

PORT OF KENNEWICK REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

Commission President Don Barnes called the Regular Commission Meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. in the Port of Kennewick Commission Chambers located at 350 Clover Island Drive, Suite 200, Kennewick, Washington 99336.

The following were present:

Board Members: Don Barnes, President

Skip Novakovich, Vice-President

Thomas Moak, Secretary

Staff Members: Tim Arntzen, Chief Executive Officer

Tana Bader Inglima, Deputy Chief Executive Officer Amber Hanchette, Director of Real Estate and Operations

Nick Kooiker, Chief Finance Officer

Larry Peterson, Director of Planning and Development

Lisa Schumacher, Special Projects Coordinator

Bridgette Scott, Executive Assistant

Lucinda Luke, Port Counsel

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Commissioner Barnes led the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

<u>MOTION:</u> Commissioner Novakovich moved to approve the Agenda; Commissioner Moak seconded. With no further discussion, motion carried unanimously. All in favor 3:0.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Boyce Burdick, 414 Snyder Street, Richland. Mr. Burdick stated at the previous Meeting, Commission and staff discussed adding the Commission Meeting audio recording to the Port Website and that the audio would replace the written minutes. Mr. Burdick believes this is a mistake because there are issues with audio recordings and can be problematic, at best. Additionally, Mr. Burdick believes it would be a mistake to go to action minutes, because the discussions are really valuable.

No further comments were made.

CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Approval of Direct Deposit and E-Payments Dated February 19, 2020 Direct Deposit and E-Payments totaling \$79,980.58
- B. Approval of Warrant Register Dated February 25, 2020
 Expense Fund Voucher Number 101873 through 101915 for a grand total of \$410,146.86

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

<u>MOTION:</u> Commissioner Novakovich moved for approval of the Consent Agenda; Commissioner Moak seconded. With no further discussion, motion carried unanimously. All in favor 3:0.

PRESENTATIONS

A. Friend of the Port

Ms. Bader Inglima explained the process of how the Friend of the Port is chosen and introduced Liz and Mark Thompson of ET Estate Sales as Friend of the Port for 2019.

Liz Thompson thanked the Port for the work they have done in the area and stated it was the Port's revitalization of the area that prompted them to invest in the building.

B. Budget Review through December 31, 2019

Mr. Kooiker presented a six month budget review from July of 2019 through December 2019.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

NEW BUSINESS

A. Kennewick Waterfront Master Plan

Mr. Peterson outlined the differences between the Makers Clover Island Master Plan scope and the Kennewick Waterfront Master Plan scope for Commission consideration.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No comments were made.

MOTION: Commissioner Moak moved for approval of Resolution 2020-01, authorizing the Port's Chief Executive Officer to execute the contract with Makers architecture & urban design, LLP for master planning consulting services regarding the development for the Kennewick Waterfront for the sum not to exceed \$248,288.00. Further, all action by port officers and employees in furtherance hereof is ratified and approved; and the Chief Executive Officer is authorized to amend the 2019-2020 capital budget to reflect the \$75,000.00 project allocation; the Chief Executive Officer's annual goals are amended to identify completion of the public outreach process as the threshold for attainment; and the port Chief Executive Officer is authorized to take all action necessary in furtherance hereof; Commissioner Novakovich seconded.

Discussion:

Commissioner Moak has one question related to the scope and it deals with social media. The scope says "per Port policies, no social media will be used for this project." Commissioner Moak stated what is the Port policy that we have against social media? Commissioner Moak does not believe or remember that that Board has taken a position opposing social media. Commissioner Moak could not find it in our policy manual.

