and trying to get FBOs and we just had this running, and so that's the ongoing column over there.

I put that in, and this is my best guess estimate, and it looks like the Port would operate at a loss, the airport would operate at a loss of about

\$63,000 a year, not \$360,000 a year, as they project.

And that would cost the person that owns a \$100,000 home about 60 cents a year for every \$100,000 valuation, 60 cents a year, not \$60, 60 cents a year, on an ongoing basis.

So it was this premise -- first of all, I've got to say, you know, I'm not this world acclaimed economics expert that was brought in to do this study.

I'm just a guy that lives here that knows what's going on, has a great sniff sense of what smells right and what doesn't.

Okay, page 2 is on the backside of page 1.

Okay, there we looked at the expansion alternative. What do you take to Vista Field. And it's really interesting because in the buildings they say, what's going to be done privately? What's to be done privately is the industrial buildings, the office buildings, the retail buildings.

I totally agree with that, but what they said the Port is going to do is build mixed-use hangers, executive hangers, middle-sized T hangers, small T hangers and FBO.

Now what people have stepped up for, and multiple parties have stepped up for, and said we want to build hangers at Vista Field, even recently. And we

haven't been able to proceed for a variety of reasons, always put off by staff, that we can't move this forward, and yet they say, oh, the Port is going to build those when, in fact, private people are willing to step up and move those, so we ought to move those to the private column and not leave those as a Port cost because it will be private money that will come in and build those things.

Then it has, if we go under infrastructure, it has \$3 million for a street. Now this is a street that the development shows basically runs along the fence so the public can come in and drive around the airport. And to me, I would just strike that line item because no airports that I know of have a road that just drives around the fence so the public can see the airplanes, okay, it just doesn't make sense.

And then they have, where is that, parks and water features. I don't know quite what that is. I know I can put in a water park for that amount because I've done it, okay, so maybe this is a water park they're talking about, but I don't know what the water, maybe it's a, I don't know, maybe it's a lighthouse, I don't know. There's some tarmac, that would be paid for by the private hangers.

The runway seal and repair, we already had

that funded. They turned the money back to the state, I think we could, maybe we could get the WS DOT to pay for that again.

And then if you look at the gate crossings down there, that's to cross Deschutes to get to some Port land that's on the other side of Deschutes that's not inside the fence. It's a nice concept, but that's way down the road.

When I go through this, I say there's no money in buildings -- this is on the right-hand most column -- there's \$4 million, I think, that would be going into infrastructure, if I take and pare that down.

There's the others in there, I don't know if that's true or not, but I just left it at \$1.3 million. The contingency I put in at half a million, so the Port investment at that point would be \$5.8 million, not \$43 million.

There's no financing, there's no cost on that calculated in because I don't think you can get a bond issued for \$5 million dollars, if that's how the Port decides to go ahead and do that. So I think it's \$5 million, not \$43 million to have the enhanced Vista Field property that they're talking about right there, minus a road.

They also put in an FBO that's at \$300 a

square foot. For me, as Cliff knows, most FBOs are a nice place to have classrooms, people to meet in, and in a 10,000-square foot hanger. This is a 15,000-square foot FBO building. That's a huge FBO building, folks, in a little tiny airport. So, anyway, that's that four and a half million line up there.

So let's go to page 3, which is the front side of page 2, I guess. Okay, this is an interesting one because, this is the, this shows the expansion of Vista Field profit and loss, okay. So this shows that, okay, we're putting in the hangers and we're going to do that, the Port is now owning the hangers and the FBO, right?

So then we go on down, and you look at the direct salary, we have about 100-plus hangers out there at that point, fully developed. They have down there a line item of direct salaries and benefits of \$411,000 to manage about 100 hangers. I don't get that. I think even a public entity can manage it for less than that, than \$411,000.

Okay, they have adjusted indirect expenses they're attributing to that of \$200,000. I don't know how you get to \$200,000 attributed to indirect expenses. There's no way to do that, so I think that those are things that are added on there that probably really don't

have anything to do with the \$639,000 that's there.

If you go to the fourth one, this is the expansion alternative when they take out Vista Field.

And there's a couple of things that they did on the other ones that aren't in this one, so sometimes it's what's missing that's really interesting.

For example, they don't have direct salaries and benefits, so they're going to develop this land and hopefully sell it out, but there's no staff costs attributed to this, so it's going to cost nothing in staff costs to do this.

I don't believe that. I believe there are staff costs, so I decided the right number to use, I have no idea what to put for that, so I said if they want \$411,000 on the expanded Vista Field or the enhanced Vista Field, as it is, versus remove Vista Field, I put \$400,000, okay, I don't know what the number is.

And then they have indirect at \$200,000 on the other one. I put \$200,000. On the bottom of the page, there's about \$600,000 a year that you add in that so that you're comparing apples and apples and not apples and petunias, is that the expression, or oranges, as you say.

So, anyway, if I do that, and it's \$600,000 for 20 years, I have \$12 million more. I have some

number more. I don't know what it really is, because I don't know the assumptions they make to get there, and I have to do a public records request to find out.

So if I add \$11,897,000 and \$12 million, I come up with \$23 million that it's going to cost them to do the enhanced. And what are they going to generate revenues out of that? They were only, before they added \$12 million, they were only going to get \$3.7 million.

So now they're losing money and doing, taking out Vista Field, enhancing, if they put the same kind of cost they attributed to retaining Vista Field and enhancing Vista Field with it there.

So when I, now I do the sniff test. I'm flunking the sniff test, it just doesn't make sense to me. Either we've got to go apples and apples, compare them the same way, we can't go apples and petunias, we've just got to do it the same way.

But there's one thing that I think that isn't ever addressed in this, and I think it's maybe the biggest flaw, that something this report ignores, something TRIDEC ignores, it's something the Herald ignores, they seem to miss the fact continually that in March of 2010, the Port agreed to keep, unanimously, to keep Vista Field open.

And in February of 2011, they passed a master

plan and they instructed Tim Arnson to execute on the master plan. It was on that basis that Cadwell Laboratory said we'll stop looking, because we had already started looking for an alternative place to build to add on to our facility.

We're going to stay in Kennewick, we're going to add onto our \$2 million addition, which is completed, it's a beautiful facility.

And Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute says, yes, we're going to buy and be at Vista Field because it's so convenient and it's so convenient to us, because the most important thing for me is time.

The most important thing for Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute is time, time that those physicians, they don't want to spend 40 minutes a day or up to an hour a day commuting back and forth from Pasco. They want to just land there and take off and go. It's the same way with me, time is the most important thing I have, and that's what's critical here.

The thing that they miss is that I've spent \$2 million building a building -- oh, by the way, those three groups -- the Herald, the Port and TRIDEC -- say there's been no development of Vista Field. That's not true. I put in a \$2 million addition.

I'm spending about a million dollars

remodelling our existing building. I put on a huge loading dock, beautiful. Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute is building a \$4 million facility, putting another \$1 million worth in.

We have employment, between the two of us, that exceed about 250 people there because the airport is there. New stuff that stayed there that wouldn't leave.

Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute would not have built there, had they known that Vista Field was going to close, okay. We probably would not have built there and added on, excuse me, had we known that this was going to close. It's very simple.

So what we have is that very few months after Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute purchased their land, they started through this process -- they purchased their land when, January 30th or 31st, 2012. A few months later, they start this process -- oh, my gosh, should we shut down Vista Field or keep it?

They already made that. I acted on their good faith and fair dealing of the Port on two resolutions that they made, and now we're going to find out if the Port Commissioners are people of integrity, are they men of their word that they're going to keep Vista Field open, that they said they're going to do or are we going to find out that they're not men of their

word and they are not going to keep Vista Field open? 1 2 I think it's very simple, a very simple 3 conclusion to draw. We're going to see what these commissioners are made out of. 4 5 I bet I went over my five minutes. 6 MR. MEHAFFY: I'm afraid you did. 7 MR. CADWELL: Thank you very much. Marjy Legget and then Kirk 8 MR. MEHAFFY: Williamson. 9 10 MS. LEGGET: Marjy Legget, 4019 Horizon 11 Drive, Pasco. 12 And I read over quite a bit of your report 13 and I want to say that you put a lot of work into it and it took a lot of time, I know, and it was very thorough. 14 15 And I appreciate this forum that you've allowed us to 16 have an opportunity to address some of the questions and 17 concerns and ask some things. 18 So I have, just kind of skimming through, I have a few little notes and things that I jotted down, so 19 20 it's not a one platform kind of thing, just a couple of 21 things that I've noted along the way. 22 On page 16, you made the comment that a 23 review of the data provided by the Port of Kennewick and 24 CBRE, Incorporated, shows an annual operating loss under 25 the current operations of minus \$206,575 a year, with an

additional \$183,568 cost for depreciation, resulting in a total annual loss of \$390,143.

And I'm a little confused on that because I don't see how, and maybe it's just me, I don't see how you can have an annual depreciation of so much when right now there's nothing there to depreciate much, other than the hangers and the little FBO that's there.

So what's depreciating at the moment, other than the runway, and the runway can be taken care of through grants through Washington State Department of Transportation. So that's not something that really affects, I mean, the Port will contribute some to that, but the grants help support that, so I'm a little confused on that.

I can see that, maybe as time goes on, as you get closer to the end, and I believe this was what I saw in there, it looks like you were using the year 2035 as your final build out. So that's 22 years from now. So in 22 years from now, I would assume you would have more buildings around, therefore, depreciation would be greater, but at this early point, there wouldn't be so much depreciation, I wouldn't think, so that was confusing to me.

Another, let's see, I was confused by the other numbers, too, because there are a lot of numbers in

this thing. Expansion operating costs was \$960,787, and that was the expansion operating cost. So if you take that and you divide that by 22 years, and I may be all off on this, but if you divide it by the 22 years, then you come out with an annual cost of \$43,672, which right now, if you go, I've gone back through the Port's budget, and they have budgeted anywhere between \$50,000 and \$75,000 a year for the airport in their operating costs.

So this would seem, based on what I'm pulling out of there, it seems like that's pretty close to what they're already spending, and so, again, the depreciation cost was confusing. I don't know how you can depreciate buildings that aren't there.

And, again, historically, the Washington

State Department of Transportation has paid a greater

share for improving the runway, and I don't know if you

included that. I didn't, it may be in there, but I

didn't see any of the figures that, from that included in

the report.

The different, I see very little difference between the enhanced and the "no action" plan. And the "no action" plan, I know we had a conversation and I talked with you about it in the interview, and my understanding of "no action" was no action, what's actually happening right now.

But then as I heard later on you talk, you suggested that the "no action" plan was with the master plan that's already been approved by the Port. But so in comparing the enhancement and the "no action" plan, the enhancement says put in a new FBO. The "no action" plan, if you go by the master plan, says put in a new FBO. Enhancement says new hangers; "no action" says new hangers in year one.

The master plan was put in place February of 2011, so year one would have been 2011. Enhancement says on-site office, industrial and retail. And we have new offices, we have the Pacific Cataract and Laser Institute, which is building their new offices and facilities, we have Cadwell Labs that have expanded, so it seems to me that that part of the plan is being done.

In addition, the "no action" plan had web cams for year two, a weather station for year two, and a marketing plan for year two, which would have been 2012, that would have been year two.

And then the enhancement plan also had a road that went around the outside, and that was not included, a road was not included in the "no action" plan.

But to me, I think that what you call the "no action" plan actually included the master plan, which was a course of action. The "no action" plan actually is

what the Port is doing, which is nothing, and that is the no action.

The costs, of course, you had the enhancement at \$19.3 million in private investment and the "no action" plan was \$460 million in private investment on their thing.

So I'm wondering, if you think you had trouble so far, they said they had trouble getting people to invest in properties and whatever, even though, as Carl just mentioned, there have been some offers to go ahead and build hangers, but if you have had difficulty, not you, but if the Port has had difficulty in getting private investors with the "no action" plan, how are they going to get investors with the enhancement or the redevelopment plan, which relies on private investors at an even greater degree.

And, again, the airport, it's like the parks, you know, you have, the parks may serve only a few people, not everybody goes to the park, but there's a quality of life to it, and it's important. And you can say, well, there's parks over there in Pasco, there's parks over there in Richland, so why do we need a park over here? It's the same kind of thing, it's a public facility. Thank you.

MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you.

Kirk Williamson, and then after that will be Nick Tsoukalas.

MR. WILLIAMSON: My name is Kirk
Williamson. I live at 527 North Reed in Kennewick in the
Port District.

First, I would like to thank the Port for engaging in the study which has given the community the first credible look at the potential for the Port's land at Vista and the real cost of operating the air field.

It should be pointed out that PCLI's local manager said, several weeks ago, publicly, that they were aware that the Vista Air Field might close when they decided to purchase the land.

At the very beginning, when the DPZ came, they let it be known when they began their work that the air field was an asset and should be preserved if at all feasible.

That statement gives even more credibility to the results, which show clearly that the most fiscally responsible option for the Port of Kennewick is to close the air field and prepare the property for a high value development.

If the DPZ report had concluded the two options for Vista Air field were even close to equal, I would be asking the commissioners to put the choice to

```
1
     the people in an advisory vote. They aren't.
 2
                 The choice is clear, and I ask the Port of
    Kennewick to move forward to close the air field and
 3
 4
    begin immediately the process of developing the land in
 5
    the best interest of everyone in the entire Port
 6
    District.
                Thank you.
 7
                     MR. MEHAFFY: Nick Tsoukalas and then Bob
8
    Ogata.
9
                     MR. TSOUKALAS: I choose not to speak at
    this time.
10
11
                     MR. MEHAFFY:
                                   Sorry?
12
                                     I choose not to speak at
                     MR. TSOUKALAS:
13
    this time.
                     MR. MEHAFFY: That's fine.
14
                 Mike White will be the next one.
15
16
                     MR. OGATA: My name is Bob Ogata.
                                                        I live
17
    here in Kennewick, I'm a taxpayer, and I also own
    property at 627 North Kellogg Street, on the edge of the
18
    Vista Field.
19
20
                 I've been looking at this airport debate
21
    since 2004, and I think I've learned quite a bit. And
22
    what I would like to do right now is talk about things
23
    that are really not covered thoroughly or in detail in
24
    the report, because everybody can hash over what's in the
25
    report.
                                                             42
```

One of the things that I haven't heard very clearly right now is, you know, we need to be up front and say that we have two real airports, one in Pasco and one in Richland.

The Vista Airport is really not a real airport that we're all debating about. Who is saying this? The Federal Aviation Administration, they've been saying it all along, because of the short runway. And I don't know what the debate is all about.

The two airports, the two real airports are in the position to expand and carry on the air transportation requirements for this whole community and, frankly, Vista Airport is not really going to play any part in it.

A previous \$60,000 consultant's work clearly revealed that the Vista Airport, when the time comes, as this area grows and the need for air transportation grows along with it, the only thing that's really going to change is that you're going to get carryover of the recreational aircraft from the other big airports into here. That's about the only change.

The thing that I want to talk about right now is, by realizing that, one thing I found out is that there's basically two groups of people that have been talking since I started looking into this thing.

These are people that really don't need any economic justification to keep this Vista Airport open or closing it because one group is tied, an emotional attachment to the airport. They're talking about, talking to these people, they have relatives, friends, or whatever, somehow tied to the aviation industry, like pilots or whatever.

And the other part are people that are a little bit selfish in looking out for themselves and, well, they just want the convenience and luxury of having the airport that they could just jump into the plane, take a few steps away from their office, jump into a plane and then do their flying around, rather than take the 15-minute ride to the Richland airport or the Pasco airport.

When you really consider these two groups, they're really a very small portion of the entire community. The rest of the community, like myself, really could make the final decision because the data, like now, is coming forward through these studies that you folks put together are coming forward and we can actually see some real numbers.

There's another thing that it's not really said in here, but very briefly in the report, within the first two chapters is that airports, in general, are not

a money-making proposition. And if you doubt me, go talk to any of these directors at the other airports, like the Pasco airport.

And when you talk to the director that's responsible for looking after the operation of those airports, they will also tell you that the, if you break even, you're doing pretty good.

Now the other side, the thing that we really need to look at carefully, like in my case, is the quality of life that's going to come about after we close this Vista Airport.

I can envision in my mind, like some of those pictures you've seen of San Antonio, maybe we won't get the elaborate water running, but you can see the variety of businesses, conventions, all these things coming in here, infusing tons of money locally, and that's going to allow our community to prosper and enjoy.

I like to go to Howard Amon Park and Columbia Park, take my family, take my friends, and we really have a great time. That's the quality of life that I want.

I'm not really talking about how much money this thing is going to take, this one option versus another option.

I'd like to see this Vista Field Airport replaced and redeveloped so that we have another option for a change from Columbia Park or Howard Amon Park, a

different kind of entertainment or enhancement, the quality of life that I enjoy living in this area.

Okay, one of the other things is that within the last few weeks, there was an article in the Tri-City Herald how this area lost out on \$14 million of money that could have come into this community. Why? Because the coliseum and the convention center were not big enough to handle the kind of conventions and trade shows or whatever who wanted to come in here and spend their money.

In my mind, after looking at all the stuff here, that represents a tip-of-the-iceberg of what can be, once we fully develop this thing with the wise attention and so forth, that all these trade shows, conventions, entertainments, whatever is going to come in here, it's going to bring in, not just \$14 million, but continually much more than that.

Okay, now I want to talk to you a little bit about something that's not really covered in this report, and I think it's important to mention that, and it's accidents that are going to happen, all right. You say, well, gee, accidents, you know, some people laughed at me when I mentioned that years ago.

Are you aware that years ago a United Express airplane accidently tried to land in the Vista Field

because they thought it was a real airport, and at the last minute, they found out that the runway was too short and they overran it?

Another example is something that happened recently, just a few years ago, and there was a big article in the Tri-City Herald, so nobody could miss it, about Carl Cadwell made an emergency belly landing at the Vista Field. And, you know, with his money, he maintains his airplane at top level.

But the point I'm trying to make is this -no matter how well you maintain those airplanes,
mechanical accidents are going to happen. And it's a
scary thing when something heavy like an airplane falls
down on top of you, property damage and, most likely,
there's going to be some health issues.

Okay, what I would like to see, at this point, is that you folks did a great job putting this report together, but when I looked at it originally, and it said 513 pages, now who is going to have time to read all that?

What I would like for you to do is to somehow to just create a digest of the report for the first two chapters, and maybe in the form of about two pages, and now that's something that every member of this community can bite into. They'll take the time to read it.

When you throw a 500-page report, who is 1 2 going to read it? I mean, you know, I almost didn't want to read it either because I didn't know where the 3 important stuff was going to be. 4 5 But I think within the first few chapters, I think you do have a lot of valid points and things that 6 are going to provide the necessary information for most 7 of the majority of the people that have been sort of 8 9 sitting back and staying quiet. I think that they want to be able to now make the final decision. 10 11 One other thing is that the way I was told, I 12 don't know if this is correct or not, but commissioners, 13 Port Commissioners, have the power to put something on the ballot, the voter's ballot. If that's true, that, by 14 15 informing the community of the pros and cons of the 16 options available, then that would be a good time for the 17 community to actually vote on the option that they 18 prefer. Thank you very much. 19 MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 20 Mike White and then Gary Long will go next. 21 MR. WHITE: If you could put up my first 22 page of my Power Point that's available on the computer 23 there. 24 MR. MEHAFFY: The way we need to do that 25 is actually put it into this computer. Let me ask you to 48

1 come back in just a moment and we'll set you up to do 2 that, if you don't mind. 3 MR. WHITE: Well, I can start talking 4 without it, actually. 5 My name is Mike White. I live at 1118 West 22nd Avenue in Kennewick, and that's in the Port 6 7 District. And I would like to talk about two historical 8 9 examples of airports that have closed and their lack of 10 development. The first example is Meigs Field in 11 Chicago, probably the most important, most famous 12 example. 13 Meigs Field, go to the second slide, please. MR. MEHAFFY: Let's see, I think I can do 14 it from here. 15 16 MR. WHITE: Meigs Field was built on a 17 man-made island on the shore of Lake Michigan within a half mile of "The Loop" downtown. This is a picture of 18 19 Meigs Field before the closure. It's close to the McCormick Convention 20 21 Center, which is a corner of it's shown in the far 22 left-hand corner. The red area are bleachers in Soldier 23 Field, and you can see the downtown area. Its runway is 24 slightly shorter than Vista Field's, 91 acres, and it's 25 peaking at 52,000 operations a year.

However, there's only two aircraft based at the field and they were search and rescue helicopters; all the other operations were mostly business people flying in and out.

In 2001, Mayor Daley and Governor Ryan agreed to keep the airport open until 2024. On the night of March 31, 2003, Mayor Daley sent demolition crews in to destroy the runway without prior notice.

To date, little has been done to implement the plans for the island. What was a flourishing airport since the 1940s was to be redeveloped into a lush park and recreation center which had a projected cost of about \$100 million.

Second slide, please. I can do that. See if I have the right button here. Next slide please.

Now this is a satellite view from Maps

Google. The only thing that was done on the airport was thoroughly tear up the runways and taxiways. The terminal building and the FAA tower still exist.

A concert venue was put in place toward the northern end of the island and some bike paths around, and the Parks Department just doesn't have the money to do anymore development there.