Mr. Peterson will address that question and then pass it on to Ms. Bader Inglima for further explanation. The scope does, and the staff had a discussion with Julie Bassuk of Makers, because

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

they had some ideas about how they could use social media, ie. Facebook, Twitter, and all kinds of outreach. Staff indicated because of concerns on record retention, metadata, the Port of Kennewick is not on social media and if one of our contracted sub-consultants was doing that work, would there be a responsibility for retention of those records and metadata. Being cautious when it comes to public records retention and specifically metadata, since the Port does not use Twitter, Instagram, or TikTok as a Port, our consultant also identified that they would not be using that because of the concern. We contract with them, is it not a responsibility of the Port to produce that record if requested. Mr. Peterson deferred to Ms. Bader Inglima or others who would like to augment what he is sharing.

Ms. Bader Inglima stated Mr. Peterson covered that the Port does not have a social media presence. The Port has our website and in the past when we did the outreach with the Charettess for Vista Field, we promoted the Charettes using our partner's social media, but any record retention record maintenance, we used email to capture that and those emails went directly to Mr. Peterson. He was able to capture all of that data, so that we would have that as a public record. Staff has been cautioned by our legal counsel that we have not entered into that foray as of yet.

Commissioner Moak thanked staff for that answer, but it does not answer his question. It says, "per Port policy," do we have a policy that bans social media? He does not think we do. Commissioner Moak thinks staff has said we don't do it, but he does not know that we have a policy and this says "per port policy."

Mr. Arntzen stated maybe that is an inaccurate description produced by Makers. Mr. Arntzen believes the point was, that we would use our usual and customary practice.

Commissioner Moak understands that and would accept that, but is it appropriate to substitute that language?

Mr. Arntzen would think so and asked Mr. Peterson if that would work,

Mr. Peterson stated there are no concerns with modifying that word to say practices as opposed to policy.

Commissioner Moak stated for the record, he thinks the Port should have a social media policy, a lot of government jurisdictions do have social media policies and have an active social media presence. Commissioner Moak thinks we should on something like this, but he also realizes that it isn't something you change in a day. Commissioner Moak is concerned when it says policies and that policies is this Board up here rather than that Board over there and our practice is certainly to not do social media. Other than that, Commissioner Moak thinks this is a good thing to do, and he has been certainly excited about this for some time and he thinks tying in with Clover Island with the Wine Village and all the other projects on Columbia Drive that we have identified there and the public outreach that is identified, with both private sector and public sector. Commissioner Moak thinks the Port award today, the Friend of the Port, specifically talks about the importance of the private sector along Columbia Drive and what is happening

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

on Columbia Drive. Commissioner Moak really thinks putting together this project really helps, hopefully pull together a lot of folks that are interested in this whole area and have been interested for some time. To looking at where we are going with this, and it will help govern our development for the next number of years. Mr. Chair is it appropriate to seek to amend the word policy to procedure at this time.

Commissioner Barnes stated it is consistent with discussion that we have had to this point.

<u>MOTION:</u> Commissioner Moak moved to substitute the word practice for policy in the section dealing with social media in scope of work;

In Makers scope of work, the word policies will be changed to practices:

Page 3 under Section 1B;

Page 6 under Assumptions;

And any other section that references social media policy will be used related to this project. With no further discussion, amendment carried unanimously. All in favor 3:0.

Discussion Continued:

Commissioner Barnes voiced earlier, added to this resolution is language amending our CEO's Goals and Objectives and he appreciates that, but at the same time, note that the very next item on our Agenda is Amendment of the 2019-2020 Work Plan and CEO's Goals and Objectives. His preference would be to address all changes and amendments to the CEO's Goals and Objectives at the same time under the next Agenda Item.

<u>MOTION:</u> Commissioner Barnes moved to amend the Motion, by striking these words: "the Chief Executive Officer's annual Goals are amended to identify completion of the public outreach process as the threshold for attainment." Motion Dies for lack of second.

With no further discussion, amended motion carried unanimously. All in favor 3:0.

RECESS

Commissioner Barnes called for a recess for at 3:37 p.m. until 3:43 p.m.

Commissioner Barnes reconvened the meeting at 3:44 p.m.