The only jobs have been added is a few park employees. The current Mayor Rahm Emanuel has no plans

to develop because they don't have the money. The city had to pay fines to the FAA and Chicago has lost about 40 percent of its share of the general aviation market in the area, and many people don't do business anymore.

And I've got references for my information

And I've got references for my information here on hard copies, which I'll give to Michael.

Third slide, please.

The second example is quite a bit closer, is Blaine Municipal Airport, and you probably know Blaine is in extreme north Washington, along I-5, just as you're going into Canada.

It had not only about a 2,500-foot runway and about 42 acres, only about 21 operations a day, something like we're reporting for Vista Field, and 23 aircraft base.

On 9 October, 2006, the City Council voted to keep the airport open and for a \$20 million master plan be spent on the airport. In December, 2006, the council voted to request the Port of Bellingham for alternate uses of the airport. On February 26th, 2007, the council votes to shut down the airport and proceed with plans to open land to private development.

The airport was closed December 31st, 2008.

Per Gary Tomsil, the Blaine City Planner, so far the city has invested in putting a road across the site, in my

statement, assuring permanent closure, and has built an interior road. You can see the northeast road across the center and that cul-de-sac, that's what it's referring to.

And they engineered a storm drain system and subdivided into one to three-acre parcels. Engineered means it's planned; it's not done.

It said there was a Canadian medical supplement company bought land adjacent to the airport, but they seem to have walked away from it. To date, only one company has built on the site, and that's Mercer Logistics, a trucking and logistics company, and that's the L-shaped building right in the middle there.

Other developers have lost interest, those who have had interest have funding problems, and any current or projected jobs are or will be low paying, so the city definitely closed the airport with very little economic development had occurred on the land and, again, I have references for that.

And now I'll talk about some similarities past and present.

In both cases, in fact, in all three cases, counting Vista Field, agreements to keep the airports open. Then actions were taken to close the airports with promises of redevelopment, and there was far less

redevelopment had occurred than was promised.

In Vista Field, DPZ proposed redevelopment case, the Port makes relatively little on the deal, gaining about \$3.7 million on sale of the land after costs.

Private investors are expected to put up \$460 million for redevelopment. I would ask, is it reasonable to expect private investors to put up nearly half a billion dollars to develop that area?

I think the expected reality for Vista Field was, is the airport will be closed, the Port may make a small profit, and any redevelopment is going to be far less than promised. I think DPZ should stress this expected reality in their final report.

Finally, DPZ talks about a gross economic impact of \$28.9 million and net impact of \$11.995, these are annual numbers. Over a 20-year build-out period, these come to \$578 million and \$239.9 million.

I ask, is this return reasonable for a private investment of \$460 million, and if I am wrong on this understanding , I would like to be corrected, please. Thank you.

I'll turn this over to Michael.

MR. MEHAFFY: Next I mentioned we're going to have Rich Parker, and then following Rich

1 Parker, Jose Chavallo. MR. PETERSON: Michael, Gary Long next. 2 3 MR. MEHAFFY: I'm sorry. 4 MR. LONG: He doesn't even know me. Good 5 try. How did I miss you? 6 MR. MEHAFFY: 7 MR. LONG: You were going to circle 8 around. 9 And, again, my name is Gary Long, 3913 South 10 Olson Court, Kennewick, Washington. 11 I have just several comments. One is, I do 12 agree that the three choices -- the first one, enhanced 13 airport expansion; the second one, redevelopment; and three, the "no action" -- provide a basis for analyzing 14 the best future direction for Vista Field, is the best 15 16 future direction for Vista Field. 17 It's obvious from the study that the 18 redevelopment and enhanced airport options both require 19 significant capital investment and are really the only 20 two options that are under consideration. I'm concerned that the "no action" option was 21 22 not developed in this report and is not being considered as a viable choice. 23 24 The following are my views on these three 25 option one, the enhanced airport choice would choices: 54 be a wonderful addition to the Tri-Cities, but I don't think this is an option the Port will consider because of the way it's crafted, it appears to be way too costly.

Option two, the redevelopment option appears very attractive on the surface, but may have many hurdles. The study indicated there would be a minimum up-front cost to the Port of around \$12 million and a belief that there will be future sales around \$15 million.

This would result in a positive cash position for the Port. However, there is a concern that up-front costs never seem to go down and almost always go up. And this is because there are always unknowns that are uncovered during the development and construction process.

You know, additionally, because it takes a long time to develop properties, any downturn -- and it's coming, we've got to pay attention to this -- could cause sales to plummet and not meet expectations, because this, as Hanford winds down, these developers and folks that we are relying on to come in may or may not be there.

For example, really, if you stop and think about it, why are there currently so many vacant properties if you look around Vista Field? This is even during these good economic times. It makes you wonder if

the sales expectations outlined in the studies can be met.

Another hurdle that I think the Port needs to consider in option two is the cost of cleaning up and redeveloping an old Navy site. There are potential financial risk factors for this clean-up that I really didn't find covered in the study. This, along with its unknown clean-up factors could actually cost the Port a lot more than the anticipated \$11.9 million indicated in the redevelopment portion of the study.

The Port needs to strongly weigh this risk if option two is to be considered.

Now option three, though, what's missing, because I don't believe option three, the "no action" plan, is even under consideration and it seems to be dead on arrival. And my reason for concluding this is that the very nature of calling it the "no action" plan and using it as the baseline for comparing the other option gives a sense that it's not a choice.

Was the intent of the study to eliminate option three from consideration? By eliminating option three as a viable option, I think you probably removed the best choice. Remember, there was a public hearing that resulted in the unanimous approval of a resolution that said the Port was going to keep the airport open.

And I also recall in that public hearing one of the current commissioners commented he was in favor of keeping the airport open as long as the costs were kept annually below \$500,000.

Based on numbers I've seen, Vista Field could easily be improved with a lot less than the \$500,000 annually, and with that annual investment, it does show, in a short period of time, Vista Field would be a rapidly growing, very functional airport.

There would be a strong possibility that, very shortly, that the airport could be cost neutral. So in 2011, after the adoption of the master plan, there actually was excitement and a feeling that a revised or revitalized airport improvement plan with amenities was going to move forward.

I am convinced that with the right focus and commitment, this can still be achieved. To me, it's obvious that option three would provide the most beneficial and cost effective outcome. But how do we make option three a choice?

You need to create an operating plan and a budget that would bring this master plan of 2011 to fruition. Create a plan to improve the airport over a 20-year period with private/public partnerships. There needs to be a commitment and a follow-thru to do the

improvements that are in the 2011 master plan.

The financial requirement to make this work would be reasonable, with a diminishing annual budget and the numbers would be somewhere around the \$500,000 for up to five years.

Missing over the past several years, and very important to the success of Vista Field, is hiring someone to manage and take responsibility for the airport. This person would need to have a strong small airport background with the vision, commitment and passion and drive to move this option forward.

And from a cost-benefit prospective, it's very clear to me that moving forward with the implementation of 2011 master plan was, and is, still the best choice to provide the best outcome for the Port and the citizens of this community.

One primary -- and we don't want to lose sight of this, because I hear a lot of redevelopment and talk about the Port being a developer -- one of the primary reasons ports exist is to provide for airports. Vista Field Airport has been designated as an essential public facility and, you know, within reason, to me, the Port of Kennewick has a duty and obligation to keep it open.

Thank you very much for taking my comments.

1 MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 2 Now Rich Parker and then Jose Chavallo to follow. 3 MR. PARKER: I already deferred my time 4 5 to Carl. MR. MEHAFFY: Not Rich Parker. 7 Okay, Jose. 8 MR. CHAVALLO: My name is Jose Chavallo, 9 5927 West Quinault. 10 I've been going to a lot of these meetings. 11 I pay attention to what's happening in Vista Field, like a lot of taxpayers do. 12 13 You know, I think we really don't really see a big picture of danger until you live, I live and work 14 at both ends of the Vista Field. I build commercial 15 16 medical buildings on one end and I own five acres on the 17 other end. 18 I moved into my house probably about seven, 19 eight months ago. The other day, I was sitting there 20 wondering, you know, what takes airplanes out? Birds, 21 birds take planes down. Every morning I wake up, and with a cup of coffee, I take my dogs out on the five 22 23 acres and I run these geese off my property because they 24 crap all over, just like they do in the park. 25 Nobody is watching these geese in Lawrence

59

Scott Park, nobody is tracking them, nobody is chasing them down, they fly everywhere.

And I would highly recommend that you guys get a cup of coffee and go sit in the parking lot in the morning and see how many geese fly around that airport where the airplanes land.

I didn't realize it till I sat there one day, and I really, this is the other day, and I've been making it real clear now, if we had more airplanes and those geese keep on having more geese, there's going to be an accident, without a doubt, nobody can control. Go get a cup of coffee and sit in the parking lot every morning and see what happens.

Number two, you know, let's not lose sight of an airport, you know, or any business. My business, your business; a loss is a loss. It doesn't matter if it's a dollar, it doesn't matter if it's \$62,000, it doesn't matter if it's \$450,000. We cannot run a company on any loss.

And I did a lot of chicken scratches here, so I apologize, it was on my knee here. You know, we have to look at the future for the Tri-Cities, look at what's good for economic development, if its either airport or redevelopment. You know, the handwriting is on the wall. Things change. We have to look forward. We have to

grow, and if it takes all of us to get together and really look at the safety issue and what's good for the community to make us stand out, it's obvious.

I, too, was at the P.U.D. meeting on 2010. I spoke. I listened to a lot of pilots that came to this meeting because they came in droves, and not only did the Port agree to keep the airport open, these pilots and developers that flew in, they promised also to put money into this thing. They promised to build airplane hangers, they were going to do everything else.

My question is, I'm a developer, I ask again, where are they? How many, how many, how many hangers did they build? If they're willing to build, where are they? I don't see them here.

Another thing. Look at the age of the pilots. Nothing against age, because I'm getting older, the pilots that are here, they're fighting for what they believe is right. And it may be for them, but look how many young pilots are here. Look around, there are none.

In five, seven years, maybe ten, when they stop flying, who is going to fly? They're not here, maybe they are, but I don't see them coming. I don't see them coming here.

Again, how many businesses use, ask them how much percentage of the airport they use for their

business. Yes, there's a company that came in, and I'm glad they did. They built here, they built on convenience. He said it in the report, which I'm glad, we all like convenience, but let's look at reality.

You know, just these are my comments that I see because I live and I work at both ends. People can sit here and speer in the audience and say everything

see because I live and I work at both ends. People can sit here and sneer in the audience and say everything else, but when you wake up every morning and you want to do something good for the community and spend money and spend time, then you can sneer at people.

Don't just sit in the chair and laugh at them, because I'm telling you, it takes one bird, one accident, one plane comes down without landing gear, we will not be having this discussion.

The Port will be gone, and whoever is in charge of its development with an airport or the Port, they're going to be sued. That's the logic. There's no ins/outs about it. Thank you.

MR. MEHAFFY: Clif Dyer and then William Dana.

MR. DYER: Evening. My name is Clif
Dyer, 1788 Ironwood, West Richland. Probably more
importantly for this setting, I'm also the owner of
Kennewick Aircraft Services, doing business right now as
Sundance Aviation at the Richland airport.

Some things, I've just got to start with the 1 2 last speaker, and then I'll go onto my other reports. 3 From 1980 to present, not one bird has 4 brought an airplane down in the Vista Field Airport. 5 I've trained many pilots at that airport, we never had 6 even any goose problems at that --7 MR. CHAVALLO: Did they have a park at the end of them? 8 9 MR. DYER: Yes, they did. The only thing 10 I had with that park was --11 MR. MEHAFFY: There's not to be any 12 debate. Thank you. 13 MR. DYER: Okay. The part about making 14 and losing money -- parks don't make money, rivers don't 15 make money, they cost us money, but there's a quality of 16 life that goes with it. 17 Just because a person isn't a pilot doesn't 18 mean I should -- I don't want a boat, should I not want 19 to put my tax dollars into people who are using the 20 river? 21 So there's a quality of life that I think 22 people who don't fly need to accept the fact that pilots 23 do enjoy that quality of life. 24 Developers who develop things and talk about 25 it, you would never develop anything if you don't have an 63

infrastructure. The governments usually come in and put 1 2 in the roads. There's a lot of things that you require 3 as a developer to put your building up to build or rent the building, so you've got all, the city has to put 4 5 money out, the taxpayers put money out, they return it from your tax dollars eventually, as airports do too. 6 7 Young pilots, you talk about them not being I tell you, we train a lot of young pilots. 8 9 They're going to be around. They're just not here. know why? They don't do this kind of stuff, they're not 10 the active people of our community in that sense. 11 They're not the speakers, it's us old guys who take the 12 13 time to come to meetings and spend time in this type of environment. 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who's he calling 16 old? 17 MR. DYER: And this brings me to my talking points. I'm probably one of the few people in 18 19 the room that has the unique opportunity to give a 20 concept of Vista Field from, let's say, 1980 to present. I came to fly at the airport in 1990, and we operated 21 22 there until 2005. 23 My lovely wife, Sue, and her late husband, 24 Ray Gilkerson, are the originators of Kennewick Airport 25 Craft, and that was began in 1980.

They rented from a fellow, built the building that is now the FBO building there. That was a thriving business, it continued to be a thriving business, the Port never put one dollar into that place at that point.

I took over in 1990 or came to fly in 1990, took over in 1994 as chief pilot, and I can tell you that there was a lot of opportunities for investment, there was a lot of opportunities for infrastructure to be put in. Those things weren't realized.

The Port Director asked me one day, why do we need an airport? As a matter of fact, he asked me that question the very first day I met him. It kind of took me aback, to be honest, because I was sitting down with a man who helped me run my show, if you will, he's a partner I considered, and he's asking me to justify why we needed an airport.

And that was 1997. Many opportunities happened after that for infrastructure to be, expenditure to be offered. There might be anything from taxiways put in to a small, modest increase in our building size or amenities. Those things were never done.

I ain't going to say there was a lot of foot dragging, but in retrospect, that's what it appeared to be. It's like money spent there just wouldn't be in our best interest. I believe that's been the Port's position

all along.

Master plans were put in place, always the heel of the, the dragging of the feet. The monies just never were there at the time and opportunity. So as we looked at those things, it sent a message, it sent a message to the private investors, the people who would have come in.

I can use an example. Just recently, the Port of Benton put in a couple of new taxiways and some new infrastructure. Those pads, we call them, for hangers are hot right now, they're being picked up, there's options being had.

If the Port of Kennewick would have put some indication, some movement, some positive light on that airport, then people like Carl and Herb Braydons and Ralph Collins, and I can name another dozen names, would have come and built hangers that are not there now, because that infrastructure wasn't put in and is slowly eroded and no signals were sent, that's why we're having this meeting today, is what led us here.

It's the history of the airport. You can't go back just to 2005, you've got to go back all the way back. I can't go all the way, but I can tell you from 1980 to today, there's been a slow erosion from the taking out of the crosswind runway to the promises that

were made to the master plans that were bought into and paid for by the Port to an organization, maybe like JUB to design it, and all in good faith, but never implemented.

If you look line item by line item, very few of those items would have ever been realized, so we're here today.

Now you brought forth two plans. One of those plans is based on a very poor business plan, in the sense that you don't build an aerotropolis, I believe is the term there. You have to, it's like trying to color a beautiful picture with only using three crayons.

Close, stay open and really develop, or do nothing. You can't not paint this picture with three crayons. The one gentleman spoke of the leave it alone. Well, I agree, there is no leave it alone, something needs to be done, but does the Taj Mahal have to be built overnight?

\$46 million of taxpayer's money. I'm a taxpayer in this district. No, my answer is, no, I don't want you to spend \$46 million of my dollars to build what you designed there because I think it's wrong for the community. I think it's wrong for aviation. I'm in the aviation business. That's not what we need.

We need slow, steady, encouraging growth that

makes reasonable opportunities for private investors to join with public and make good use of our assets. That's what Vista Field is, is a good asset.

It's been improperly managed, the stewardship of that airport has not been, in my eyes -- as a pilot, as a business owner -- hasn't been proper. I think even the people on the other side would agree, it hasn't been a good stewardship.

Had things been done differently, we wouldn't be having conversations the way we're having it today. Now you formed the question as these two plans. If you leave just those two plans, those two colors on the paper, excuse me, if you only leave those two colors on the paper, the decision will already be made. This community will not support the enhancement of the airport.

So if that was the impression of the Port, the next question is, they're going to vote on it. I think at this point, if you're only going to color with those two colors, then the commission and the Port needs to step up and make the decision, and another \$90,000 isn't going to change things, and we all know that, so thank you.

MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you.

William Dana and then Carl Holder.

MR. DANA: I'm William Dana, 4000 South
Irby Street, in Kennewick, resident for about 16 years.

Yeah, I did have to chuckle when I heard the fear of a goose bringing a plane down anywhere around here. Geese fly all over the place all the time, airplanes do, too, and there hasn't been a plane that got knocked out of the sky yet around here due to a goose.

So I do fly myself and, yeah, I do see geese once in awhile, but for some reason, the geese avoid the airplanes. It's interesting, I flew right through a flock of them one day, and they just went all the way around the plane, but they didn't go into the propeller or the windshield.

We read about the one accident in New York, but that was an anomaly, in my opinion. As a matter of fact, if you go to a city park, I would gather that there's probably been some deaths down in Columbia Park at some time or another, which is more deaths than we've seen from planes falling out of the sky.

And I was struck by the fact that a city the size of Kennewick, which is the major city in the Tri-Cities, would even consider eliminating the airport. Where is the vision? Doesn't -- somehow -- the word port is in the word airport and the word port is in the Port of Kennewick.

The Port of Kennewick's obligation to the citizens is to provide for Port access to the citizens, it's their, one of their core jobs, and to eliminate the airport, I believe, is total irresponsibility.

We look at these studies and we say, we look at the capital expenditures, the two options that are really mainly being considered. Well, then you ask yourself, what happens after the capital investment is in?

Well, then you go in to an O & M budget, don't you, repairs, cracks in the sidewalks and so forth. If we step back a little bit and look at this in terms of a hundred year investment instead of twenty years or ten years or five years, we come out with a totally different outcome, and I believe that it's a diminished cost to the citizens for that.

The advantage of a thriving airport in our community is that, and compare that to the other option, where you're not going to have an airport, where you're going to fill it up with small businesses. One is that, in either case, the land becomes occupied, but in the case of the airport, it continues to draw in more business into our community.

It's the magnet which is going to continue to draw people here and to draw people in versus once you

fill this place up with places to get coffee and/or little walk around your dog around the little water park, or whatever it is they have, that's really not going to continue to grow.

But if we keep the airport, it provides a vital port for business to come into the City of Kennewick, excuse me. Let's just think about this a little bit. Okay, over in Richland, what did we read about in the Tri-City Herald the other day? We read about land that's being sold to businesses that are being funded in Japan and the money is coming in and they're going to build a big hotel complex out by Horn Rapids.

That's very conveniently near the Richland airport. One of the main reasons why they chose that location is because of the convenience to the airport, where they can get in and out.

So that's an example of how airports can draw major development from major investors, and by taking the Port away from the Port of Kennewick and from the citizens of Kennewick, you take away growth, you take away opportunities, and you take away jobs.

So we have to look at this, too, in terms of, even if we develop at a smaller, slower scale. In one case, we have the low value, small industries that are, would be encouraged by this option of closing the

airport, and the other is by keeping real strong
industries, like Cadwell Labs, like Pacific Cataract,
like UPS, and attracting other really solid companies
that employ a lot of people.

So the point here is that we've got a Port
that I think is lacking in vision. I think the directors

5 better turn around or they're not going to be on the
8 board much longer. We need a board of directors who has
9 long-term vision for its citizens, its community, and its
10 businesses. Thank you.

MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you.

Carl Holder and then Kathy White.

MR. HOLDER: Thank you. My name is Carl Holder. I'm a pilot, I live at 1503 Desert Springs

Avenue, which makes me a Port of Kennewick resident, here in Richland.

And I'm a pilot, I've been a pilot all my life so I operate in a different paradigm. An airport is central to my reality, and I was delighted to hear that the expanded airport opportunity had a positive outcome, even though it was expensive. This was the aerotropolis, this grand vision for our community.

But the aerotropolis, at this time, just can't be justified, it has too big of a price tag for where we are. And at the present time, it cannot be

```
justified, it has competing and a diametrically opposed
1
 2
    alternative.
 3
                 So what we've created here as everybody can
 4
     see -- and why aren't the Port commissioners here to hear
 5
     this?
           Where are they? They can't take the heat?
                     MR. MEHAFFY:
 6
                                  As a point of, this is
 7
    actually part of the process, this is a public hearing
 8
    and they felt they wanted to not influence the process of
9
10
                     MR. HOLDER:
                                  But they took public comment
11
    yesterday too.
12
                     MR. MEHAFFY: They'll be listening to all
13
    this. They'll get the input.
14
                     MR. HOLDER: Yeah, anyway, they should be
15
    here.
16
                     UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:
                                           I agree.
17
                     MR. HOLDER:
                                  They really should be here
18
    to hear this. I mean, I came out for this, I came out
19
    yesterday to hear it. What's wrong, this is their
20
    program.
21
                 Excuse me. I diverted. I've landed at many,
22
    many small airports in my time, and I can tell you
23
    stories about each one. In Caldwell, Idaho, it's a
24
    farming community, it has a thriving airport.
                                                    I've been
25
    there many times. It's got a nice little FBO, it has a
                                                             73
```

great little restaurant, it has a lot of airplanes, in fact it has 270 airplanes based on the site and 403 operations per day.

I went to see a farmer. He went to pick me up in his pickup, we went out to his farm, we did business, he brought me back to the airport, and we made a deal. And I got in my airplane, and I came back to Kennewick, and this happened all in one day. That can only happen with an airport.

It doesn't happen, I wouldn't have gone to Caldwell if they wouldn't have had an airport. I would have done business with somebody else in some other locale that had an airport for me to use. That is the importance of having an airport so that you can do business with people regionally.

That airport is owned by the City of Caldwell and it's a very nicely used place of business.

I was also, as I went through the scoping comments, I was very impressed with the Washington State Department of Transportation's statements. It says, managing a profitable airport, even in prosperous times, can be a challenge. But they have two key characteristics for positive outcomes. One is integrating the airport into the local economic plan and actively engaging with airport supporters.

And they say excluding an airport from the local economic plan increases its risk of closure, as demonstrated by the Blaine Municipal Airport.

Washington's aviation system is even greater than the revenue, employment, and sales data suggests. It is the backbone of a vibrant and healthy economy. Everybody talks about the need for destinations. An airport is a destination, so why would you ruin a principal destination in your community. So that states my paradigm.

Looking at the other side of the coin, I ask the planners if they would not look at the Washington Department of Transportation statements and see if these comments are addressed in the current EIS, and I don't believe so.

Has the Port of Kennewick integrated the airport into the local economic plan? I don't think so. Has the Port of Kennewick actively engaged with Port supporters? Obviously not.

In the redevelopment paradigm, I looked through the comments and I thought, maybe the City of Kennewick interview would provide some clarity. And they said, about this area, that the level of service issue s are a problem, mostly delay issues.

The challenges going forward will be with

changing the road network. Crossing a railroad track takes an act of God. It seems to be a market for entry-level housing with smaller lots. There was a planning effort regarding development of the entertainment district, but it really never went anywhere, and the major growth effort for us is Southridge, the land supplied probably 20 years of inventory.

So my personal comment regarding the city center redevelopment effort, our greatest asset, is the river. And from this location, there's little view of the river, you can't walk or ride a bicycle there, and it is increasingly difficult to drive there.

So there we have it, we've got a draft EIS, the planners missed the point of the "no action" alternative. We need a new paradigm, something that we can all kind of get around, something that we've agreed on once, not very long ago, and that was the 2011 master plan, so the "no action" alternative should be and should be analyzed, and I believe it's valid, the invigorated 2011 master plan. The Port has a duty and obligation to keep this airport open.

MR. MEHAFFY: Kathy White and then Steve Mallory.

MS. WHITE: Well, I have a list of, a

number of things to say, and one by one, they've all been spoken to. And my last one was general aviation, and you certainly did a good job of explaining the value of general aviation.

But the thing that is missed, again, looking at more than 20 years from now, is the aviation industry. It's huge. There's a big thing happening these next few years with the baby boomers. Pilots are retiring, air traffic controllers, and just on, riding on the way here, we're hearing about the trouble that Boeing is having, so we need aeronautical engineers, we need all kinds of people.

Well, right next to Vista Field is an institution that can help our local youth become aware of the opportunities in aviation, and that's Tri-Tech Skills Center. Other skill centers have aviation programs.

While I was on the Kennewick School Board, I spoke to Jerry Ringwald about establishing a program there. He was very interested, we exchanged cards. And I talked to the pilot association, and they also would be willing to help, but it never went anywhere because nobody ever knew what was going to happen to Vista Field.

But I think it is something really unique that our community could do, and that is to have an aviation program in high school so that students could

look at the opportunities, and there's many opportunities in aviation. And it's only going to grow. I mean, there's more airports, not less.

And another thing, while we were traveling and visiting my brother in Phoenix at an airport on the north side of Phoenix, there's all of these guys with white shirts and blue pants and almost military. Well, they were Chinese, the Chinese government is starting to look into the value of general aviation, they're looking at opening up the air space for general aviation.

They are seeing the value of regional transportation, being able to get in a plane and fly 200 miles away, which it takes you, what, about an hour and a half in most small planes, instead of driving, which might take four to eight hours.

And so the aviation industry is a very viable thing, it's growing, and there's an opportunity if Vista Field, even in the "no plan" plan, which is with the master plan, if there's some space there, and who knows what's going on in education, the district might have money to build a classroom and help with the development of Vista Field.

But once a teacher, always a teacher, and I have to bring up that idea, too, and to think about the youth of our community. And I agree about the amount of

gray hair in here. 1 2 Both my husband and I became interested in 3 different political things after we retired. I ran for the school board after I retired because I knew I didn't 4 5 have the time when I was 40 years old and raising a family, so, anyhow, love the gray hair. 6 7 MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 8 Steve Mallory. 9 MR. MALLORY: Thank you. My name is 10 Steve Mallory, I'm a local architect, and am in the Port District and I also live just off the north end of the 11 12 Vista Field runway. 13 First of all, I would like to thank Michael, I think, in fact, I did read the whole thing, cover to 14 cover, wow. 15 16 MR. MEHAFFY: Sorry. 17 MR. MALLORY: I had about ten pages of 18 notes, and I've condensed it down to four, and I'll give 19 a copy to you, but I wanted to go over a couple of 20 things. 21 I'm going to take off -- okay, I think it's a 22 good job, Michael, I really do -- I'm going to take off 23 my architect hat and put on my citizen hat, and say that 24 in regards to the \$460 million redevelopment costs, I 25 think that's probably about two or three times the actual

79

density of the value of what can be put into that acreage, 112 acres.

I took a look at that, and maybe if it was one development where it was contiguous, building to building to building, and I'll get to my point in a minute, but I believe that when you have individual developers go in, we'll not have the advantage of having zero setbacks everywhere, building to building, everything connected.

And so, mathematically, it probably can be done, and I had a hard time getting there though, but I think a more actual, real would be possibly about a third of that. By the time you have approaches, vehicle access on the sites and get down to maybe the floor area ratio would be probably a little bit different.

I like the plans, I like them both. I like the vision. And I think that's real important for the City of Kennewick to have vision, but as somebody said, you have to be able to show to the public that you're going to make it. You can't keep going back to the public for dollars and dollars.

So in relation to that, the high property value that's associated with and the high amount of development that is expected in the plan lends itself more like to the South Lake Union area or maybe the walk

in San Antonio. And, notice, those are quite a bit bigger metropolitan areas.

So I have a concern of whether or not enough class A office space, the higher density, which I am a strong proponent of a mixed-use -- live, work, play area -- I'm a very strong proponent of that here, I think that part can be done, but I have concerns about whether or not the office space can be actually kept up to those numbers.

I certainly hope they can because that will bode very well for the City of Kennewick. And that means, even though we won't be able to poach from the other areas, that means that the City of Kennewick and other people have to work very strongly to bring the jobs and the companies from out of area.

Secondly, maybe I should just read this so I don't get it all mixed up. In my humble opinion, Tables 6-1 and 7-1, and I'm taking a different slant. There's a lot of people with a lot of passion here, but I'm looking specifically at the study. So --

MR. MEHAFFY: Section D, is that right, Appendix D?

MR. MALLORY: Yes.

Need to work on some of the points, and one of the gentleman talked about historical data. Well,

things like surface runoff, right-of-ways, the perimeter road going around the airport -- I'm, I think that, I know where you're coming from there, but that usually addresses an exceptional case where there's a major arterial going right where that runway needs to be and you have gates and things like that.

If you have a lot of crossings between the airport facilities and the public on the airport side, where we have a blank slate to work with, I'm just not, I'm having a hard time buying that concept.

I'll go onto a couple of other things. So, ultimately, I think the \$460 million number is too high, is probably, a density that's supported around here is probably between \$150 and \$180 million. We're just not a big city like Seattle where there's like Google at South Union.

On page 122, and I have tons of them, but one that might want to be looked at is, I noticed that the single engine airplane projections are flat. The projections continue flat by the FAA, their numbers, and I'm wondering if that's going to stay flat.

We've seen a pretty rough last five years of the economy, but we are now seeing some signs of good things to come, but with gas prices coming down, maybe those projections need to be revisited. My final point is, I have one big concern that I have, and maybe I'll put my architect's hat back on for a minute, is if the development does occur as envisioned, which I strongly support being an architect, I have concerns about how the development will end up being what is actually designed in the front-end, was actually envisioned.

And there's going to be a major amount of pressure on the Port and other owners at the time to develop, according to that vision, and not start to piecemeal it all up. And in that respect, you might have a real tough time even reaching \$120 million.

It's a major concern, how do you keep those standards up and do that. So there will have to be a very strong guideline or framework that, when the developers go in, they understand what they can and can't do and can't continually try to erode around like CCNRs or something like that or design standards, but keep up that level throughout so it does retain the continuity from the south end clear to eventually the Columbia Center Mall.

So let me see if I have anything else. Probably just about up on my time. I think that's it.

Once again, I think it is thorough, I've checked most of the numbers. I don't have the backing

spread sheets, but most of it made a lot of sense to me, 1 2 so I appreciate it. 3 Thank you very much. MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 4 5 We are just about out of time, but I just want to ask if anyone else would like to make a comment, 6 one more, this will be the last one. 7 MR. CADWELL: I was one of those stuck at 8 9 Meigs Field when they ripped it out. 10 MR. MEHAFFY: Sorry? MR. CADWELL: I was one of the few planes 11 12 stuck at Meigs Field in Chicago when they ripped it out. 13 MR. MEHAFFY: Oh, no kidding. MR. CADWELL: Just a comment. 14 They 15 finally pulled the trucks off the taxiway so we could 16 take off on the taxiway. 17 MR. CHRISTIANSON: My name is Paul Christianson and I live at 4021 West Nixon in Pasco. 18 19 I am not a resident of the Port area, but I 20 am a development engineer that developed in all seven communities here that we call the Tri-Cities. 21 22 You know, I realize this is kind of an 23 emotional issue, and it's really because of history of 24 the airport, how long it's been there and we all have 25 history, and it's very personal.

That airport is owned and used by a lot of people for private use, but I ask you, how many of you remember the green bridge in this area, how emotional it was to see that green bridge removed.

But today we have the cable bridge across there, and you don't refuse to go to that end of town because the green bridge isn't there. You use the cable bridge, and it's a wonderful way, fast across the river, but we miss the old green bridge, just out of history.

You know, it's like a ski resort, they close the ski resort, but you don't ever refuse to go skiing ever again or they close a car dealership, you don't refuse to drive a car.

And if we close Vista Field, you're not going to refuse to ever fly again, you're going to relocate, you're going to find a place to put your plane if you still like to fly.

Like I say, it's for private use there. You can't go down to Vista Field and say, I want to buy a ticket to fly to Vegas, to Portland, to Seattle. If you want to fly out of the Tri-Cities, you have to go to Pasco to catch a plane to fly out, unless you want to do it privately, in your own private plane from your own private airport.

You know, I think we've got to look at it and

say, you know, we've got to move on, it's a piece of 1 2 ground that can be used for the entire community, for a 3 development that everyone could appreciate. And if we want to say, but it's mine, I don't 4 5 want to lose my little piece of the pie, is it private or is it the public, because it's being funded by public 6 7 funds. Thank you. 8 MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you. 9 And at this time I'll close the public 10 hearing and I want to thank you all for coming. 11 MR. PETERSON: Did everybody who wanted 12 to speak have a chance? Anybody else? 13 MR. MEHAFFY: There's room for a few more minutes. 14 15 MR. PARKER: My name is Rich Parker. 16 live at 3131 Hood Avenue here in Kennewick. 17 You know, being here, I've thought about 18 airports, I never really have before, I'm not a pilot. 19 My facility uses it. I'm the manager of 20 Pacific Cataract. And thinking about how it is that airports do help economies, it is a nebulous thing, but 21 22 every major city has them. 23 How many people here have ridden the bus this 24 year, the local bus? Okay, not that many, all right, yet 25 I'm willing to vote to sustain a bus system. It does 86 not -- it loses money, it requires tax money to sustain it for an economy. Same thing, airports are kind of nebulous but, really, there's something there that cities that have them seem to have better economies.

Now I've been in manufacturing and cost for ten years and I've been in purchasing for twenty years, so I've really been on financial sides of things and, you know, I can see both sides of, you know, the pluses and minuses of closing or maintaining the airport.

But, you know, there's something about an airport that helps, and you can't always put your finger on it as to how it helps the economy, but it does.

And, you know, I started to think, well, guys like Donald Trump, is he going to fly into a place where they don't have an airport? Probably not, yet if he was to land here and said this whole thing here he can make happen, he could easily dump, you know, a billion dollars into it and make it happen, but if we don't have an airport, he'll never land here, he'll go over to Richland or a different city.

And there's other -- and you know, not everybody is that rich. I don't have a lot of money. I work for somebody who has developed a company by utilizing airports in different, small airports in smaller cities, you know. Lewiston, we have a facility

on the Lewiston airport, not downtown, no place else.

We have a facility here, we have a facility, just built one in Bellingham on their airport. Not the new, prospering Bellingham downtown area, yet we bring millions of dollars' worth of salaries every year to this economy, just for one little area, and it's the kind of thing, it's nebulous.

Now the other thing I was thinking about, economy wise, if you built a, if there's a city that has all of these jobs that are minimum wage or low paying wages, it cannot sustain itself. Sooner or later, they're going to have to pay to repave the streets, to rebuild stuff.

People making minimum wage cannot, they cannot pay that type of taxes, so the city will erode. What it requires is it requires people from all phases of financial stature, people who are really rich down to people who are starting out, who are making minimum wage and everything in between.

And the people who use the airports are people who are middle class, people who want to use it for fun, yet it's a very expensive hobby, but that generates a lot of money into the economy.

The other thing is from there on up, like I say, all the way up to the Donald Trumps. The person who

makes minimum wage, they're not going to use the airport, although I admit it is fun to come and watch planes land and take off, something I've always enjoyed.

So it's something to realize that the airport does. You can't put your finger on how it, is that it helps the economy, yet if you were to look, if you were to take and to drive around the airport and one by one look at all of the businesses there, you're going to say, well, this business isn't minimum wage, this one isn't minimum wage, this one isn't minimum wage.

The businesses tend to be higher paying jobs who also sustain the minimum wage laborers.

Somebody told me, with one worker out at Hanford, that if a worker leaves there because they're laid off, there's going to be two other jobs lost in this area because of the higher paying jobs, and that's something that we need to consider with the airport in maintaining it being open.

This airport has been run down. You look at it, there's nothing there. I don't see how the Port commissioners can stand up and say, boy, we've done a great job at trying to proliferate this airport and make it go, and it just hasn't worked.

And so, really, it's something to stop and think about, and before I end, I would really like to

say, thank you very much for all your comments. I commented, this is better than TV. enjoyed all the people. We're all U.S. citizens, we all have freedom of speech, and it's enjoyable to hear everybody talk from your different aspects, and I've learned a lot. I've seen a lot of things, I've got a lot of good ideas tonight, so thank you very much. MR. MEHAFFY: Thank you, and thank you all, again, for coming, and with that, I'll close the public hearing. Thank you very much. (Hearing concluded at 9:05 p.m.)

1	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2) ss. COUNTY OF BENTON)
3	
4	I, Patricia E. Hubbell, do hereby certify that
5	at the time and place heretofore mentioned in the caption
6	of the foregoing matter, I was a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter for Washington; that at said time and place I
8	reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and
9	proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that thereafter
10	my notes were reduced to typewriting and that the
11	foregoing transcript consisting of 90 typewritten pages
12	is a true and correct transcript of all such testimony
13	adduced and proceedings had and of the whole thereof.
14	I further certify that I am herewith securely
15	sealing the said original deposition transcript and
16	promptly delivering the same to
17	Witness my hand at Kennewick, Washington, on
18	this day of , 2013.
19	
20	
21	Patricia E. Hubbell
22	CSR No. 2919 Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	
24	
25	
	91

				Page 32
	l	l .a.u	15.40.450.45.55	
A	55:16	62:24	15:18 16:8 17:6,7	33:16
aback (1)	address (7)	airfield (2)	18:11 22:16,17	applied (1)
65:13	3:25 4:1,24 5:1 16:14	1:5 11:2	28:13 32:3 34:4	13:6
able (9)	24:17 36:16	airplane (7)	73:2 76:16,19	appreciate (3)
2:14 12:16 16:13,14	addressed (3)	46:25 47:9,13 61:9	alternatively (1)	36:15 84:2 86:3
29:1 48:10 78:12	16:25 33:19 75:14	63:4 74:7 82:19	5:14	approaches (1)
80:19 81:12	addresses (1)	airplanes (9)	alternatives (9)	80:13
accept (1)	82:4	29:15 47:11 59:20	7:1 8:5,12,14,17	approval (1)
63:22	adduced (2)	60:6,9 69:6,10 74:1	10:16 14:19 15:16	56:24
access (6)	91:8,13	74:2	18:3	approved (1)
12:15 14:7,14,15 70:2	adjacent (1)	airport (132)	amenities (12)	39:3
80:13	52:9	7:17,19 8:12,14 9:10	10:10,14 11:10 12:2,9	architect (3)
accident (3)	adjusted (2)	9:14 10:3,24 11:8	13:4,5,21 16:2 18:17 57:14 65:21	79:10,23 83:4
60:11 62:13 69:14	26:17 31:21	11:15 12:4 13:3		architects (1)
accidently (1)	administration (1)	17:12 21:1,19,24	amon (2)	83:2
46:25	43:7	22:7,10,15 23:11	45:18,25	area (20)
accidents (3)	admit (1)	26:6,6,14,15,18	amount (4) 29:19 78:25 80:23	12:10 13:1 24:9 43:17
46:21,22 47:12	89:2	27:25 29:12 31:5 35:6 38:8 40:17		46:2,5 49:22,23
acclaimed (1)	adoption (1)	42:20 43:5,6,13,16	83:8	51:4 53:9 75:23
28:7	57:12		analysis (5)	80:14,25 81:6,15
account (2)	advantage (2)	44:2,4,11,14,15	8:24 14:25,25 17:15	84:19 85:3 88:4,6
3:4 20:7	70:17 80:7	45:3,11,23 47:1	17:19	89:16
achieved (1)	advertisements (1)	50:6,10,17 51:9,17	analyzed (1) 76:20	areas (5)
57:17	7:22	51:18,20,21,23 52:9 52:17 53:11 54:13		16:20,21 22:21 81:2
acreage (1)	advisory (1)		analyzing (1) 54:14	81:13
80:2	42:1	54:18,25 56:25 57:3		arena (2)
acres (5)	advocate (1)	57:9,11,14,23 58:9	announcements (2)	22:2,5
49:24 51:13 59:16,23	24:9	58:10,21 60:5,15,23	4:22 5:6	arent (4)
80:2	aeronautical (1)	61:7,25 62:16,25	annual (7)	32:5 38:13 42:1 73:4
act (2)	77:11	63:4,5 64:21,24	36:24 37:2,5 38:5	arizona (1)
5:17 76:2	aerotropolis (4)	65:11,16 66:15,21 68:5,16 69:22,24	53:17 57:7 58:3	12:6
acted (1)	11:1 67:10 72:21,23	70:4,17,19,22 71:5	annually (3)	arnson (1)
35:19	afraid (1)	71:14,15 72:1,18,20	23:1 57:4,7	34:1
action (27)	36:6	73:24 74:6,9,11,13	anomaly (1) 69:15	arrival (1)
5:15 6:23 15:18 16:7	age (2)	74:14,16,21,24,25		56:16
22:18 38:21,22,24	61:15,16	75:1,3,8,17 76:22	answer (5)	arrive (1)
38:24 39:2,4,5,7,16	agencies (1)	78:5 82:2,8,8 84:24	20:22 21:3,9 27:7	21:1
39:22,24,25,25 40:2	7:15	85:1,24 87:9,11,15	67:20	arterial (1)
40:5,13 54:14,21	agency (1)	87:19 88:1,3 89:1,4	anticipate (1)	82:5
56:14,17 76:15,19	6:1	89:7,17,19,22	18:6 anticipated (1)	article (2)
actions (1)	aggressive (2)	airports (23)	56:9	46:4 47:6
52:24	11:9 13:22	21:7 29:14 43:3,10,10	antonio (2)	articles (1) 7:21
activated (1)	ago (6)	43:20 44:25 45:2,6	45:13 81:1	artwork (1)
12:7	41:11 46:23,24 47:5	49:9 52:23,24 58:20	anybody (3)	11:11
active (1)	59:19 76:18	64:6 71:17 73:22	27:4,6 86:12	
64:11	agree (7)	78:3 86:18,21 87:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	asked (5)
actively (2)	28:19 54:12 61:7	87:24,24 88:20	anymore (2) 50:23 51:4	7:7 8:8 19:20 65:10
74:25 75:18	67:16 68:7 73:16	allegiance (2)		65:11
activities (1)	78:25	2:16,17	anyway (3) 31:5 32:24 73:14	asking (2) 41:25 65:15
8:9	agreed (3)	allocate (1)		
actual (2)	33:23 50:5 76:17	3:12	apart (1) 10:15	aspect (1) 2:20
79:25 80:12	agreements (1)	allocated (1)	apologize (1)	
add (5)	52:23 ahead (5)	26:18	60:21	aspects (1) 90:5
16:15 32:20 33:4 34:5	2:11 9:5 11:6 30:21	allow (2)	appear (1)	
34:7	40:11	21:3 45:17	3:3	asset (3) 41:16 68:3 76:10
added (5)		allowed (1)		
25:15 31:25 33:7	aint (1)	36:15	appeared (1) 65:23	assets (1) 68:2
35:11 50:24	65:22	allowing (1)		
addition (4)	air (11)	13:7	appears (2) 55:3,4	assistance (1)
34:7,24 39:16 55:1	18:19 41:9,12,16,21	alternate (1)	35:3,4 appendix (2)	6:1
additional (3)	41:24 42:3 43:11,17 77:8 78:10	51:19	appendix (2) 11:22 81:22	associated (2) 8:12 80:23
3:18 11:14 37:1	aircraft (5)	alternative (16)		8:12 80:23 associates (1)
additionally (1)		5:16 10:17 12:24	apples (6)	23:16
	21:6 43:20 50:1 51:14	3.10 10.17 12.24	32:21,21,21 33:15,15	25:10