B. Amendment of the 2019-2020 Work Plan and the CEO's Goals and Objectives;

Mr. Arntzen outlined the proposed amendments to the 2019-2020 Work Plan, which have been discussed several times in previous meetings.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No Comments were made.

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

<u>MOTION</u>: Commissioner Novakovich moved approval of Resolution 2020-04 amending the 2019-2020 Work Plan and associated Goals and Objectives as set forth in the Resolution and Exhibit A and Exhibit B; and that all action by port officers and employees in furtherance hereof is ratified and approved; Commissioner Moak seconded.

Discussion:

Commissioner Moak thinks the Work Plan is a living document and he thinks as things change, as we look at things, he thinks it should be reflected in our Work Plan. As it is, some of these are very good changes to our Work Plan, and moving forward on a number of different areas that has been important not only to the Commission, but he thinks the public. Commissioner Moak thinks it is important to have that reflected in our Work Plan and move forward on those and he appreciates the discussion.

Commissioner Barnes agrees with Commissioner Moak's comments and our Work Plan is a living document and we do not need to look too far back in the rear view mirror to see evidence of that. The Port had a Work Plan that had addressed the Tri-City Raceway property, but he thinks a significant opportunity presented itself, an opportunity to work with very good partner, even though he voted against that sale because of the price, in the end, Commissioner Barnes thinks it was an excellent thing to do. The Port made the changes and decided to do that, in spite of the fact that the Work Plan said to do Y instead of X. But it is a living document and Commissioner Barnes thinks that also illustrates that the Port is aware of evolving change in our community and we are capable of making changes that are in the best interest of the Port. Commissioner Barnes thinks that change we made, the decision we made with the Tri-City Raceway was in best interest of the Port and the City of West Richland. Commissioner Barnes supports these changes.

With no further discussion, motion carried unanimously. All in favor 3:0.

REPORTS, COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. District Wide Project Timeline

Mr. Peterson introduced the Port of Kennewick 2020 timeline for major projects, including Vista Field, Columbia Gardens, 1135 USACE Project, and the Kennewick Waterfront. Additionally, Mr. Peterson presented the two year time line for 2020-2021 for major projects for the Port.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

B. Vista Field

Mr. Peterson updated the Commission on several items regarding Vista Field, such as the Property Owners Association, the Realtor Commission, Marketing Plan and the corporate Hangar Reuse.

- 1. Construction Update
- 2. Task Status Update

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

David Robison of Strategic Construction Management updated the Commission on the letter the Port received on February 25, 2020 from the Arts Center Task Force letter regarding the Vista Arts Center.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

Commissioner Barnes requested that the Arts Center Task Force be placed on the Agenda for the March 10, 2020 Commission Meeting.

C. Columbia Gardens Urban Wine & Artisan Village Update

1. Food Truck Plaza

Ms. Hanchette updated the Commission on the Food Truck Plaza.

2. Task Status Update

Mr. Peterson stated if acceptable, due to the time, he will update the Commission at the next Meeting.

3. Phase Two Ribbon Cutting Ceremony

Ms. Bader Inglima reported that the Ribbon Cutting for the new wine village building will take place on March 27, 2020.

D. Posting Commission Meeting Audio Update

Ms. Scott briefly updated the Commission on posting the Commission Meeting audio on the website.

Discussion commenced between the Commission and staff.

E. Congressman Newhouse Update

Commissioner Novakovich recently attended a meeting with Congressman Newhouse regarding Hanford, the dams, and the 1135 USACE Project.

F. Commissioner Meetings (formal and informal meetings with groups or individuals)

Commissioners reported on their respective committee meetings.

G. Non-Scheduled Items

Commission and staff reported on non-scheduled items.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

No comments were made

COMMISSION COMMENTS

No comments were made.

FEBRUARY 25, 2020 MINUTES

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to bring before the Board; the meeting was adjourned 5:21 p.m.