	İ	l	Ì	
association (1)	75:6	big (11)	breakouts (1)	businesses (12)
77:20	background (1)	17:17 26:23 43:20	10:20	9:17 10:11 11:2,17
assume (2)	58:10	46:7 47:5 59:14	bridge (6)	13:25 45:15 61:24
3:11 37:19	backing (1)	71:12 72:24 77:7	85:3,4,5,7,8,9	70:20 71:10 72:10
assuming (1)	83:25	82:15 83:1	bridges (1)	89:8,11
22:13	backside (1)	bigger (2)	1:23	button (1)
assumptions (3)	28:12	9:22 81:2	briefings (1)	50:15
			10:22	
25:4,5 33:2	ballot (2)	biggest (1)		buy (2)
assuring (1)	48:14,14	33:20	briefly (4)	34:10 85:19
52:1	base (1)	bike (1)	4:14 8:6 10:5 44:24	buying (1)
attachment (1)	51:15	50:21	bring (7)	82:10
44:4	based (7)	billion (2)	4:9 6:21 46:16 57:22	buyout (2)
attacks (1)	7:1 16:19 38:9 50:1	53:9 87:17	78:24 81:14 88:4	26:4 27:12
4:13	57:5 67:9 74:2	bird (2)	bringing (1)	
attention (3)	baseline (3)	62:12 63:3	69:4	C
46:14 55:18 59:11	8:8 15:7 56:18	birds (4)	brings (1)	c (2)
attract (1)	basically (2)	14:9,11 59:20,21	64:17	2:1 11:22
10:11	29:11 43:24	birdss (1)	broken (1)	cable (2)
			18:11	85:5,7
attracting (1)	basis (3)	12:23		
72:3	28:5 34:2 54:14	bit (10)	brokers (1)	cadwell (16)
attractive (1)	beautiful (3)	2:5 36:12 42:21 44:9	6:18	21:12 23:19,19 24:5
55:5	34:8 35:2 67:12	46:18 51:8 70:12	brother (1)	24:10,14,15,19 34:2
attributed (3)	began (2)	71:8 80:15 81:1	78:5	36:7 39:14 47:7
31:23 32:10 33:11	41:15 64:25	bite (1)	brought (4)	72:2 84:8,11,14
attributing (1)	beginning (1)	47:25	28:8 63:4 67:8 74:6	calculated (1)
31:22	41:14	blaine (4)	budget (4)	30:19
audience (1)	begun (1)	51:9,9,24 75:3	38:6 57:22 58:3 70:10	caldwell (3)
62:7	9:25	blank (1)	budgeted (1)	73:23 74:11,16
availability (1)	behalf (1)	82:9	38:7	call (7)
5:8	23:13	bleachers (1)	build (19)	2:7 6:19 10:24 19:13
available (4)	belief (1)	49:22	18:14,15,16 28:20,25	39:23 66:10 84:21
6:2 7:2 48:16,22	55:8	blue (1)	29:3,7 34:4 37:18	called (3)
avenue (3)	believe (16)	78:7	40:11 59:15 61:9,13	7:5 13:9,24
49:6 72:15 86:16	6:5 9:15 27:4,11	board (6)	61:13 64:3 67:10,21	calling (2)
average (3)	32:12,12 37:16	7:14 22:11 72:8,8	71:12 78:21	56:17 64:15
25:14,16,17	56:14 61:18 65:25	77:17 79:4	building (19)	campus (5)
averaged (1)	67:10 70:4,15 75:15	boat (1)	31:4,4 34:21,21 35:1	21:25 22:10,13,13,19
26:5	76:20 80:6	63:18	35:3 39:13 50:19	cams (1)
aviation (21)	bellingham (3)	bob (2)	52:13 64:3,4 65:1,2	39:17
6:13 7:9 15:3,3 43:7	51:19 88:3,4	42:7,16	65:20 80:4,5,5,8,8	canada (1)
44:6 51:3 62:25	belly (1)	bode (1)	buildings (9)	51:11
67:23,24 75:4 77:2	47:7	81:11	27:1 28:15,17,17,18	canadian (1)
77:4,6,15,16,25	ben (1)	boeing (1)	30:10 37:20 38:13	52:8
78:2,9,10,16	25:21	77:10	59:16	cant (15)
avoid (2)	beneficial (1)	bond (1)	buildout (1)	21:9 29:2 33:16 66:21
4:13 69:9	57:19	30:19	53:17	66:23 67:14 72:24
aware (6)	benefit (2)	boomers (1)	built (12)	73:5 76:12 80:20
5:12 18:2,2 41:12	22:9,13	77:8	35:9,10 49:16 52:1,11	83:16,17 85:19
46:24 77:14			62:2,2 65:1 66:17	87:11 89:5
	benefits (4)	bottom (4)		
awhile (1)	15:19 23:5 31:17 32:8	17:1,2 19:8 32:19	67:17 88:3,9	capital (3)
69:9	benton (3)	bought (2)	builtin (1)	54:19 70:6,8
axial (1)	20:12 66:9 91:2	52:9 67:1	17:21	caption (1)
11:16	best (10)	boulevard (2)	bunch (1)	91:5
	6:22 7:1 27:23 42:5	1:9 12:17	19:5	car (2)
B	54:15,15 56:23	boy (1)	bureau (2)	85:12,13
baby (1)	58:15,15 65:25	89:21	1:9 7:15	cards (1)
77:8	bet (1)	brainer (1)	bus (3)	77:19
back (16)	36:5	15:24	86:23,24,25	care (1)
			business (20)	37:9
3:17 7:6 20:18 30:1	better (4)	braydons (1)		
34:16 38:6 48:9	10:9 72:7 87:4 90:2	66:15	7:13 50:3 51:4 60:15	carefully (1)
49:1 66:22,22,23	beyond (1)	break (1)	60:15,16 62:1,24	45:9
70:12 74:6,7 80:20	8:23	45:6	65:3,3 67:9,24 68:6	carl (12)
83:2	bicycle (1)	breakout (1)	70:23 71:6 74:6,12	21:12 23:19,19 24:10
backbone (1)	76:12	7:8	74:15,17 89:9	24:15 40:10 47:7
` '	1	1	1	1

_				Page 94
			I	I
59:5 66:15 68:25	68:22	classroom (1)	46:15 49:1 53:18	19:24
72:12,13	changing (1)	78:21	55:21 64:1,13 66:7	complete (1)
carry (1)	76:1	classrooms (1)	66:17 70:14 71:6	5:5
43:11	chapters (3)	31:2	82:24 89:2	completed (1)
carryover (1)	44:25 47:23 48:5	cleaning (1)	comes (2)	34:7
43:19	characteristics (2)	56:4	43:16 62:13	complex (1)
case (11)	12:3 74:23	cleanup (2)	coming (13)	71:12
6:22 13:2 15:19 18:19	charge (1)	56:6,8	9:6 11:6 44:20,21	comply (1)
27:10 45:9 53:3	62:16	clear (6)	45:15 55:18 61:22	4:17
70:21,22 71:24 82:4	charrette (5)	16:4,6 42:2 58:13	61:23 71:11 82:3,24	comprehensive (1)
cases (2)	10:6,19 11:6 14:3,20	60:9 83:20	86:10 90:9	18:7
52:22,22	chasing (1)	clearly (4)	comment (9)	computer (2)
cash (1)	60:1	27:7 41:19 43:2,15	2:22 3:23 4:4,20	48:22,25
55:10	chavallo (5)	clif (2)	36:22 73:10 76:9	concept (12)
cataract (9)	54:1 59:2,8,8 63:7	62:19,21	84:6,14	10:25 11:19 12:7 13:5
25:24 34:9,13 35:2,8	checked (1)	cliff (1)	commented (2)	13:8,13,22,23,23
35:14 39:12 72:2	83:25	31:1	57:2 90:2	30:7 64:20 82:10
86:20		close (16)	comments (21)	
	chicago (3) 49:11 51:2 84:12		1:8 2:7,19 3:1,1,5,24	concepts (2) 11:13 14:22
catch (2)		35:10,12 38:10 41:12		
20:6 85:22	chicken (1)	41:20,24 42:3 45:10	4:1,25 5:9 16:16,19 18:1 19:24 54:11	concern (5)
categories (1)	60:20	49:20 52:24 67:13		16:15 55:11 81:3 83:1
17:20	chief (1)	85:10,12,14 86:9	58:25 62:5 74:19	83:13
caters (1)	65:6	90:9	75:14,21 90:1	concerned (1)
11:8	chinese (2)	closed (4)	commercial (1)	54:21
cause (1)	78:8,8	49:9 51:23 52:17	59:15	concerns (4)
55:18	choice (11)	53:11	commission (1) 68:20	7:5 36:17 81:7 83:5
cbre (1)	6:24,25 8:3 41:25	closer (2)		concert (1)
36:24	42:2 54:23,25 56:19	37:16 51:8	commissioners (8)	50:20
cenrs (1)	56:23 57:20 58:15	closing (4)	35:22 36:4 41:25	concluded (2)
83:17	choices (4)	5:14 44:3 71:25 87:9	48:12,13 57:2 73:4 89:21	41:23 90:11
center (16)	8:21 19:9 54:12,25	closure (6)		concluding (1)
1:24 12:17 13:13	choose (3)	8:15 13:7 17:6 49:19	commitment (4)	56:16
18:15,16 22:1,1,3,4	23:12 42:9,12	52:1 75:2	11:8 57:17,25 58:10 communities (1)	conclusion (3)
46:7 49:21 50:12	chose (1)	clover (2)	84:21	16:6,25 36:3
52:3 76:10 77:16	71:14	20:16,20		conclusions (3)
83:21	christianson (2)	coffee (4)	community (37) 5:21 6:25 7:13 8:3,21	4:4 10:4 15:15
centers (1) 77:16	84:17,18	59:22 60:4,12 71:1	10:8,14 11:9 14:4	condensed (1)
	chuckle (1)	coin (1)	16:1 18:7,17,25	79:18
central (1)	69:3	75:11		confused (3)
72:19	circle (1)	coliseum (1)	41:7 43:12 44:18,18 45:17 46:6 47:24	37:3,14,24
cents (3)	54:7	46:7	48:15,17 58:16 61:3	confusing (2)
28:3,4,4	cities (2)	colleague (1)	62:9 64:11 67:23	37:23 38:12
century (1) 15:3	87:3,25	14:10 collection (1)	68:15 70:18,23 72:9	connected (1) 80:9
certainly (9)	citizen (1) 79:23	23:2	72:22 73:24 75:9	connecting (1)
9:4 13:18 17:4 18:18	citizens (8)	collins (1)	77:24 78:25 86:2	13:1
18:23 19:2 26:1	` /	66:16	commuting (1)	connection (2)
77:3 81:10	23:6 58:16 70:2,2,16	colocation (1)	34:16	11:16 12:17
certified (3)	71:20 72:9 90:3	13:24	companies (2)	connectivity (1)
1:23 91:6,22	city (25) 7:12 20:12 22:6 23:3	color (2)	72:3 81:15	12:20
certify (2)	51:1,16,24,24 52:17	67:11 68:19	company (6)	cons (1)
91:4,14	64:4 69:16,20,21	colors (3)	52:9,11,12 60:18 62:1	48:15
chain (1)	71:6 74:16 75:21	68:12,13,20	87:23	consider (8)
14:3	76:9 80:18 81:11,13	columbia (5)	compare (3)	20:7 22:12 23:16
chair (1)	82:15 86:22 87:20	12:17 45:18,25 69:17	8:17 33:15 70:18	44:16 55:2 56:4
62:11	88:9,15	83:20	compares (2)	69:22 89:17
challenge (2)	civic (2)	column (3)	5:15 18:3	consideration (3)
12:21 74:22	10:1 13:5	27:22 29:6 30:11	comparing (3)	54:20 56:15,21
challenges (2)	clarify (1)	combined (1)	32:21 39:4 56:18	considered (6)
13:17 75:25	10:2	22:21	competing (1)	14:15 22:8 54:22
chance (2)	clarity (1)	come (25)	73:1	56:12 65:15 70:7
7:25 86:12	75:22	2:7,9 3:17 9:11 19:14	compilation (1)	consisting (1)
change (5)	class (2)	19:15 29:7,12 33:5	17:18	91:11
43:19,21 45:25 60:25	81:4 88:21	38:5 45:10 46:6,9	compiled (1)	consists (1)
1 .5.17,21 15.25 00.25	1	1 20.0 10.10 10.0,7	I * * * * * /	

				1 496 75
	l	l	l	I
21:25	8:18 9:19 18:10,23	76:1	51:18,23	details (1)
construction (1)	25:8 26:6,16,21	crossings (2)	decided (3)	16:11
55:14	28:2 29:6 30:18	30:4 82:7	8:13 32:13 41:13	detriment (2)
consultant (1)	32:10 33:5,11 37:1	crosswind (1)	decides (1)	22:9,12
15:4	38:2,5,12 41:9	66:25	30:21	develop (10)
consultants (3)	50:12 55:7 56:4,8	cryer (1)	decision (7)	32:8 46:13 51:1 53:9
6:24 15:2 43:15	57:11,19 63:15	26:7	10:3 15:25 16:1 44:19	55:17 63:24,25
contact (3)	70:15 87:5	csr (1)	48:10 68:14,21	67:13 71:23 83:10
4:23 5:10 21:7	costbenefit (1)	91:21	deck (1)	developed (8)
contiguous (1)	58:12	culdesac (1)	24:3	11:21 13:10,11 14:20
80:4	costly (1)	52:3	deem (1)	31:16 54:22 84:20
contingency (1)	55:3	cup (3)	3:6	87:23
30:15	costs (14)	59:22 60:4,12	deferred (1)	developer (3)
continually (3)	15:19 17:3 26:9,13	current (11)	59:4	58:19 61:11 64:3
33:22 46:17 83:17	32:9,11,13 38:1,8	8:9 9:6 11:4,25 16:11	definitely (1)	developers (6)
continue (5)	40:3 53:5 55:12	22:7 36:25 50:25	52:17	52:14 55:20 61:8
9:15 22:11 70:24 71:4	57:3 79:24	52:16 57:2 75:14	degree (1)	63:24 80:7 83:16
82:20	council (3)	currently (1)	40:16	developing (2)
continued (4)	51:16,18,20	55:23	delay (1)	14:20 42:4
7:18 9:8 23:10 65:3	counting (1)	cut (1)	75:24	development (36)
continues (2)	52:23	3:16	delighted (1)	8:13 9:2 10:10 11:15
9:15 70:22	county (1)	cycle (1)	72:19	12:9,13,14,24 17:9
continuity (1)	91:2	10:20	delivering (1)	17:11 21:18 22:8,9
83:19	couple (10)		91:16	22:14,16,22 23:9
contribute (1)	10:18 15:10 20:5	D	demolition (1)	29:11 34:23 41:22
37:12	24:23 26:10 32:4	d (4)	50:7	49:10 50:23 51:22
control (1)	36:20 66:9 79:19	2:1 61:4 81:21,22	demonstrated (1)	52:18 55:14 60:23
60:11	82:11	daley (2)	75:3	62:16 71:18 76:4
controllers (1)	course (7)	50:5,7	density (3)	78:21 80:4,24 83:3
77:9	5:1,2,24 7:16 24:9	damage (1)	80:1 81:4 82:13	83:5 84:20 86:3
controversial (1)	39:25 40:3	47:14	department (5)	diametrically (1)
6:7	court (2)	dan (1)	37:10 38:15 50:22	73:1
controversy (3)	20:11 54:10	26:7	74:20 75:13	didnt (8)
8:10 9:6 16:21	cover (2)	dana (4)	deposition (1)	38:17,18 48:2,3 56:7
convenience (4)	79:14,15	62:20 68:25 69:1,1	91:15	60:7 69:12 79:4
44:10 62:3,4 71:15	covered (3)	danger (1)	depreciate (3)	difference (4)
convenient (2)	42:23 46:19 56:7	59:14	27:2 37:6 38:12	9:8,9 18:10 38:20
34:11,11	cracks (1)	data (8)	depreciating (1)	different (15)
conveniently (1)	70:11	6:2 19:19 20:21 25:14	37:8	8:18 9:17 17:3,4,20
71:13	craft (1)	36:23 44:19 75:5	depreciation (9)	38:20 46:1 70:14
convention (7)	64:25	81:25	20:22,23 26:23 27:18	72:18 79:3 80:15
1:9 7:14 18:15 22:1,3	crafted (1)	date (2)	37:1,5,20,22 38:11	81:18 87:20,24 90:5
46:7 49:20	55:3	50:9 52:10	derived (1)	differently (1)
conventions (3)	crap (1)	day (13)	26:19	68:9
45:15 46:8,15	59:24	34:15,16 51:13 59:19	deschutes (2)	difficult (1)
conversation (3)	crayons (2)	60:7,8 65:10,12	30:5,6	76:13
10:1 21:4 38:22	67:12,15	69:11 71:9 74:3,8	describe (1)	difficulty (2)
conversations (1)	create (4)	91:18	4:15	40:11,12
68:10	18:16 47:22 57:21,23	dead (1)	desert (1)	digest (1)
convinced (1)	created (1)	56:15	72:14	47:22
57:16	73:3	deal (4)	design (2)	diminished (1)
copies (3)	credibility (1)	5:24 26:15 53:3 74:7	67:3 83:18	70:15
15:10 19:7 51:6	41:18	dealership (1)	designated (2)	diminishing (1)
	credible (1)	85:12	21:14 58:21	58:3
copy (1) 79:19	41:8	85:12 dealing (1)	designed (2)	direct (7)
	crews (1)	35:20		4:23 26:6,9,13 31:15
core (1)	50:7		67:22 83:6	31:17 32:7
70:3		deaths (2)	destination (2)	
corner (2)	critical (3)	69:17,18	75:8,9	direction (3)
49:21,22	14:7,15 34:19	debate (5)	destinations (1)	21:18 54:15,16
correct (2)	criticism (1)	2:24 9:8 42:20 43:9	75:7	directly (1)
48:12 91:12	16:7	63:12	destroy (1)	3:24
corrected (1)	cross (1)	debating (1)	50:8	director (2)
53:21	30:5	43:6	detail (3)	45:4 65:10
cost (28)	crossing (1)	december (2)	15:9 17:24 42:23	directors (3)
	1	1	<u> </u>	1

45:2 72:6,8	dot (1)	86:21 87:4	41:7 74:25	estimate (1)
43:2 /2:0,8 disciplines (1)	30:2	economy (8)	engine (1)	27:24
6:14	doubt (2)	75:6 82:23 87:2,12	82:19	evaluations (1)
discussed (1)	45:1 60:11	88:6,9,23 89:6	engineer (2)	10:22
7:7	downtown (5)	econorthwest (1)	15:5 84:20	evening (2)
discussion (1)	13:9 49:18,23 88:1,4	15:1	engineered (2)	21:13 62:21
62:14	downturn (1)	edge (2)	52:5,6	eventually (2)
distinctive (1)	55:17	12:8 42:18	engineering (1)	64:6 83:20
10:14	dozen (1)	education (1)	6:14	everybody (11)
district (26)	66:16	78:20	engineers (1)	3:10,13 18:1 27:10
7:14 11:17 12:12 14:5	dpz (5)	effect (1)	77:11	40:19 42:24 73:3
14:18 21:16,17,20	41:14,23 53:2,13,15	14:17	enhanced (7)	75:7 86:11 87:22
21:21 22:4,6,11,18	draft (4)	effective (1)	30:22 32:15 33:6	90:5
22:21 23:3,4,7,14	1:6 4:4,18 76:14	57:19	38:21 54:12,18,25	everybodys (1)
24:11 41:5 42:6	dragging (2)	effort (6)	enhancement (9)	3:1
49:7 67:20 76:5	65:23 66:3	8:2 21:16 23:15 76:4	39:4,5,7,10,20 40:3	evidence (3)
78:20 79:11	drain (1)	76:6,10	40:14 46:1 68:15	3:4,6 20:3
diverted (1)	52:5	eight (2)	enhancing (2)	example (8)
73:21	draw (5)	59:19 78:15	33:10,12	32:7 47:4 49:10,12
divide (2)	36:3 70:22,25,25	eis (4)	enjoy (3)	51:8 55:22 66:8
38:3,4	71:17	4:19 5:8 75:14 76:14	45:17 46:2 63:23	71:17
divided (1)	drawings (2)	either (8)	enjoyable (1)	examples (1)
25:15	10:6,23	5:9 13:6,18 23:11	90:4	49:9
dock (1)	drive (6)	33:15 48:3 60:23	enjoyed (2)	exceed (1)
35:2	29:12 36:11 58:11	70:21	89:3 90:3	35:6
doesnt (14) 19:1 21:3 26:21 28:11	76:13 85:13 89:7	elaborate (1) 45:14	entertainment (7)	exceptional (1) 82:4
29:16 33:14 50:22	drives (1) 29:14	elements (3)	11:16 12:12 14:4,18 22:21 46:1 76:5	exchanged (1)
54:4 60:16,17,17	29:14 driving (1)	11:24 12:18 14:6	entertainments (1)	77:19
63:17 69:23 74:10	78:14	eliminate (2)	46:15	excitement (1)
dog (1)	droves (1)	56:20 70:3	entire (3)	57:13
71:2	61:6	eliminating (2)	42:5 44:17 86:2	excluding (1)
dogs (1)	due (2)	56:21 69:22	entity (1)	75:1
59:22	4:1 69:7	email (3)	31:19	excuse (5)
doing (6)	dump (1)	3:25,25 4:24	entrylevel (1)	16:24 35:11 68:13
17:11 26:10 33:9 40:1	87:17	emanuel (1)	76:3	71:7 73:21
45:7 62:24	duty (2)	50:25	environment (1)	execute (1)
dollar (2)	58:23 76:21	embrace (1)	64:14	34:1
60:17 65:4	dyer (6)	22:18		executive (2)
dollars (13)	62:19,21,22 63:9,13	emerged (1)	1:6 5:16 6:14 7:10	15:12 28:21
22:23 23:1 27:5 30:20	64:17	10:6	15:4 17:13,16,20,21	exhaustive (1)
34:25 53:9 63:19	dynamic (1)	emergency (1)	17:21	17:19
64:6 67:21 80:21,21	10:11	47:7	envision (1)	exist (2)
87:17 88:5		emotional (3)	45:12	50:19 58:20
donald (2)		44:3 84:23 85:3	envisioned (2)	existing (2)
87:14 88:25 dont (61)	e (4)	emphasize (4) 3:22 9:7,10 16:16	83:4,7	11:17 35:1
18:14,15 19:3,15	2:1,1 91:4,21 early (1)	employ (1)	equal (1) 41:24	expand (1) 43:11
20:22 25:5 26:19	37:21	72:4	erode (2)	expanded (5)
29:18,21,22,22	easily (2)	employees (2)	83:17 88:15	22:10,15 32:15 39:14
30:13,19 31:18,22	57:6 87:17	10:12 50:25	eroded (1)	72:20
31:25 32:7,12,17	ecology (1)	employment (3)	66:19	expanding (2)
33:1,2 34:15 37:4,4	13:25	22:25 35:5 75:5	erosion (1)	5:13 8:12
38:12,16 43:9 44:1	economic (15)	encourage (1)	66:24	expansion (8)
48:12 49:2 51:1,4	9:2 14:25 15:17 16:2	15:9	essence (1)	10:17 17:5 28:13 31:9
55:1 56:14 58:17	16:12 17:14,22 44:2	encouraged (1)	21:22	32:3 38:1,2 54:13
59:13 61:14,22,22	52:18 53:15 55:25	71:25	essential (1)	expect (1)
62:11 63:14,14,18	60:23 74:24 75:2,17	encouraging (2)	58:21	53:8
63:22,25 64:10	economically (1)	9:13 67:25	establish (1)	expectations (2)
67:10,20 70:11	13:20	ends (2)	11:10	55:19 56:1
75:14,17 81:17	economics (5)	59:15 62:6	establishing (1)	expected (4)
83:25 85:6,11,12 86:4 87:15,18,22	6:13 7:9 13:16 15:2	engaged (1)	77:18	53:6,10,14 80:24
89:20	28:8	75:18	esthetics (1)	expenditure (1) 65:18
07.20	economies (2)	engaging (2)	12:2	03.10

	1			
expenditures (1)	familiar (1)	33:10,11,12,24	15:11	8:16 32:2
70:6	16:14	34:10,23 35:9,18,24	flipping (1)	framework (3)
expense (1)	family (2)	36:1 41:9,12,16,21	16:24	11:14 12:25 83:15
27:16	45:19 79:6	41:24 42:3,19 45:23	flock (1)	frankly (2)
expenses (4)	famous (1)	46:25 47:8 49:10,13	69:11	9:12 43:13
26:17,18 31:21,23	49:11	49:16,19,23 50:2	floor (1)	free (1)
expensive (2)	far (7)	51:14 52:23 53:2,10	80:14	4:11
72:21 88:22	21:6 25:9 40:8 49:21	54:15,16 55:24 57:5	flourishing (1)	freedom (1)
expert (1)	51:24 52:25 53:12	57:8 58:7,21 59:11	50:10	90:4
28:8	farm (1)	59:15 63:4 64:20	flunking (1)	freeway (1)
experts (4)	74:5	68:3 77:13,22 78:18	33:14	12:18
6:1,13,21 10:21	farmer (1)	78:22 79:12 84:9,12	fly (15)	friends (2)
explain (3)	74:4	85:14,19	60:2,5 61:21 63:22	44:5 45:19
2:4 8:2 19:19	farming (1)	fields (1)	64:21 65:5 69:5,8	front (2)
explaining (1)	73:24	49:24	78:12 85:15,17,20	31:7 43:2
77:3	fast (2)	fighting (1)	85:21,22 87:14	frontend (1)
exploring (1)	16:24 85:8	61:17	flying (3)	83:6
8:4	favor (1)	figures (1)	44:13 50:4 61:21	fruition (1)
express (1)	57:2	38:18	focus (1)	57:23
46:24	fbo (14)	fill (2)	57:16	frustration (1)
		70:20 71:1	focused (1)	
expressed (1)	11:6 12:1 14:22 26:11		4:13	9:20
16:9	28:22 30:25 31:4,4	final (12)		fully (2)
expresses (1)	31:12 37:7 39:5,6	3:3 5:5,8 16:23 19:25	folks (5)	31:16 46:13
12:2	65:2 73:25	20:1,8 37:18 44:19	10:9 31:4 44:21 47:17	fun (2)
expression (1)	fbos (2)	48:10 53:14 83:1	55:20	88:22 89:2
32:22	27:21 31:1	finally (3) 8:22 53:15 84:15	follow (1)	functional (1)
extreme (1)	fear (1)		59:3	57:9
51:10	69:4	financial (4) 56:6 58:2 87:7 88:17	followed (1)	funded (3)
eye (4)	feasibility (1)		7:8	30:1 71:11 86:6
12:23 14:9,11 20:10	8:19	financing (1) 30:18	following (3) 4:15 53:25 54:24	funding (1)
eyes (1) 68:5	feasible (2) 17:3 41:17	find (7)	4:15 53:25 54:24 follows (1)	52:15
08:3	features (3)	9:13 25:9 33:3 35:21	16:18	funds (1) 86:7
F	13:4 14:6 29:18	35:25 56:7 85:16	followthru (1)	further (1)
faa (3)	february (5)	fine (2)	57:25	91:14
50:19 51:2 82:20	4:2 19:20 33:25 39:9	3:14 42:14	foot (4)	future (7)
facilitate (1)	51:20	fines (1)	31:1,3,4 65:22	8:1 9:9 21:18 54:15
10:1	federal (1)	51:2	foregoing (3)	54:16 55:8 60:22
facilities (13)	43:7	finger (2)	91:6,9,11	34.10 33.8 00.22
	feed (1)	87:11 89:5	forgot (1)	G
7:14 21:8,15,20 22:4 22:6,11,18 23:4,7	13:25	first (22)	24:14	$\frac{3}{g(1)}$
23:13 39:14 82:8	feedback (1)	7:3 8:8 15:14 19:13	form (4)	2:1
	10:8	19:17 20:9,13,25	13:2,11 15:11 47:23	
facility (11)	feel (3)	24:6 25:7,10 28:6	formal (3)	gaining (1)
11:7,7 34:5,8 35:3	4:7 25:3,3	41:6,8 44:25 47:22	2:25 5:2,18	53:4
40:24 58:22 86:19	-	48:5,21 49:10 54:12	1 Table 1 Tabl	gary (4)
87:25 88:2,2	feeling (1) 57:13	65:12 79:13	formally (1) 19:12	48:20 51:24 54:2,9
fact (10) 19:22 29:4 33:22		fiscal (1)		gas (1)
	feet (1) 66:3	9:2	formed (1)	82:24
52:22 63:22 65:11		fiscally (1)	68:11	gate (1)
69:16,20 74:2 79:14	fellow (1)	41:19	forth (4)	30:4
factfinding (1)	65:1	five (9)	34:16 46:14 67:8	gates (2)
5:25 factors (2)	felt (1)	3:13 24:22 36:5 58:5	70:11	11:14 82:6
	73:8	59:16,22 61:20	forum (1) 36:15	gather (1)
56:6,8	female (1) 73:16	70:14 82:22		69:16
fair (3) 4:17 18:21 35:20		flat (3)	forward (11)	gathered (1)
	fence (3)	82:19,20,21	2:7,10 29:2 42:3	16:20
fairness (1)	29:11,15 30:7	62:19,20,21 flaw (1)	44:20,21 57:15	gear (1)
6:6	field (75)	33:20	58:11,13 60:25	62:13
faith (2)	5:14,15 8:1 11:5	flew (2)	75:25 found (2)	gee (1)
35:20 67:3	18:19 20:17 21:19 21:24 22:7 23:9	61:8 69:10	43:23 47:2	46:22 geese (8)
falling (1) 69:19	24:20,21 25:9,19,22	flex (1)	four (3)	59:23,25 60:5,10,10
falls (1)	27:1 28:14,25 30:22	13:1	31:5 78:15 79:18	69:5,8,9
47:13	31:10 32:3,15,16,16	flipbook (1)	fourth (2)	general (8)
71.13	31.10 32.3,13,10,10	p(1)	13u1 u1 (2)	Schiciai (0)

				3
4:15 18:5 44:25	57:15 59:10 60:10	57:9 78:17	89:23	historical (2)
51:3 77:2,4 78:9,10	61:10,21 62:17 64:9	grows (2)	hat (3)	49:8 81:25
generate (1)	65:22 68:18,19,22	43:17,17	79:23,23 83:2	historically (1)
33:6	70:19,20,24 71:3,12	growth (6)	havent (3)	38:14
generates (1)	72:7 75:25 77:22	9:2 13:8 22:25 67:25	8:7 29:1 43:1	history (4)
88:23	78:2,20 79:21,22	71:20 76:6	health (1)	66:21 84:23,25 85:9
gentleman (2)	80:20,20 82:2,5,21	guess (2)	47:15	hobby (1)
67:15 81:25	83:8 85:14,15,16	27:23 31:8	healthy (1)	88:22
germane (1)	87:14 88:12 89:1,8	guideline (1)	75:6	hodge (4)
4:8	89:15	83:15	hear (6)	20:9,11,11 21:5
getting (6)	good (23)	gut (1)	58:18 72:19 73:4,18	hold (1)
7:23 18:14 40:8,12	3:11,11,15 4:12 12:17	25:4	73:19 90:4	3:19
61:16 80:11	20:19 21:13 35:20	guy (1)	heard (5)	holder (7)
gilkerson (1)	45:7 48:16 54:4	28:9	10:7 14:21 39:1 43:1	68:25 72:12,13,14
64:24	55:25 60:23 61:2	guys (4)	69:3	73:10,14,17
give (10)	62:9 67:3 68:2,3,8	60:3 64:12 78:6 87:13	hearing (11)	home (1)
3:19 5:10,21 20:1	77:3 79:22 82:23		2:4,25 5:2 19:13	28:2
24:8,16,18 51:6	90:7	H	56:23 57:1 73:7	honest (2)
64:19 79:18	google (2)	hair (2)	77:10 86:10 90:10	6:18 65:13
given (1)	50:17 82:15	79:1,6	90:11	hood (1)
41:7	goose (3)	half (6)	heart (1)	86:16
givens (4)	63:6 69:4,7 gosh (1)	27:14 30:15 31:6	10:15	hope (3)
20:10 21:12,13,14	35:17	49:18 53:8 78:14	heat (1)	9:3,25 81:10
gives (2) 41:18 56:19	governed (1)	hand (2) 10:15 91:17	73:5 heavy (1)	hopefully (1) 32:9
giving (1)	5:19	handle (2)	47:13	hoping (1)
2:25	government (1)	21:8 46:8	heel (1)	16:12
glad (3)	78:8	handled (1)	66:3	horizon (1)
19:23 62:2,3	governments (2)	19:20	height (1)	36:10
go (48)	6:10 64:1	handout (1)	22:14	horn (1)
2:21 5:5 11:6 12:7	governor (1)	25:10	helicopters (1)	71:12
15:8,12,14 17:24	50:5	handouts (1)	50:2	hot (1)
25:6,11 26:20 29:9	gracious (1)	24:5	hell (2)	66:11
30:9,21 31:7,14	24:23	handwriting (1)	87:19,19	hotel (1)
32:2 33:15,16 34:17	grand (1)	60:24	help (9)	71:12
38:6 39:6 40:10	72:22	handy (1)	6:25 8:3,23 10:11	hour (2)
45:1,18 48:20 49:13	grandridge (1)	15:11	37:13 77:14,21	34:16 78:13
55:12,12 60:4,11	1:9	hanford (2)	78:21 86:21	hours (1)
63:2 66:22,22,23	grants (2)	55:20 89:14	helped (2)	78:15
69:12,16 70:10	37:10,13	hanger (1)	9:25 65:14	house (1)
79:19 80:7 82:11	gray (2)	31:3	helps (3)	59:18
83:16 85:6,11,19,21 87:19 89:23	79:1,6	hangers (22)	87:11,12 89:6	housing (1)
goal (3)	great (8) 5:24 15:8 24:9 28:10	11:14 12:8 21:7 27:12	herald (5) 33:21 34:22 46:5 47:6	76:3 howard (2)
6:20 9:4 12:11	45:20 47:17 74:1	28:20,21,21,22,25 29:24 31:11,12,15	71:9	45:18,25
god (1)	89:22	31:18 37:7 39:7,8	herb (1)	hubbell (2)
76:2	greater (6)	40:11 61:10,12	66:15	91:4,21
goes (3)	12:11 17:5 37:21	66:11,17	heretofore (1)	huge (3)
37:15 40:19 63:16	38:15 40:16 75:4	happen (7)	91:5	31:4 35:1 77:7
going (97)	greatest (1)	46:21 47:12 74:9,10	herewith (1)	humble (1)
2:5,6,9,12,13 4:5,10	76:10	77:22 87:17,18	91:14	81:17
4:14 10:18 15:8,20	green (4)	happened (4)	hes (2)	hundred (1)
16:13 19:1,9,13	85:3,4,7,9	24:8 47:4 65:18 74:8	65:14,15	70:13
24:22 26:20 28:9,15	gross (1)	happening (3)	high (6)	hurdle (1)
28:20 29:3 30:12	53:15	38:25 59:11 77:7	11:7 41:21 77:25	56:3
31:11 32:8,10 33:5	ground (1)	happens (2)	80:22,23 82:12	hurdles (1)
33:6,8 34:6,6,10	86:2	60:13 70:8	higher (3)	55:6
35:10,12,21,23,24	group (1)	hard (5)	81:4 89:11,16	husband (2)
35:25 36:1,3 40:14	44:3	15:21,22 51:6 80:11	highly (3)	64:23 79:2
43:13,18,19 45:10	groups (3)	82:10 hash (1)	15:3,5 60:3	I
45:16,22 46:15,16 46:21 47:12,15,19	34:22 43:24 44:16 grow (3)	hash (1) 42:24	hillsboro (1) 13:15	
48:2,4,7 51:11	grow (3) 61:1 71:4 78:2	42:24 hasnt (5)	hiring (1)	i5 (1) 51:10
53:12,25 54:7 56:25	growing (2)	26:8 68:6,7 69:6	58:7	iconic (1)
55.12,25 5 1.7 50.25	5. V	20.0 00.0,7 09.0	30.7	Rome (1)
1				

11.10		40.15	l	47 47 77 0 70 00
11:10	importantly (1)	48:15	investments (1)	47:17 77:3 79:22
id (1)	62:23	infrastructure (11)	17:4	89:22
45:23	impressed (1)	18:19,22 22:24 27:2	investors (8)	jobs (9)
idaho (1)	74:19	29:9 30:12 64:1	40:13,14,15 53:6,8	50:24 52:16 70:3
73:23	impression (1)	65:8,18 66:10,18	66:6 68:1 71:18	71:21 81:14 88:10
idea (3)	68:17	infusing (1)	invigorated (1)	89:11,15,16
11:12 32:14 78:24	improperly (1)	45:16	76:20	john (3)
ideas (2)	68:4	input (3)	involved (3)	20:10 21:11,14
5:23 90:7	improve (1)	19:19 21:16 73:13	8:4 13:14 27:6	join (1)
identified (1)	57:23	ins (1)	involvement (1)	68:2
16:21	improved (1)	62:18	5:21	joining (1)
identify (1)	57:6	inside (1)	irby (1)	6:12
6:22	improvement (1)	30:7	69:2	jose (4)
identity (1)	57:14	institute (7)	ironwood (1)	54:1 59:2,7,8
11:10	improvements (1)	25:25 34:9,14 35:3,8	62:22	jotted (1)
ignores (3)	58:1	35:14 39:13	irrelevant (1)	36:19
			4:10	jub (1)
33:20,21,22	improving (1)	institution (1)		
ill (20)	38:16	77:14	irresponsibility (1)	67:2
2:4,7,10,10,11 3:19	include (1)	instructed (1)	70:4	judgment (1)
3:20 8:6 19:14	22:20	34:1	irrigation (1)	4:12
21:22 51:6 52:20	included (8)	integrated (1)	7:14	jump (2)
53:23 63:2 79:18	6:8 19:25 20:1 38:17	75:16	island (5)	44:11,12
80:5 82:11 83:2	38:18 39:21,22,24	integrating (1)	20:16,20 49:17 50:10	justification (1)
86:9 90:9	includes (2)	74:24	50:21	44:2
	, ,			
im (58)	17:14 26:4	integrity (2)	isnt (7)	justified (2)
4:5,10,14 10:18 15:8	including (4)	6:18 35:22	33:18 63:17 68:22	72:24 73:1
16:10,12 19:13,23	7:12,17,21 11:15	intensive (1)	85:7 89:9,9,10	justify (1)
21:2,14 23:21,24	income (1)	7:20	issue (5)	65:15
24:9,10,10,20,20,22	26:1	intent (1)	4:8 26:16 61:2 75:23	
28:7,9 33:13 34:25	incoming (1)	56:20	84:23	K
36:6 37:3,13 38:9	25:18	interest (4)	issued (1)	kathy (2)
40:7 42:17 45:21	incorporated (1)	42:5 52:14,15 65:25	30:20	72:12 76:23
47:10 54:3,21 61:11				
	36:24	interested (3)	issues (22)	keep (21)
61:16 62:1,3,12,23	increase (1)	4:25 77:19 79:2	4:10 6:3 7:4,8,9,10	4:12 8:13 20:10 33:23
64:18 67:19,23 69:1	65:20	interesting (5)	8:4,7,18,19 9:3 10:2	33:24 35:18,23 36:1
72:14,17 79:10,21	increases (1)	11:3 28:14 31:8 32:6	10:22 16:11,12,15	44:2 50:6 51:17
79:22 81:6,18,19	75:2	69:10	16:25 17:1 18:10,24	52:23 56:25 58:23
82:2,9,10,21 86:18	increasingly (1)	interior (1)	47:15 75:24	60:10 61:7 71:5
86:19,25	76:13	52:2	item (6)	76:22 80:20 83:13
images (1)	indicate (1)	interrupt (2)	26:3,23 29:13 31:17	83:18
12:22	5:10	3:9 4:10	67:5,5	keeping (3)
			f .	
immediately (1)	indicated (2)	interview (2)	items (1)	5:13 57:3 72:1
42:4	55:6 56:9	38:23 75:22	67:6	kellogg (2)
impact (5)	indication (1)	interviewed (1)	ive (31)	24:20 42:18
1:6 16:12 17:16 53:16	66:14	16:9	6:15 10:7 13:14 18:12	kennewick (49)
53:16	indirect (4)	interviews (1)	28:6 29:19 34:20	1:10,24 6:9 7:12,13
impacts (14)	26:17 31:21,23 32:18	7:22	36:21 38:6 42:20,21	7:25 21:15,17,20
5:13 8:15,20 15:6,16	individual (1)	intriguing (1)	51:5 57:5 59:10	22:4,5,6,11,17 23:3
15:17 17:14,14,20	80:6	11:5	60:8 63:1,5 72:17	23:3,4,7,13,14 34:6
17:21,22,22 18:4,5		introduction (1)	73:21,24 79:18	36:23 41:4,20 42:3
	individually (1)	` /		
impartiality (1)	7:11	24:15	83:24 86:17 87:5,6	42:17 49:6 54:10
6:5	industrial (4)	inventory (1)	87:7 89:3 90:2,5,6,6	58:23 62:24 64:24
implement (1)	13:1,24 28:17 39:11	76:8		66:13 69:2,21,25
50:9	industries (2)	invest (1)	J	71:7,19,20 72:15
implementation (1)	71:24 72:2	40:9	january (3)	74:8 75:16,18,22
58:14	industry (3)	invested (1)	1:15 4:20 35:16	77:17 80:18 81:11
implemented (1)	44:6 77:6 78:16	51:25	japan (1)	81:13 86:16 91:17
67:4	influence (1)	investigation (1)	71:11	kennewicks (1)
importance (1)				70:1
	73:8	5:25	jerry (1)	
74:14	informal (1)	investment (13)	77:18	kept (2)
important (11)	21:4	17:5 18:24 19:10	jim (2)	57:3 81:8
3:8 8:22 34:12,13,18	information (5)	22:23 30:16 40:4,5	20:9,11	key (9)
40:20 46:20 48:4	5:10,22 7:1 48:7 51:5	53:20 54:19 57:7	job (8)	6:21,21 7:7,11 10:4
49:11 58:7 80:17	informing (1)	65:7 70:8,13	7:3 9:2 20:15 23:16	12:11,15 15:15
		1		·