APPROVED:

PORT of KENNEWICK
BOARD of COMMISSIONERS

Don Barnes, President

Skip Novakovich, Vice President

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The attached transcript provided by Naegeli Deposition & Trial of the February 25, 2020 Commission Meeting is approved and will be kept as a permanent record of the meeting.

*The February 25, 2020 Commission Meeting Minutes were Approved by the Port of Kennewick Commissioners on April 14, 2020 at the Regular Commission Business Meeting.

PORT OF KENNEWICK

Resolution No. 2020-01

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE
PORT OF KENNEWICK AUTHORIZING THE PORT CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO
EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH MAKERS ARCHITECTURE & URBAN DESIGN, LLP
TO UPDATE THE CLOVER ISLAND MASTER PLAN AND CONSOLIDATE WITH
PLANNING EFFORTS WITHIN THE KENNEWICK HISTORIC WATERFRONT
DISTRICT

WHEREAS, in 2004, with substantial public input, the port formulated a master plan for the development of Clover Island; and

WHEREAS, in the intervening years, portions of the island have been built out according to the master plan; other adjacent properties have been built out, and neighborhood and community changes have occurred, making an update of the plan imperative; and

WHEREAS, a consolidation of prior Columbia Drive planning efforts with the Clover Island update into one cohesive Kennewick Historic Waterfront District master plan is imperative; and

WHEREAS, the Port Commission having confidence in continuing its professional association with the plan author (Makers architecture & urban design, LLP); and

WHEREAS, the Port Commission having been briefed by staff related to possible issues, both pro and con, which may arise during a public planning process; and

WHEREAS, the Port Commission deems it prudent to update and consolidated planning activities in the Kennewick Historic Waterfront District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners of the Port of Kennewick directs the Chief Executive Officer to execute a contract with Makers architecture & urban design, LLP to complete the attached scope of work (Exhibit A- Kennewick Historic Waterfront District) in the amount of \$248,288.00; and directs the Chief Executive Officer to present the plan to the Commission for review and possible adoption prior to the end of the year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chief Executive Officer is authorized to amend the 2019-2020 capital budget to reflect the reallocation of \$75,000.00 for this task.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chief Executive Officer's annual goals be amended to reflect completion of the public outreach process for the Kennewick Historic Waterfront District is the threshold for attainment.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all action by port officers and employees in furtherance hereof is ratified and approved; and further that the Port Chief Executive Officer is authorized to take all action and to pay all expenses necessary in furtherance hereof.

Resolution No. 2020-01 Page 2

ADOPTED by the Board of Commissioners of the Port of Kennewick on the 25th day of February, 2020.

PORT of KENNEWICK BOARD of COMMISSIONERS

By:

DON BARNES, President

By:

KIP NOVAKOVICH, Vice President

By:

ΓΗΟΜΑS MOAK, Secretary

PORT OF KENNEWICK

Resolution No. 2020-04

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE PORT OF KENNEWICK AMENDING THE 2019-2020 WORK PLAN AND THE CEO'S 2019/20 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

WHEREAS, every two years, with public input, the port produces a work plan and budget to guide the commission in its mission of economic development; and

WHEREAS, in the past year, significant changes have occurred to the Port's asset portfolio, making an update of the work plan and associated Chief Executive Officer's (CEO) Goals and Objectives necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Port Commission deems it prudent to update the work plan and CEO's Goals and Objectives.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners of the Port of Kennewick hereby amends the 2019-2020 Work Plan, as described in Exhibit A attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners of the Port of Kennewick hereby approves the CEO's revised Goals and Objectives, as described in Exhibit B attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all action by port officers and employees in furtherance hereof is ratified and approved.

ADOPTED by the Board of Commissioners of the Port of Kennewick on the 25th day of February, 2020.