	l	1	100505100510	
74:22	land (24)	lewiston (2)	18:25 25:13 27:19	70:24
kidding (1)	15:24 17:9,10 25:25	87:25 88:1	30:4 31:14 41:8	mahal (1)
84:13	30:6 32:8 34:17	life (9)	45:9 55:24 60:22,22	67:17
kind (23)	35:15,16 41:8,13	9:3 40:20 45:10,20	60:25 61:2,15,18,19	mailing (1)
10:1 11:9,12 13:3	42:4 46:25 51:22	46:2 63:16,21,23	62:4 67:5 70:5,5,12	5:1
15:11,12,14,25 16:5	52:9,18 53:4 60:6	72:18	71:22 75:12 78:1,9	main (2)
18:17 26:1 33:10	70:21 71:10 76:7	light (1)	80:3 85:25 89:6,8	14:17 71:14
36:18,20 40:23 46:1	87:16,19 89:2	66:14	89:19	maintain (1)
46:8 64:10 65:12	landed (1)	lighthouse (1)	looked (12)	47:11
76:17 84:22 87:2	73:21	29:22	6:3 10:21,21 11:5	maintaining (2)
88:6	landing (2)	line (8)	13:14,23 18:23	87:9 89:18
kinds (4)	47:7 62:13	17:1,2 19:8 29:13	28:13 47:18 66:5	maintains (1)
8:19 18:5,16 77:11	landscaping (1)	31:6,17 67:5,5	75:20 82:18	47:8
kirk (3)	11:11	lineal (1)	looking (13)	major (11)
36:8 41:1,3	language (2)	13:2	12:5 15:5 34:3,4	4:22 24:20,20 69:21
kndo (1)	11:19 13:10	list (3)	42:20 43:25 44:9	71:18,18 76:6 82:4
7:24	larry (2)	2:11 25:5 76:25	45:5 46:11 75:11	83:8,13 86:22
knee (1)	3:13 19:4	listened (1)	77:5 78:9 81:19	majority (1)
60:21	laser (8)	61:5	looks (3)	48:8
knew (2)	14:14 25:25 34:9,14	listening (1)	5:12 27:24 37:17	making (5)
77:22 79:4	35:2,8,14 39:12	73:12	loop (1)	16:4 60:8 63:13 88:14
knocked (1)	late (1)	little (24)	49:18	88:18
69:7	64:23	2:4 13:12 14:9 31:5	lose (5)	mall (1)
know (69)	laugh (1)	36:19 37:3,7,13	19:16 20:18 58:17	83:21
2:10,13 4:18 5:20 6:6	62:11	38:20 44:9 46:18	60:14 86:5	mallory (6)
6:7 7:21 8:16,23 9:7	laughed (1)	50:9 52:17 53:3	loses (1)	76:24 79:8,9,10,17
19:3,20 20:5,22	46:22	70:12 71:2,2,8	87:1	81:23
21:9 24:11,17 25:2	law (1)	73:25 74:1 76:11	losing (2)	man (1)
26:19 28:7 29:14,18	4:17	80:15 86:5 88:6	33:9 63:14	65:14
29:18,21,22,23	lawrence (2)	live (12)	loss (10)	manage (3)
30:13 31:22 32:17	14:10 59:25	24:10 41:4 42:16 49:5	25:20 27:17,25,25	31:18,19 58:8
33:1,2 36:14 38:12	lawyers (1)	59:14,14 62:6 72:14	31:10 36:24 37:2	managed (2)
38:16,22 40:18 43:2	27:20	79:11 81:5 84:18	60:16,16,19	22:5 68:4
43:9 46:22 47:8	leaders (1)	86:16	lost (4)	
48:2,3,12 51:9 54:4	7:15	lives (1)	46:5 51:2 52:14 89:15	manager (3) 26:7 41:11 86:19
55:16 58:22 59:13		28:9	lot (34)	
	leading (1)		5:20 17:24,25 25:5	managing (2)
59:20 60:14,15,21 60:24 62:5 64:10	6:1	living (1)		26:6 74:21
	learned (2)	46:2	36:13,14 37:25 48:6	manmade (1)
68:22 82:3 84:22	42:21 90:6	loading (1)	56:9 57:6 58:18 59:10,12 60:4,12,20	49:17
85:10,25 86:1,17	leave (6)	35:2		manufacturing (1)
87:8,8,10,13,17,21	29:6 35:7 67:15,16	local (8)	61:5 64:2,8 65:7,8	87:5
87:25	68:12,13	6:10 41:10 74:24 75:2	65:22 72:4 74:1	maps (1)
known (4)	leaves (1)	75:17 77:14 79:10	81:19,19 82:7 84:1	50:16
10:25 35:9,11 41:15	89:14	86:24	85:1 87:22 88:23	march (2)
knows (4)	led (1)	locale (1)	90:6,6,6	33:23 50:7
27:10 28:9 31:1 78:19	66:20	74:13	lots (1)	marina (1)
т	left (3)	locally (1)	76:3	20:16
L	25:23,25 30:14	45:16	love (1)	marjy (3)
1(1)	lefthand (1)	location (2)	79:6	24:4 36:8,10
27:17	49:22	71:15 76:11	lovely (1)	mark (1)
laboratory (1)	legal (1)	logic (1)	64:23	26:24
34:3	1:23	62:17	low (3)	market (2)
laborers (1)	legget (4)	logistics (2)	52:16 71:24 88:10	51:3 76:2
89:12	24:4 36:8,10,10	52:12,12	lshaped (1)	marketing (2)
labs (2)	lends (1)	long (11)	52:13	11:13 39:18
39:14 72:2	80:24	5:9 8:23 48:20 54:2,4	lush (1)	master (17)
lack (1)	length (1)	54:7,9 55:17 57:3	50:11	22:20 33:25 34:2 39:2
49:9	14:16	76:18 84:24	luxury (1)	39:6,9,24 51:17
lacking (1)	letter (1)	longer (2)	44:10	57:12,22 58:1,14
72:6	21:21	26:8 72:8		66:2 67:1 76:18,21
laid (1)	level (3)	longterm (1)	M	78:19
89:15	47:9 75:23 83:19	72:9	m (4)	material (1)
lake (2)	levels (1)	look (33)	1:16 4:2 70:10 90:11	11:22
49:17 80:25	17:4	8:11,14 12:23 13:17	magnet (1)	materials (1)
1			l	(-)

				3
1.4.21	mostle o dolo oru (1)			18:6
14:21	methodology (1)	mixed (7)	natural (1)	
mathematically (1)	4:6	9:16 10:10 12:3,8	17:21	north (7)
80:10	metropolitan (1)	13:8 23:9 81:17	nature (2)	1:24 24:19 41:4 42:18
matrix (1)	81:2	mixeduse (6)	17:8 56:17	51:10 78:6 79:11
17:17	michael (5)	11:15 12:25 22:16,22	navy (1)	northeast (1)
matter (9)	51:6 53:23 54:2 79:13	28:20 81:5	56:5	52:2
4:3 47:11 60:16,17,18	79:22	modest (1)	near (1)	northern (1)
65:11 69:15 91:6,9	michigan (1)	65:20	71:13	50:21
mayor (3)	49:17	modifications (1)	nearly (1)	northwest (3)
50:5,7,25	middle (2)	3:5	53:8	12:15,19 14:7
mccormick (1)	52:13 88:21	modifies (1)	nebulous (3)	northwests (1)
49:20	middlesized (1)	26:2	86:21 87:3 88:7	15:1
mean (5)	28:21	moment (3)	necessary (2)	note (2)
37:12 48:2 63:18	mike (4)	4:5 37:8 49:1	3:6 48:7	4:1 19:11
73:18 78:2	23:20 42:15 48:20	monday (1)	need (22)	noted (3)
means (5)	49:5	4:2	13:11 15:22 40:22	18:9,9 36:21
26:25 27:8 52:7 81:12	mile (1)	money (30)	43:2,17 44:1 45:9	notes (3)
81:13	49:18	15:23 18:15 27:20	48:24 57:21 58:9	36:19 79:18 91:10
meant (1)	miles (1)	29:7 30:1,10 33:9	63:22 65:11 67:24	notice (3)
19:23	78:13	45:16,21 46:5,10	67:25 72:8 75:7	5:7 50:8 81:1
mechanical (1)	military (1)	47:8 50:22 51:1	76:16 77:11,11	noticed (1)
47:12	78:7	61:8 62:9 63:14,14	81:24 82:25 89:17	82:18
media (3)	million (43)	63:15,15 64:5,5	needed (1)	notified (1)
4:23 5:7 7:20	27:5 29:10 30:11,14	65:24 67:19 71:11	65:16	5:11
medical (2)	30:15,16,17,20,22	78:21 87:1,1,22	needs (7)	notion (1)
52:8 59:16	30:22 31:6 32:25	88:23	20:3 56:3,11 57:25	13:24
meet (2)	33:4,5,8,8 34:7,21	moneymaking (1)	67:17 68:20 82:5	nows (1)
31:2 55:19	34:24,25 35:3,4	45:1	negatives (1)	7:25
meeting (5)	40:4,5 46:5,16	monies (1)	8:9	number (20)
7:6 8:8 61:4,6 66:20	50:13 51:17 53:4,7	66:3	neighboring (1)	2:22 7:21 8:17 11:4
meetings (3)	53:16,18,18,20 55:7	months (3)	21:24	13:13 15:21,22
2:16 59:10 64:13	55:9 56:9 67:19,21	35:13,17 59:19	neither (2)	18:20 26:1,2,8,19
mehaffy (43)	79:24 82:12,14	morning (4)	22:8,12	27:7,11 32:13,17
2:3,18 3:15 19:7,22	83:12	59:21 60:5,12 62:8	net (1)	33:1 60:14 77:1
21:2,11 23:18,23	millions (3)	move (10)	53:16	82:12
24:1,4,13,16 36:6,8	22:23 23:1 88:5	2:14 8:23 24:2 29:2,5	network (1)	numbers (12)
40:25 42:7,11,14	mind (4)	29:5 42:3 57:15	76:1	19:8 20:24 21:1 37:25
48:19,24 49:14	26:24 45:12 46:11	58:11 86:1	neutral (1)	37:25 44:22 53:17
53:24 54:3,6 59:1,6	49:2	moved (1)	57:11	57:5 58:4 81:9
62:19 63:11 68:24	mine (1)	59:18	never (11)	82:20 83:25
72:11 73:6,12 76:23	86:4	movement (1)	55:12 63:5,25 65:4,21	
79:7,16 81:21 84:4	miniaerotropolis (1)	66:14	66:4 67:3 76:5	0
84:10,13 86:8,13	10:25	moving (1)	77:21 86:18 87:19	o (2)
90:8	minimum (9)	58:13	new (20)	2:1 70:10
meigs (6)	55:6 88:10,14,18 89:1	multiple (1)	10:11 11:16 12:8	obligation (3)
49:10,13,16,19 84:9	89:9,10,10,12	28:24	22:19,23,25 23:1	58:23 70:1 76:21
84:12	minus (2)	municipal (2)	24:8 35:7 39:5,6,7,7	observe (2)
member (1)	30:23 36:25	51:9 75:3	39:11,13 66:9,10	23:21,24
47:24	minuses (1)		69:14 76:16 88:4	obvious (3)
members (5)	87:9	N	news (1)	54:17 57:18 61:3
5:21 6:10 7:8,16 10:8	minute (5)	n (1)	7:24	obviously (1)
men (2)	3:20 19:19 47:2 80:6	2:1	newspaper (1)	75:19
35:23,25	83:3	name (15)	7:21	occupied (1)
mention (2)	minutes (5)	2:8,10,11 21:13 41:3	nice (4)	70:21
19:14 46:20	3:13 24:22 34:15 36:5	42:16 49:5 54:9	11:12 30:7 31:2 73:25	occur (1)
mentioned (5)	86:14	59:8 62:21 66:16	nicely (1)	83:3
5:5 40:10 46:23 53:24	miscellaneous (1)	72:13 79:9 84:17	74:17	occurred (2)
91:5	26:3	86:15	nick (2)	52:18 53:1
mercer (1)	missed (2)	names (2)	41:2 42:7	october (2)
52:11	76:15 77:5	2:12 66:16	night (1)	7:6 51:16
message (2)	missing (3)	national (1)	50:6	offered (1)
66:5,6	32:6 56:13 58:6	6:13	nixon (1)	65:19
met (4)	mix (2)	nations (1)	84:18	offers (1)
7:11,16 56:2 65:12	15:19 17:3	15:2	normal (1)	40:10
7.11,10 30.2 03.12	13.17 17.3	13.2	101111111 (1)	10.10

				1490 102
000 (6)		47.0		70.05.70.4.74.15
office (6)	opportunities (14)	47:3	parkway (1)	70:25 72:4 74:15
24:19 28:17 39:11	2:22 3:23 8:24 9:23	overview (1)	1:24	77:12 81:14,19 85:2
44:12 81:4,8	22:19 23:2 65:7,8	18:2	part (10)	86:23 88:14,16,17
offices (2)	65:17 68:1 71:21	owned (3)	5:3 14:20 17:14 18:6	88:18,20,21,21 90:3
39:12,13	77:15 78:1,1	22:3 74:16 85:1	39:15 43:14 44:8	percent (1)
officials (2)	opportunity (17)	owner (2)	63:13 73:7 81:7	51:3
6:2 23:11	2:25 3:17 5:22 9:5,16	62:23 68:6	particular (6)	percentage (1)
offsite (1)	9:22 11:5 20:14,17	owners (2)	9:1,10,24 10:3,20	61:25
11:14	20:18 22:25 23:10	7:13 83:9	16:14	performance (1)
ogata (4)	36:16 64:19 66:4	owning (1)	parties (4)	16:11
19:18 42:8,16,16	72:20 78:17	31:12	4:17,23,25 28:24	perimeter (1)
oh (5)	oppose (1)	owns (1)	partner (1)	82:1
24:1 29:3 34:21 35:17	7:18	28:2	65:15	period (6)
84:13	opposed (1)		partnering (1)	4:21 5:4 9:6 53:17
okay (22)	73:1	P	22:20	57:8,24
2:18 20:9 21:5 25:16	optimum (2)	p (6)	partnerships (1)	permanence (1)
28:12,13 29:16,20	8:11,14	1:16 2:1 4:2 27:17	57:24	12:2
31:8,10,11,21 32:17	option (25)	61:4 90:11	parts (1)	permanent (2)
35:10 46:3,18 47:16	10:24 22:12 41:20		4:7	11:7 52:1
	45:22,22,24 48:17	pacific (9)		
59:7 63:13 71:8		25:24 34:9,13 35:2,8	parttime (1)	person (12)
79:21 86:24	54:21,25 55:2,4,4	35:14 39:12 72:2	26:7	2:12,12 19:13,15,17
old (5)	56:4,12,13,14,18,21	86:20	party (1)	20:9 21:11 24:21
56:5 64:12,16 79:5	56:21,22 57:18,20	pads (1)	26:21	28:2 58:9 63:17
85:9	58:11 70:18 71:25	66:10	pasco (8)	88:25
older (1)	options (8)	page (10)	34:16 36:11 40:21	personal (3)
61:16	5:13 22:20 41:24	25:8,10 28:12,12 31:7	43:3 44:14 45:3	4:13 76:9 84:25
olson (1)	48:16 54:18,20	31:8 32:20 36:22	84:18 85:22	perspective (1)
54:10	66:12 70:6	48:22 82:17	passed (1)	14:12
once (7)	oral (1)	pages (4)	33:25	peterson (4)
14:7 46:13 69:9 70:25	2:19	47:19,23 79:17 91:11	passes (1)	3:14 19:5 54:2 86:11
76:18 78:23 83:24	oranges (1)	paid (6)	25:2	petunias (2)
ones (1)	32:22	27:12,13,14 29:23	passion (2)	32:22 33:16
32:5	oregon (1)	38:15 67:2	58:11 81:19	phased (2)
ongoing (4)	13:15	paint (1)	path (1)	8:15 13:7
26:16 27:15,22 28:4	organization (1)	67:14	23:11	phases (1)
online (2)	67:2	panel (1)	paths (1)	88:16
5:6 15:10	original (1)	6:8	50:21	phoenix (2)
onsite (1)	91:15	pants (1)	patricia (2)	78:5,6
39:11	originally (1)	78:7	91:4,21	physicians (1)
open (20)	47:18	paper (2)	pattern (2)	34:14
8:14 13:3 14:3 19:12	originators (1)	68:13,14	11:19 13:10	pick (1)
19:12 33:24 35:24	64:24	paradigm (4)	paul (1)	74:4
36:1 44:2 50:6	ought (1)	72:18 75:10,20 76:16	84:17	picked (1)
51:17,22 52:24	29:5	parametrix (1)	paver (1)	66:11
56:25 57:3 58:24	outcome (5)	15:4	20:11	pickup (1)
61:7 67:13 76:22	9:21 57:19 58:15	parcels (1)	pay (8)	74:5
89:18	70:15 72:20	52:6	19:1,1 30:2 51:2	picture (5)
opening (1)	outcomes (1)	pare (1)	55:18 59:11 88:12	9:22 49:18 59:14
78:10	74:23	30:12	88:15	67:12,14
operate (3)	outline (1)	park (18)	paying (4)	pictures (1)
27:24,25 72:18	13:11	18:14 29:19,20 40:19	52:16 88:10 89:11,16	45:13
operated (2)	outlined (1)	40:22 45:18,19,25	pclis (1)	pie (1)
25:20 64:21	56:1	45:25 50:11,24	41:10	86:5
operating (11)	outreach (4)	59:24 60:1 63:7,10	peaking (1)	piece (4)
17:11 25:8,19 26:14	5:20 7:20 21:16 23:15	69:16,17 71:2	49:25	18:19,22 86:1,5
26:22 36:24 38:1,2	outs (1)	parker (7)	people (47)	piecemeal (1)
38:8 41:9 57:21	62:18	53:25 54:1 59:2,4,6	7:17,18 9:14 10:13	83:11
operation (3)	outside (2)	86:15,15	24:23 28:23 29:4	pilot (9)
7:18 22:9 45:5	12:10 39:21	parking (2)	31:2 35:6,22 40:8	7:13 63:17 65:6 68:5
operations (6)	overhead (1)	60:4,12	40:19 42:1 43:24	72:14,17,17 77:20
25:21 36:25 49:25	26:18	parks (8)	44:1,5,8 46:22 48:8	86:18
50:3 51:13 74:3	overnight (1)	13:21 29:17 40:17,18	50:3 51:4 62:6,10	pilots (12)
opinion (3)	67:18	40:21,22 50:22	63:19,22 64:11,18	18:20 44:7 61:5,7,16
9:9 69:15 81:17	overran (1)	63:14	66:6,15 68:7 70:25	61:17,19 63:5,22