PORT of KENNEWICK
BOARD of COMMISSIONERS

By:

DON BARNES, President

By:

KIP NOVAKOVICH, Vice President

By:

THOMAS MOAK, Secretary

Resolution No. 2020-04 Exhibit A

Amendments to the 2019-2020 Work Plan and associated Goals and Objectives

- 1. General. Staff shall prepare a work plan executive summary, serving as an attractive, succinct document highlighting current port projects. A primary function of the summary will be to enhance public understanding of the port's planned activities.
- 2. Waterfront Master Plan. Staff shall facilitate master planning, which will focus on upland portions of Clover Island; the port's holdings along Columbia Drive; potential Columbia Drive traffic calming; and linkages to Downtown. The "expanded" nature of the master plan will require an additional 120 days to complete (approximately March 1, 2021). The associated Goals and Objectives shall be amended to reflect the new timeframe.
- 3. **Duffy's Pond.** The major objective related to Duffy's Pond is the elimination of the unattractive smell created by algae blooms during the summer months. In addition, the commission acknowledged the importance of identifying modest enhancements at the wine village which would benefit tenants and the public. Therefore the Work Plan (and related goal) will be amended to read:

Present plan for commission approval that addresses remedial action for algae buildup in Duffy's Pond; which includes a list of upland enhancements in the wine village (with corresponding budget information) for commission consideration.

4. Vista Field Hangar Remodel. The commission identified a need to formulate a "phased" hangar remodel strategy which would address future uses of the hangars to enhance Vista Field vibrancy. Therefore, the Work Plan will be amended to read (with a companion goal):

Complete market, architectural and engineering analysis for the Vista Hangars, together with estimated budget and draft financing plan for commission consideration.

5. Rural County Capital Fund Strategy and Opportunity Zone Analysis. The commission indicated a desire for a detailed review of present projects and the future status of rural county capital funding (RCCF). Therefore the Work Plan will be amended to read (with a companion goal):

Complete analysis of current and future RCCF funding; potential capital construction projects which may be funded by RCCF; and independent review of potential Opportunity Zone funding and project analysis.

6. Miscellaneous. The commission may desire to comment on the importance of the plan as follows:

The 2019-2020 Work Plan is a "keystone" document which provides strong guidance to staff. The directives contained in the Work Plan shall remain paramount to other tasks and activities.

Resolution 2020-04 Exhibit "B"

EXHIBIT B CEO 2019/20 Goals & Objectives Update (included update on 2017/18 ongoing goals)

DATE: February 25, 2020

	Districtwide	Port Staff	Clover Island	Columbia Drive	West Richland	Vista Field	Vista Field	Vista Field	COAL & OBJECTIVE
2019/20 GOAL	2019/20 GOAL 7	2019/20 GOAL 6	2019/20 GOAL 5	2019/20 GOAL.	2019/20 GOAL 3	2019/20 GOAL 2	2019/20 GOAL.	2017/18 GOAL 3	February 25, 2020
Present for Commission consideration non City of Kennewick partnership visions.	Completion of one executive level training couse.	Complete a team building event (e.g. seminar).	Present for Commission consideration the Waterfront master plan.	Design and designate engineering in Hagle Pond Recommission. Persent plan for commission approval that addresses remedial action for algae buildup in Duffe's Pond, which includes a list of tipland enhancements in the wine village (with corresponding budget information) for commission consideration.	2019/20 GOAL. Negotiate the land sale of the former eacetrack property with the City of West Richland and negseat the Furchase offer to the Commission.	Sell one parcel or obtain one ground lease (does not include Arts Center Task Force).	2019/20 GOAL. Completion of Phase 1A construction.	Research, draft, and present the Vista Field Association or like organization for dues regarding operating Vista Field amenities; a key element includes shifting ongoing operating cost of Vista Field common areas to the development partners and businesses within Vista Field.	TACTICAL STEPS
Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission for substantial completion	Vista Considered complete when presented to Commission. 95	ACTION (ch ACTION Update
4	<	<	25% Complete	40% Complete	~	10% Complete	50% Complete	95% complete	STATUS (checkmark = Completed)
Bichland: Working on next decade plan, with consultation from White Bluffs Consultag. Consultant and Port staff have met five times to prepare a list of long term and short term projects. Berton City: Parmership for DPZ shortline master plan,	Completed October 21-22 with with Jim Darling. Presented to Commission on 10-29-19.	aff re	Introduced consultant to Commission and touted community; developing scope of work for Clovet Island Master Plan Update. Goal on track for 2020.	Consultants have duaffed a basic restoration plan. Tim presented to USACE commander the potential solution on 7/29/19. Staff to staff consultations continue, but with USACE process there is no guarantee. Goal amended 2/25/20.	Staff & port attorney have many hours invested toward PSA and water rights transfer logistics. Tentative PSA and major deal rooms presented to the Commission on 9/10/19. PSA presented to Commission on 9/24/19.	Unattainable until the Port has recorded lots to sell. Completion scheduled in Spring 2020. However, the Port has had substantial interest from developers.	50% complete based upon pay applications from Contractor. The project is currently well within our estimated budget. Completion currently scheduled in Spring 2020.	Two types of owners association documents have been prepared (Commercial & Industrial). Don's Goldstein presented the concept to the Commission on 5/28/19. Awaiting final edits to documents for Commission approval in January 2020.	COMMENTS