	-			
64.5.0.55.0	1414	16.5	10 6 10 16 10 22	75.00
64:7,8 77:8	14:14	16:5	10:6,19 16:19,22	75:22
place (14)	points (3)	preliminary (1)	17:9,16 35:15,17	provided (3)
31:2 34:4 39:9 50:20	48:6 64:18 81:24	16:24	42:4 55:15 73:7,8	23:5 25:11 36:23
65:4 66:2 69:5 71:1	policy (1)	premise (1)	produced (1)	provides (2)
74:17 85:16 87:14	5:17	28:6	14:2	11:1 71:5
88:1 91:5,7	political (1)	prepare (1)	producing (1)	providing (1)
places (1)	79:3	41:21	10:23	11:10
71:1	poor (1)	prepared (1)	professional (1)	public (44)
plan (41)	67:9	24:5	6:17	1:8 2:4 5:20,21 6:11
34:1,2 38:21,22 39:2	port (89)	presence (1)	profit (4)	6:20 7:8,14,17,23
39:3,4,5,6,9,15,16	2:16 3:24 5:7 6:8,25	23:10	18:15 27:17 31:10	8:3 13:3 19:12
39:18,20,22,24,24	7:25 8:25 9:23	present (6)	53:12	21:15,16,20,22 22:4
39:25 40:5,13,15	11:20 19:10 20:13	3:5 5:22 52:21 63:3	profitable (1)	22:5,11,17,23 23:4
51:17 56:15,17	21:17,21 23:3,11,14	64:20 72:25	74:21	23:7,13 29:12,15
57:12,14,21,22,23	26:22,25 27:5,5,6,9	presented (1)	program (3)	31:19 33:3 40:23
58:1,14 67:9 74:24	27:16,24 28:20 29:3	3:7	73:20 77:18,25	56:23 57:1,24 58:22
75:2,17 76:19,21	29:6 30:5,15,20	preserved (1)	programs (1)	68:2 73:7,10 80:19
78:18,18,19 80:24	31:12 33:23 34:22	41:16	77:16	80:21 82:8 86:6,6,9
plane (10)	35:20,22 36:23	pressure (1)	project (4)	90:10
44:11,13 62:13 69:4,6	37:12 39:3 40:1,12	83:9	2:20 11:20 25:10 28:1	publicly (1)
69:12 78:12 85:16	41:5,6,20 42:2,5	pretty (3)	projected (2)	41:11
85:22,23	48:13 49:6 51:19	38:10 45:7 82:22	50:12 52:16	published (1)
planes (5)	53:3,11 55:2,7,11	previous (4)	projections (3)	4:24
59:21 69:19 78:14	56:3,8,11,25 58:15	6:15 11:20,24 43:15	82:19,20,25	pulled (1)
84:11 89:2	58:19,23 61:7 62:15	previously (1)	projects (1)	84:15
planned (1)	62:16 65:4,10 66:9	14:21	13:14	pulling (1)
52:7	66:13 67:2 68:17,20	price (1)	proliferate (1)	38:9
planner (1)	69:23,24,24 70:1,2	72:24	89:22	purchase (1)
51:24	71:6,19,19 72:5,15	prices (1)	promised (4)	41:13
planners (2)	73:4 75:16,18,18	82:24	53:1,13 61:8,9	purchased (3)
75:12 76:15	76:21 79:10 83:9	primary (2)	promises (2)	25:25 35:14,15
planning (4)	84:19 89:20	58:17,20	52:25 66:25	purchasing (1)
7:9 18:7 22:20 76:4	portion (2)	principal (1)	promptly (1)	87:6
plans (11)	44:17 56:10	75:9	91:16	
				put (49) 7:24 13:25 26:5 10 25
24:7 50:10,25 51:21	portland (1)	prior (1)	propeller (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11	portland (1) 85:20	prior (1) 50:8	propeller (1) 69:12	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17 48:22 65:4 68:19	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2) 48:13,22	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2) 29:1 51:21	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4 prosperous (1)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10) 19:18 20:21 21:3,5,9
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17 48:22 65:4 68:19 72:5 73:6 76:15	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2) 48:13,22 precedents (4)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2) 29:1 51:21 proceedings (2)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4 prosperous (1) 74:21	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10) 19:18 20:21 21:3,5,9 26:24 61:11 65:12
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17 48:22 65:4 68:19 72:5 73:6 76:15 80:5 83:1	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2) 48:13,22 precedents (4) 12:5 13:14,15,19	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2) 29:1 51:21 proceedings (2) 91:9,13	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4 prosperous (1) 74:21 provide (13)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10) 19:18 20:21 21:3,5,9 26:24 61:11 65:12 68:11,18
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17 48:22 65:4 68:19 72:5 73:6 76:15 80:5 83:1 pointed (3)	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2) 48:13,22 precedents (4) 12:5 13:14,15,19 prefer (1)	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2) 29:1 51:21 proceedings (2) 91:9,13 process (24)	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4 prosperous (1) 74:21 provide (13) 5:7 10:16 22:19,22,24	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10) 19:18 20:21 21:3,5,9 26:24 61:11 65:12 68:11,18 questions (2)
24:7 50:10,25 51:21 66:2 67:1,8,9 68:11 68:12 80:16 platform (1) 36:20 play (3) 9:1 43:13 81:5 please (8) 4:13 20:10 24:16 49:13 50:14,15 51:7 53:22 pledge (2) 2:16,17 plummet (1) 55:19 plus (1) 6:23 pluses (1) 87:8 poach (1) 81:12 point (14) 18:8 30:16 31:16 37:21 47:10,17 48:22 65:4 68:19 72:5 73:6 76:15 80:5 83:1	portland (1) 85:20 ports (7) 4:1 5:1 12:10 38:6 41:8 58:20 65:25 position (3) 43:11 55:10 65:25 positive (9) 9:5,11,21 15:16 25:20 55:10 66:14 72:20 74:23 positives (1) 8:9 possibility (1) 57:10 possible (1) 6:22 possibly (1) 80:12 potential (2) 41:8 56:5 potentially (1) 23:1 power (2) 48:13,22 precedents (4) 12:5 13:14,15,19	prior (1) 50:8 private (22) 17:10 22:23 29:4,5,7 29:24 40:4,5,13,15 51:22 53:6,8,20 57:24 66:6 68:1 85:2,18,23,24 86:5 privately (3) 28:16,16 85:23 probably (18) 24:21 31:25 35:10 49:11 51:9 56:22 59:18 62:22 64:18 69:17 76:7 79:25 80:10,15 82:13,14 83:23 87:15 problem (1) 75:24 problematic (1) 18:8 problems (2) 52:15 63:6 proceed (2) 29:1 51:21 proceedings (2) 91:9,13	propeller (1) 69:12 proper (1) 68:6 properties (3) 40:9 55:17,24 property (8) 21:24 23:2 30:23 41:21 42:18 47:14 59:23 80:22 proponent (2) 81:5,6 proposed (1) 53:2 proposition (1) 45:1 pros (1) 48:15 prospective (1) 58:12 prosper (1) 45:17 prospering (1) 88:4 prosperous (1) 74:21 provide (13)	7:24 13:25 26:5,10,25 27:5,9,23 29:2,19 30:15,25 32:14,16 32:19 33:10 34:24 35:1 36:13 39:5,6,9 41:25 44:21 48:13 48:21,25 50:20 53:6 53:8 61:8 63:19 64:1,3,4,5 65:4,8,19 66:2,9,13,18 79:23 80:1 83:2 85:16 87:11 89:5 putting (4) 31:11 35:3 47:17 51:25 Q qamar (1) 14:10 quality (10) 9:3 10:9 11:7 40:20 45:10,20 46:2 63:15 63:21,23 question (10) 19:18 20:21 21:3,5,9 26:24 61:11 65:12 68:11,18

				Page 104
2 14 12 22	70 22 04 22 06 10	J (2)	01.0	14255 10
2:14 12:22	79:22 84:23 86:18	regardless (2)	91:8	14:3 55:10
quiet (1) 48:9	87:3,7 88:17 89:24 89:25	9:14 10:2	reporter (2) 91:7,22	resulted (1) 56:24
quinault (1)	rearview (1)	regards (1) 79:24	reporters (1)	resulting (1)
59:9	8:24	region (3)	1:23	37:1
quite (7)	reason (3)	8:25 9:23 15:17	reporting (2)	results (1)
7:16 12:13 29:18	56:16 58:22 69:9	regional (4)	1:23 51:14	41:19
36:12 42:21 51:8	reasonable (4)	6:13 11:13 12:3 78:11	reports (1)	retail (3)
81:1	53:7,19 58:3 68:1	regionally (1)	63:2	13:16 28:17 39:11
01.1	reasons (3)	74:15	representative (1)	retain (1)
R	29:1 58:20 71:14	related (1)	21:15	83:19
r (1)	rebuild (1)	21:17	representatives (2)	retaining (1)
2:1	88:13	relation (1)	6:9,9	33:11
rahm (1)	recall (1)	80:22	represents (2)	retired (2)
50:25	57:1	relative (1)	27:12 46:12	79:3,4
railroad (1)	recommend (1)	15:17	reputation (1)	retiring (1)
76:1	60:3	relatively (1)	6:18	77:8
raising (1)	record (5)	53:3	request (2)	retrospect (1)
79:5	2:7,21,23 3:1 21:10	relatives (1)	33:3 51:19	65:23
ralph (1)	recorded (1)	44:5	require (2)	return (2)
66:16	3:2	released (1)	54:18 64:2	53:19 64:5
ran (3)	records (1)	4:19	required (3)	revealed (1)
14:24 25:19 79:3	33:3	relies (1)	5:3,16 17:5	43:16
rapidly (1)	recreation (1)	40:15	requirement (2)	revenue (1)
57:8	50:12	relocate (1)	17:15 58:2	75:5
rapids (1) 71:12	recreational (1) 43:20	85:15	requirements (2) 4:16 43:12	revenues (3)
ratio (1)	red (1)	relocation (1) 21:6	requires (3)	25:17,18 33:7 review (1)
80:14	49:22	relying (1)	87:1 88:16,16	36:23
ray (1)	redeveloped (2)	55:21	rescue (1)	revised (3)
64:24	45:24 50:11	remarks (2)	50:2	20:4,4 57:13
reaching (1)	redeveloping (2)	4:12 21:22	research (2)	revisited (1)
83:12	5:14 56:5	remember (2)	5:25 7:3	82:25
read (11)	redevelopment (19)	56:23 85:3	resident (3)	revitalized (1)
2:11 36:12 47:19,25	13:7 14:23 17:6 23:9	remodelling (1)	69:2 72:15 84:19	57:14
48:2,3 69:14 71:8,9	40:15 52:25 53:1,2	35:1	resolution (1)	rich (7)
79:14 81:16	53:7,12 54:13,18	remove (1)	56:24	53:25,25 59:2,6 86:15
ready (1)	55:4 56:10 58:18	32:16	resolutions (1)	87:22 88:17
19:15	60:24 75:20 76:10	removed (2)	35:21	richland (9)
real (12)	79:24	56:22 85:4	resort (2)	40:22 43:4 44:14
8:24 41:9 43:3,5,10	redistribute (1)	rent (1)	85:10,11	62:22,25 71:8,13
44:22 47:1 60:9	23:4	64:3	resources (1)	72:16 87:19
72:1 80:12,17 83:12	reduce (1) 26:21	rented (1)	16:2	ridden (1) 86:23
reality (4) 53:10,14 62:4 72:19	reduced (1)	65:1	respect (1) 83:11	ride (2)
realize (3)	91:10	repair (1) 29:25	respected (2)	44:14 76:12
60:7 84:22 89:4	reed (1)	repairs (1)	15:2,5	riding (1)
realized (2)	41:4	70:11	respond (1)	77:9
65:9 67:6	references (2)	repave (1)	20:3	right (26)
realizing (1)	51:5 52:19	88:12	response (2)	14:14 21:8 25:3,3
43:23	referring (1)	repeatedly (2)	3:3 20:2	28:10 30:23 31:13
really (52)	52:3	6:17 18:13	responsibility (2)	32:13 37:5 38:5,25
2:20 4:7 8:2 12:2	refining (1)	replaced (1)	9:3 58:8	42:22 43:2,22 46:21
14:7 17:8 20:15,15	10:23	45:24	responsible (2)	50:15 52:13 57:16
26:13,23 27:4,11	reflect (1)	report (30)	41:20 45:5	61:18 62:24 66:11
28:14 31:25 32:6	26:9	2:19 3:3 4:7,16 5:5,8	rest (1)	69:10 77:13 81:21
33:1 37:11 42:23	reflects (1)	7:24 10:5 11:23	44:18	82:5 86:24
43:5,13,18 44:1,16	27:8	16:6,19 17:18 19:25	restaurant (1)	righthand (1)
44:17,19,23 45:8,19	refuse (4)	20:1,4,8 33:20	74:1	30:10
45:21 46:19 54:19	85:6,11,13,15	36:12 38:19 41:23	restrictions (1)	rightofways (1)
55:22 56:6 59:13,13	regarded (1)	42:24,25 44:24	22:14	82:1
60:8 61:2 67:13	15:3	46:19 47:18,22 48:1	rests (1)	ringwald (1)
70:7 71:3 72:3 73:17 76:5 77:23	regarding (4) 4:3 16:25 76:4,9	53:14 54:22 62:3	6:18	77:18
13.11 10.3 11.23	4.3 10.23 /0.4,9	reported (1)	result (2)	ripped (2)

04.042	1.01.5	1	l	1
84:9,12	50:16	49:23 50:14 52:2	shorter (1)	85:10,11
risk (3)	saw (2)	59:13 60:5,13 61:14	49:24	skiing (1)
56:6,11 75:2	14:12 37:16	61:22,22 62:6 69:8	shorthand (3)	85:11
river (6)	saying (2)	73:4 74:4 75:13	1:23 91:6,22	skill (1)
13:5,23 63:20 76:11	43:6,8	83:22 85:4 87:8	shortly (1)	77:16
76:12 85:8	says (7)	89:20	57:11	skills (1)
rivers (6)	34:10 39:5,6,7,7,10	seeing (2)	show (9)	77:15
21:25 22:1,10,13,21	74:20	78:11 82:23	10:5 12:22 13:19	skimming (1)
63:14	scale (1)	seen (7)	15:15 27:17 41:19	36:18
road (11)	71:23	4:25 8:7 45:13 57:5	57:7 65:14 80:19	sky (2)
20:25 29:14 30:8,24	scary (1)	69:19 82:22 90:6	showalter (4)	69:7,19
39:20,22 51:25 52:2	47:13	selected (2)	23:20,21,24 24:2	slant (1)
52:2 76:1 82:2	scenario (4)	6:8 22:17	shown (2)	81:18
roads (1)	13:6,18 14:23 15:7	selfdefined (1)	24:8 49:21	slate (1)
64:2	scenarios (6)	6:23	shows (7)	82:9
role (1)	6:23 12:11,21 14:1	selfish (1)	11:8 29:11 31:9,10	slide (4)
9:1	15:6 17:2	44:9	36:24 46:8,14	49:13 50:14,15 51:7
room (3)	scene (1)	sell (4)	shut (2)	slides (1)
7:6 64:19 86:13	12:23	15:24 17:9,10 32:9	35:18 51:21	10:18
rough (1)	scheme (2)	send (1)	side (7)	slightly (1)
82:22	14:2 16:5	4:25	30:6 31:8 45:8 68:7	49:24
ruin (1)	schemes (2)	sense (9)	75:11 78:6 82:8	slow (2)
75:8	12:16 14:15	18:23 25:6 28:10	sides (2)	66:24 67:25
run (12)	school (3)	29:16 33:14 56:19	87:7,8	slower (1)
3:16 4:5 8:6,23 10:4	77:17,25 79:4	64:11 67:10 84:1	sidewalks (1)	71:23
10:18 16:10 24:22	scope (1)	sent (4)	70:11	slowly (1)
59:23 60:18 65:14	12:10	50:7 66:5,5,19	sight (2)	66:18
89:19	scoping (6)	sepa (1)	58:18 60:14	small (10)
running (4)	7:5,6 8:8 16:19,22	5:19		28:21 44:17 53:12
12:24 25:21 27:21	74:18		signage (1)	
		sequential (1) 13:3	11:11	58:9 65:20 70:20
45:14	scott (1) 60:1		signals (1) 66:19	71:24 73:22 78:14 87:24
runoff (1) 82:1		serve (1) 40:18		
runs (1)	scottsdale (1) 12:6	service (1)	signed (2) 3:10,10	smaller (3) 71:23 76:3 87:25
29:11	scratches (1)	75:23	· ·	
	60:20	services (1)	significant (3) 16:20 18:10 54:19	smells (1) 28:10
runway (14) 14:16,17 29:25 37:9,9	seal (1)	62:24		
38:16 43:8 47:2	29:25	set (2)	significantly (1) 15:20	sneer (2) 62:7,10
		10:14 49:1		
49:23 50:8 51:12 66:25 79:12 82:5	sealing (1)		signs (1)	sniff (5)
runways (1)	91:15	setbacks (1) 80:8	82:23 similarities (2)	25:3,7 28:10 33:13,14
50:18	search (1)		` /	sold (1)
	50:2	setting (1)	12:25 52:20	71:10
ryan (1)	seattle (2)	62:23	simple (3)	soldier (1)
50:5	82:15 85:20	seven (8)	35:12 36:2,2	49:22
S	second (7)	25:14,14,15,16 26:25	simply (1)	solid (1)
	8:11 19:14 20:10	59:18 61:20 84:20	15:23	72:3
s (3)	49:13 50:14 51:8	shape (1)	single (1)	somebody (4)
2:1 75:23 90:3	54:13	3:15	82:19	74:12 80:18 87:23
safety (1)	secondly (1)	share (2)	sir (1)	89:13
61:2	81:16	38:16 51:3	3:14	sooner (1)
salaries (3)	section (7)	shared (2)	sit (4)	88:11
31:17 32:7 88:5	11:23 15:12 16:23	9:5,12	60:4,12 62:7,11	sorry (10)
salary (1)	17:13,17,18 81:21	sheet (1)	site (5)	21:2 23:23 24:1,10,13
31:15	sector (1)	19:4	9:1 51:25 52:11 56:5	42:11 54:3,6 79:16
sale (2)	17:10	sheets (1)	74:2	84:10
25:24 53:4	securely (1)	84:1	sites (1)	sort (4)
sales (5)	91:14	shirts (1)	80:14	15:24 17:1 19:8 48:8
23:2 55:8,19 56:1	see (40)	78:7	sitting (3)	sources (1)
75:5	6:19 7:12 8:1 12:24	shopping (1)	48:9 59:19 65:13	6:2
san (2)	14:13,16 15:14 21:7 25:2 29:15 36:3	13:1 shore (1)	six (1)	south (5)
	/3:/ /9:13 30:3	i shore (1)	27:6	54:9 69:1 80:25 82:15
45:13 81:1				
sat (1)	37:4,4,15,24 38:18	49:17	size (2)	83:20

				3
(F)	5.167.15 20.1 27.10	04.0.12	06.05.07.1.00.11	4(1)
space (5)	5:16 7:15 30:1 37:10	84:8,12	86:25 87:1 88:11	tear (1)
13:3 78:10,19 81:4,8	38:15 74:19 91:1	students (1)	89:12	50:18
spaces (2)	statement (5)	77:25	synergies (5)	technical (1)
13:4 14:3	1:6 17:16 18:21 41:18	studied (1)	9:17 11:1,17 12:12	10:21
speak (12)	52:1	12:6	18:16	telephone (1)
2:12,13 3:9,11 16:13	statements (2)	studies (5)	system (3)	7:22
20:14 21:16 23:22	74:20 75:13	11:24 27:20 44:20	52:5 75:4 86:25	tell (7)
23:25 42:9,12 86:12	states (1)	56:1 70:5		3:20 7:25 45:6 64:8
speaker (2)	75:9	study (15)	T	65:6 66:23 73:22
63:2 64:15	station (1)	4:8,19 5:12 9:19	t (2)	telling (1)
speakers (1)	39:17	11:25 15:22 26:12	28:21,21	62:12
64:12	stature (1)	28:8 41:7 54:17	tables (1)	ten (4)
specific (1)	88:17	55:6 56:7,10,20	81:17	61:20 70:13 79:17
4:16	stay (3)	81:20	tag (1)	87:6
specifically (2)	34:6 67:13 82:21	stuff (6)	72:24	tend (1)
		10:7 35:7 46:11 48:4		89:11
4:4 81:20	stayed (2)		taj (1)	
speech (1)	16:4 35:7	64:10 88:13	67:17	term (1)
90:4	staying (1)	subdivided (1)	take (31)	67:11
spend (6)	48:9	52:6	3:4 17:25 19:19 20:7	terminal (3)
34:15 46:9 62:9,10	steady (1)	subject (1)	24:24 26:24 28:14	12:1,7 50:19
64:13 67:21	67:25	4:3	30:12 32:3 34:17	terms (10)
spending (3)	stenographer (3)	submit (1)	38:2 44:12,13 45:19	13:16 16:1,2 18:3,11
27:20 34:25 38:11	2:6 3:2 24:18	3:24	45:19,22 47:25 58:8	18:13 19:8,10 70:12
spent (5)	stenotype (1)	submitted (1)	59:21,22 64:12	71:22
26:11,15 34:20 51:18	91:8	21:21	71:20,20,21 73:5	terrific (2)
65:24	step (4)	substantial (1)	78:15 79:21,22	10:7 12:14
spite (1)	20:18 29:4 68:21	22:24	84:16 89:3,7	test (4)
9:19	70:12	success (1)	taken (2)	25:3,7 33:13,14
spoke (4)	stepped (2)	58:7	37:9 52:24	testify (1)
10:13 61:5 67:15	28:23,24	sue (1)	takes (6)	19:14
77:18	steps (1)	64:23	55:16 59:20 61:1	testimony (4)
spoken (1)	44:12	sued (1)	62:12 76:2 78:13	19:12,25 91:8,12
77:2	steve (3)	62:17	talk (14)	thank (38)
			4:5 25:1 39:1 42:22	20:13 21:10 23:14,15
spread (2)	76:23 79:8,10	suggested (1)		•
27:8 84:1	stewardship (2)	39:2	43:22 45:1,4 46:18	23:17,18 24:6 36:7
springs (1)	68:4,8	suggests (1)	49:8 52:20 58:19	40:24,25 41:6 42:6
72:14	stifled (1)	75:5	63:24 64:7 90:5	48:18,19 53:22
square (1)	22:14	summarize (1)	talked (4)	58:25 59:1 62:18
31:1	stop (4)	21:22	11:18 38:23 77:20	63:12 68:23,24
ss (1)	34:3 55:22 61:21	summary (4)	81:25	72:10,11,13 79:6,7
91:1	89:24	15:12 17:17 19:3,4	talking (9)	79:9,13 84:3,4 86:7
staff (6)	stories (1)	sundance (1)	18:18 29:21 30:23	86:8,10 90:1,7,8,8
26:9,13 29:2 32:9,11	73:23	62:25	43:25 44:4,5 45:21	90:10
32:13	storm (1)	supplement (1)	49:3 64:18	thats (72)
stage (1)	52:5	52:9	talks (2)	7:5 14:15 15:12,20,24
22:8	street (5)	supplied (1)	53:15 75:7	17:8,15,19 18:18,21
stakeholders (6)	14:17 29:10,10 42:18	76:7	tarmac (1)	18:22,24 23:11 24:8
6:10,21 7:4,11 14:21	69:2	support (7)	29:23	25:10,25 26:4,24
16:8	streets (2)	7:17 16:9 23:8 25:6	tax (4)	27:6,10,22 30:5,6,6
stand (2)	11:16 88:12	37:13 68:15 83:4	23:2 63:19 64:6 87:1	30:7,14,20,25 31:4
61:3 89:21	stress (3)	supported (1)	taxes (1)	31:5 32:1,6 34:19
standards (2)	2:21 6:4 53:13	82:13	88:15	34:23 37:7,11,18
83:14,18	strike (1)	supporters (2)	taxiway (2)	38:10 39:3 42:14
start (6)	29:13	74:25 75:19	84:15,16	43:18,21 45:4,10,16
2:15 20:25 35:17 49:3	strong (8)	supposed (1)	taxiways (3)	45:20 46:19 47:24
63:1 83:10	9:9 13:19 57:10 58:9	24:14	50:18 65:19 66:9	48:14,22 49:6 52:3
started (5)	72:1 81:5,6 83:15	sure (1)	taxpayer (2)	52:11,12 62:17
7:5 34:4 35:15 43:25	strongly (3)	18:1	42:17 67:20	65:23,25 66:19
87:13	56:11 81:14 83:4		taxpayers (3)	67:24 68:2 71:3,10
		surface (2)	59:12 64:5 67:19	71:13,17 77:15
starting (2)	struck (1)	55:5 82:1		79:25 80:17,23
78:8 88:18	69:20	surrounding (6)	teacher (2)	82:13,21 83:23
starts (1)	structure (4)	7:12 11:2,4 12:9,13	78:23,23	89:16
20:23	5:19 16:18 18:2 21:3	14:4	team (2)	
state (7)	stuck (2)	sustain (4)	6:5,12	theme (1)
Ī		•	•	•

11:11	31:24 33:18,19 36:2	84:5 86:9 91:5,7	traveling (1)	91:10
thereof (1)	37:22 39:23 40:7	times (6)	78:4	typewritten (1)
91:13	42:21 46:20 48:5,6	27:13,14 55:25 73:25	tribal (1)	91:11
theres (69)	48:9 49:14 53:10,13	74:21 79:25	7:15	typical (1)
5:24 8:10,19,19 9:15	55:2,22 56:3,22	tiny (1)	tricities (9)	17:15
9:20 10:7,25 12:5,6	59:13 63:21 67:22	31:5	1:9 6:16 10:12 13:9	typos (1)
14:9,11,13,16 17:4	67:23 68:6,19 71:7	tipoftheiceberg (1)	55:1 60:22 69:22	20:5
17:16 18:7 20:3	72:6,6 75:17 77:23	46:12	84:21 85:21	
21:7 24:25 25:11	78:24 79:14,21,25	today (6)	tricity (3)	U
29:23 30:9,11,13,18	80:12,17 81:6 82:2	21:18 66:20,24 67:7	46:4 47:6 71:9	u (2)
30:18 31:24 32:4,9	82:12 83:23,24	68:10 85:5	tridec (2)	61:4 90:3
32:20 33:18 34:23	85:25 87:13 89:25	told (2)	33:21 34:22	ultimately (1)
37:6 40:19,21,21	thinking (3)	48:11 89:13	tried (1)	82:12
43:24 44:23 47:15	10:8 86:20 88:8	tomsil (1)	46:25	unanimous (1)
50:1 60:10 62:1,17	third (3)	51:24	tritech (1)	56:24
63:11,15,21 64:2	15:7 51:7 80:12		77:15	
		tonight (10)		unanimously (2)
66:12,24 69:17	thorough (2)	2:18,24 5:2,9 16:13	trouble (3)	23:8 33:23
76:11 77:7 78:1,3,6	36:14 83:24	20:1 21:14,23 25:1	40:8,8 77:10	uncovered (1)
78:17,19 81:18 82:4	thoroughly (2)	90:7	trucking (1)	55:14
82:15 83:8 86:13	42:23 50:18	tons (2)	52:12	understand (6)
87:3,10,21 88:9	thought (3)	45:16 82:17	trucks (1)	7:4 8:4,24 9:20 18:25
89:15,20	47:1 75:21 86:17	top (3)	84:15	83:16
theyll (4)	three (22)	6:13 47:9,14	true (5)	understanding (2)
20:18 47:25 73:12,13	5:13 18:3 21:25,25	topics (1)	14:1 30:14 34:24	38:24 53:21
theyre (28)	22:10,13,21 24:25	10:20	48:14 91:12	understood (1)
18:25 29:20 30:23	34:22 52:22 54:12	total (3)	trump (1)	13:16
31:22 32:8 33:9	54:14,24 56:13,14	25:13 37:2 70:4	87:14	unidentified (2)
35:23,24,25 38:11	56:21,22 57:18,20	totally (2)	trumps (1)	64:15 73:16
44:4,17 61:13,17,21	67:12,14 79:25	28:19 70:14	88:25	union (2)
62:17 64:9,9,10,12	threeacre (1)	tough (1)	try (2)	80:25 82:16
66:11 68:18 71:11	52:6	83:12	54:5 83:17	unique (2)
72:7 78:9 88:12	thriving (4)	tower (1)	trying (5)	64:19 77:23
89:1,14	65:2,3 70:17 73:24	50:19		
•			26:15 27:21 47:10	united (1)
theyve (5)	throw (1)	town (2)	67:11 89:22	46:24
20:19 26:17 27:8 43:7	48:1	13:13 85:6	tsoukalas (4)	unknown (1)
77:1	thursday (1)	toyota (4)	41:2 42:7,9,12	56:8
thing (36)	4:19	22:1,2,4,5	turn (4)	unknowns (1)
11:3 20:17 33:18	ticket (1)	track (1)	3:9 17:25 53:23 72:7	55:13
34:12,13,18,20	85:20	76:1	turned (1)	upfront (2)
36:20 38:1 40:6,23	tie (1)	tracking (1)	30:1	55:7,11
43:18,22,23,25	12:3	60:1	turning (1)	ups (1)
44:23 45:8,21 46:13	tied (3)	trade (2)	20:25	72:3
47:13 48:11 50:17	14:5 44:3,6	46:8,14	tuttle (2)	urban (1)
61:9,15 63:9 77:5,7	ties (1)	tradeoffs (1)	25:21 26:4	7:9
78:4,17 79:14 86:21	6:16	15:20	tv (1)	use (20)
87:2,16 88:7,8,24	tighter (1)	traffic (1)	90:2	4:12 9:16 10:10 12:3
things (40)	22:14	77:9	twenty (2)	12:8 13:8 21:18
9:2,18 10:16 13:22	till (1)	trails (1)	70:13 87:6	23:9 32:13 61:24,25
16:3 20:6 24:25	60:7	12:8	two (39)	66:8 68:2 74:13
25:1,11 26:10 27:2	tim (1)	train (1)	2:11 6:22 14:19 15:6	85:2,7,18 88:20,21
29:8 31:25 32:4	34:1	64:8		
36:17,19,21 42:22			25:19 27:13,14 35:5	89:1
	time (46)	trained (1)	35:20 39:17,17,18	uses (2)
43:1 45:15 46:3 48:6 60:25 63:1,24	2:11 3:16,18,20 17:25	63:5	39:19 41:23 43:3,10	51:20 86:19
· ·	19:16 21:22 24:24	transcript (4)	43:10,24 44:16,25	usually (2)
64:2 65:9,21 66:5	26:11,15 34:12,14	3:2 91:11,12,15	47:22,23 49:8 50:1	64:1 82:3
68:9,22 77:1 79:3	34:14,18 36:14	transferred (1)	54:20 55:4 56:4,12	utilizing (1)
79:20 82:1,6,11,24	37:15 42:10,13	26:20	60:14 67:8 68:11,12	87:24
87:7 90:6	43:16 45:20 47:19	transparent (1)	68:12,13,20 70:6	
think (64)	47:25 48:16 55:17	7:24	74:22 79:25 89:15	V
3:12,13 4:18 5:12	57:8 59:4 62:10	transportation (6)	tying (1)	vacant (1)
9:21,22 10:7,15	64:13,13 66:4 69:5	37:11 38:15 43:12,17	11:12	55:23
11:12 12:16 18:18	69:18 72:23,25	75:13 78:12	type (2)	valid (2)
18:21 19:11 24:8,25	73:22 79:5 80:11,13	transportations (1)	64:13 88:15	48:6 76:20
30:2,11,19,21 31:18	82:10 83:9,12,23	74:20	typewriting (1)	valuation (1)
	l			
I				

28:3	1			
	96.25	20.21 45.14 71.2	96.25	20.0 10 17 17 10 10
	86:25	29:21 45:14 71:2	86:25	39:8,10,17,17,18,19
value (7)	voted (2)	way (25)	winds (1)	49:25 70:13 86:24
41:21 71:24 77:3 78:9	51:16,19	2:9 11:9 13:16 15:13	55:20	88:5
78:11 80:1,23	voters (2)	16:18 25:18 27:16	windshield (1)	years (34)
variety (2)	23:12 48:14	30:7 31:24 33:16,17	69:13	20:24 25:14,14,16,19
29:1 45:14	votes (1)	34:18,21 36:21	wise (2)	26:9,10,25 27:3,6,8
various (2)	51:21	48:11,24 55:3,3	46:13 88:9	27:9 32:25 37:18,19
10:22 13:21		66:22,23 68:10	witness (1)	38:3,4 46:23,24
vary (1)	W	69:11 77:9 85:8	91:17	47:5 58:5,6 61:20
15:20	wage (8)	88:25	wonder (1)	69:2 70:13,14,14
vegas (1)	88:10,14,18 89:1,9,10	ways (2)	55:25	76:7 77:6,8 79:5
85:20	89:10,12	7:22 23:5	wonderful (3)	82:22 87:6,6
vehicle (1)	wages (1)	weather (1)	20:15 55:1 85:8	yesterday (2)
80:13	88:11	39:17	wondering (3)	73:11,19
venue (1)	wake (2)	web (1)	40:7 59:20 82:21	york (1)
50:20	59:21 62:8	39:16	wont (5)	69:14
versus (3)	walk (5)	weeks (2)	16:10 17:24 26:20	youd (1)
32:16 45:22 70:25	13:5,23 71:2 76:12	41:11 46:4	45:13 81:12	8:1
viable (3)	80:25	weigh (1)	word (6)	youll (4)
54:23 56:22 78:16	walkable (1)	56:11	7:23 35:23 36:1 69:23	2:9,13 12:24 24:18
vibrant (2)	9:16	weighed (2)	69:24,24	young (3)
9:16 75:6	walked (1)	8:20 18:13	work (17)	61:19 64:7,8
video (1)	52:10	welcome (2)	2:5,9 7:4 13:17,19	youre (18)
1:23	walking (1)	2:3 4:4	24:7 36:13 41:15	4:3,11 17:11 18:14
view (6)	12:8	went (10)	43:15 58:2 59:14	24:23 32:21 43:19
		27:18 36:5 39:21		45:7 51:10 68:19
12:23 14:9,11 18:8	wall (1) 60:24		62:6 81:5,14,24 82:9 87:23	70:19,19 80:19 82:3
50:16 76:11		69:11 74:4,4,5,18		The state of the s
views (1)	want (48)	76:5 77:21	worked (1) 89:23	85:14,15,16 89:8
54:24	2:20,21 3:22 4:11	west (6)		youth (2) 77:14 78:25
vineyard (1)	5:11 8:14,16,23 9:7	1:9 15:3 49:5 59:9	worker (2)	
11:11	9:10 10:5,9 12:21	62:22 84:18	89:13,14	youve (7)
vision (11)	15:23 16:15,16,17	weve (21)	workshop (1)	4:24 24:7,17 36:15
9:5,11 24:8 58:10	18:1 19:12 24:6,11	2:6 4:22,24 5:20,25	11:21	45:13 64:4 66:22
69:23 72:6,9,22	25:1,2 28:24 32:14	6:17 8:2 15:9 19:7	workshops (1)	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
80:17,18 83:10	34:15,17 36:13	33:15,16 55:18	10:20	zero (1)
visiting (1)	43:22 44:10 45:20	69:18 72:5 73:3 76:14,17 82:22	world (1)	80:8
78:5	46:18 48:2,9 58:17	85:25 86:1 89:21	28:7	00.0
			recouth (2)	
visitor (1)	62:8 63:18,18 67:21		worth (2)	0
1:9	82:18 84:6 85:19,21	whats (15)	35:4 88:5	0
1:9 visitors (1)	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8)	00 (1)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22	00 (1) 1:16
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71)	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X Y	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 1(8)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3 77:13,22 78:17,22	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18 watch (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23 william (3)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X yeah (3)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 (8) 15:12 16:23,23 17:17
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3 77:13,22 78:17,22 79:12 85:14,19	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18 watch (1) 89:2	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23 william (3) 62:19 68:25 69:1	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X yeah (3) 69:3,8 73:14	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 (8) 15:12 16:23,23 17:17 27:1 28:12 30:14
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3 77:13,22 78:17,22 79:12 85:14,19 vital (1)	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18 watch (1) 89:2 watching (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23 william (3) 62:19 68:25 69:1 williamson (4)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X yeah (3) 69:3,8 73:14 year (23)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 (8) 15:12 16:23,23 17:17 27:1 28:12 30:14 35:4
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3 77:13,22 78:17,22 79:12 85:14,19 vital (1) 71:6	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18 watch (1) 89:2 watching (1) 59:25	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23 william (3) 62:19 68:25 69:1 williamson (4) 36:9 41:1,3,4	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X yeah (3) 69:3,8 73:14 year (23) 20:23,25 26:5 27:15	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 (8) 15:12 16:23,23 17:17 27:1 28:12 30:14 35:4 10 (1)
1:9 visitors (1) 7:15 vista (71) 1:5 5:14,15 8:1 11:4 20:17 21:19,24 22:7 22:21 23:9 24:20,21 25:9,19,21 27:1 28:14,25 30:22 31:10 32:3,15,16,16 33:10,11,12,24 34:10,23 35:9,18,24 36:1 41:9,12,24 42:19 43:5,13,16 44:2 45:11,23 46:25 47:8 49:24 51:14 52:23 53:2,10 54:15 54:16 55:24 57:5,8 58:7,21 59:11,15 63:4 64:20 68:3 77:13,22 78:17,22 79:12 85:14,19 vital (1)	82:18 84:6 85:19,21 85:22 86:4,5,10 88:21 wanted (5) 8:11 46:9 73:8 79:19 86:11 wanting (1) 10:13 wants (2) 3:11 16:1 warning (1) 3:19 washington (11) 1:10,24 37:10 38:14 51:10 54:10 74:19 75:12 91:1,7,17 washingtons (1) 75:4 wasnt (1) 66:18 watch (1) 89:2 watching (1)	whats (15) 17:18 28:9,15,16 32:5 34:19 37:8 38:24 42:24 56:13 59:11 60:22 61:2 73:19 78:20 white (10) 42:15 48:20,21 49:3,5 49:16 72:12 76:23 76:25 78:7 wholeheartedly (1) 23:8 whos (1) 64:15 wider (1) 11:9 widespread (1) 16:7 wife (1) 64:23 william (3) 62:19 68:25 69:1 williamson (4)	35:4 88:5 wouldnt (8) 15:22 35:7 37:21,22 65:24 68:9 74:10,11 wow (1) 79:15 writing (2) 3:24 5:9 written (2) 20:2 24:17 wrong (4) 53:20 67:22,23 73:19 wrote (1) 27:15 ws (1) 30:2 X yeah (3) 69:3,8 73:14 year (23)	00 (1) 1:16 000 (33) 25:24 26:4,5,19,24 27:9,18 28:1,1,2,3 31:17,20,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,18,19,20 32:24 33:4 38:7,8 43:15 49:25 57:4,6 58:4 60:17,18 68:21 000square (2) 31:3,3 05 (1) 90:11 061 (1) 27:1 1 (8) 15:12 16:23,23 17:17 27:1 28:12 30:14 35:4

				Page 109
	 	 	 	1
28:2,3 31:18 50:13	34:21,24 51:12	16:23 17:18 29:10	25:18 38:7	30:16
100plus (1)	20 (5)	30:14 31:7 33:8	500 (3)	800 (1)
31:15	20:24 32:25 51:17	40:4 53:4	57:4,6 58:4	1:25
1030 (1)	76:7 77:6	30 (2)	500foot (1)	897 (1)
1:24	200 (5)	27:3,8	51:12	33:4
10th (1)	31:22,23 32:18,19	300 (1)	500page (1)	-
4:20	78:12	30:25	48:1	9
11 (3)	2001 (1)	30day (2)	503 (1)	9 (5)
33:4 53:16 56:9	50:5	4:20 5:4	20:11	51:16 53:16,18 56:9
1118 (1)	2003 (1)	30th (1)	509 (1)	90:11
49:5	50:7	35:16	1:25	90 (2)
112 (1)	2004 (1)	31 (1)	513 (1)	68:21 91:11
80:2	42:21	50:7	47:19	909 (1)
11th (2)	2005 (2)	3131 (1)	52 (1)	24:19
4:2 19:21	64:22 66:22	86:16	49:25	91 (1)
12 (5)	2006 (2)	31st (2)	527 (1)	49:24
26:3 32:25 33:4,8	51:16,18	35:16 51:23	41:4	914 (1)
55:7	2007 (1)	3582345 (1)	551 (1)	27:1
120 (1)	51:20	1:25	27:9	960 (1)
83:12	2008 (2)	360 (2)	568 (1)	38:1
122 (1)	26:4 51:23	27:18 28:1	37:1	99336 (2)
82:17	2010 (2)	390 (1)	575 (1)	1:10,24
14 (2)	33:23 61:4	37:2	36:25	995 (1)
46:5,16	2011 (9)	3913 (1)	578 (1)	53:16
141 (1)	33:25 39:10,10 57:12	54:9	53:18	
26:5	57:22 58:1,14 76:18	0.13	5927 (1)	
143 (1)	76:21	4	59:9	
37:2	2012 (2)	4(3)	37.7	
15 (2)	35:16 39:18	27:9 30:11 35:3	6	
31:3 55:8	2013 (2)			
		40 (3)	60 (5)	
150 (1)	1:15 91:18	34:15 51:2 79:5	28:3,3,4,4 43:15	
82:14	2024 (1)	400 (1)	600 (2)	
1503 (1)	50:6	32:17	32:20,24	
72:14	2035 (1)	4000 (1)	61 (1)	
151 (1)	37:17	69:1	81:18	
26:24	206 (1)	4019 (1)	62 (1)	
15minute (1)	36:25	36:10	60:17	
44:14	20year (2)	4021 (1)	627 (1)	
16 (2)	53:17 57:24	84:18	42:18	
36:22 69:2	21 (1)	403 (1)	63 (1)	
172 (1)	51:13	74:2	28:1	
25:8	22 (4)	411 (3)	639 (1)	
1788 (1)	37:18,19 38:3,4	31:17,20 32:15	32:1	
62:22	22nd (1)	42 (1)	652 (1)	
180 (1)	49:6	51:13	26:3	
82:14	23 (2)	43 (3)	672 (1)	
183 (1)	33:5 51:14	30:16,22 38:5	38:5	
37:1	239 (1)	450 (1)	7	
19 (1)	53:18	60:18		
40:4	24 (1)	459 (1)	7 (3)	
1940s (1)	1:15	25:18	1:16 33:8 53:4	
50:11	250 (1)	46 (3)	71 (1)	
1980 (4)	35:6	26:19 67:19,21	81:18	
63:3 64:20,25 66:24	26th (1)	460 (6)	7130 (1)	
1990 (3)	51:20	25:24 40:5 53:6,20	1:9	
64:21 65:5,5	270 (1)	79:24 82:12	7352400 (1)	
1994 (1)	74:2	4th (1)	1:25	
65:6	28 (1)	7:7	75 (2)	
	53:16	, , , ,	26:4 38:8	
1997 (1)		5	787 (1)	
1997 (1) 65:17	1 7010 / 11		1 /0/ (1)	i .
1997 (1) 65:17	2919 (1)			
65:17	91:21	5 (7)	38:1	
65:17 2	91:21	5 (7) 4:1 15:12 16:23 17:17	38:1	
65:17		5 (7)		