Resolution 2020-04 Exhibit "B"

GOAL & OBJECTIVE	D	TACTICAL STEPS	ACTION	STATUS (checkmark = Completed)	COMMENTS Which is now complete. West Rightand/Benion County Completed Lind sale to Fire District #4, enabling them to build a
Districtwide	œ				which is now complete. West Richland/Benian County Completed land sale to Fire District #4, enabling them to build a new fire station.
Districtwide	2019/20 GOAL 9	Establish "Vibrancy" policy:	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	4	Presented to Commission on 5/14/19. Executed MOU on 4/25/19.
Districtwide	2019/20 GOAL 10	Identify and present one additional mutually beneficial or ROI partnering opportunity to the Commission.	Considered complete when presented to Commission.	4	Executed PSA with Benton County on 9/24/19 for water rights transfer from the Raceway property to the Benton County Engagements. This was beneficial to Benton County because they didn't have enough water eights for the finggrounds, and benefited the Port as a result of Benton County psying the Port #5000 of their ROCF funds for Year Field. This will also result in future goodwill between both agencies, hopefully leading to more successfull partnerships.
Vista Field	2019/20 GOAL	2019/20 GOAL Complete architectural and engineeting analysis for the Vista Hangars, together with estimated budget and draft financing plan for commission consideration	Considered complete when presented to Commission.		Added 2/25/20
Districtwide	2019/20 GOAL	Complete analysis of current and fitting RCCIF funding; potential capital construction projects which may be funded by RCCIF, and independent review of potential Opportunity Zone funding and project analysis	Considered complete when presented to Commission		Added 2/25/20

- Other Accomplishments

 1) Completed land sale to Three River's Acquisitions

 2) Successful launch of new Port website

 3) Signed contract with USACE for 1135 project phase 2

 4) Obtained clean financial statement audits for 2017 & 2018



AGENDA REPORT

TO:

Port Commission

FROM:

Tim Arntzen, CEO

MEETING DATE:

February 25, 2020

AGENDA ITEM:

Resolution 2020-04;

Amendment of 2019-2020 Work Plan and

CEO's Goals and Objectives

I. REFERENCE(S): Resolution 2020-04

Amendments to 2019/20 Work Plan (Exhibit A) Updated CEO's Goals and Objectives (Exhibit B)

II. FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

III. DISCUSSION: In several recent commission meetings, staff and the commission discussed the 2019-2020 Work Plan recognizing that a number of situations have changed over the past year (e.g. Southridge Auction and West Richland Raceway land sale). The commission directed the CEO to propose updates to the work plan for the balance of its effective period (2020).

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Consider Resolution 2020-04, amending the 2019-2020 Work Plan and associated CEO's Goals and Objectives, as described in Exhibit A and Exhibit B, attached hereto.

V. ACTION REQUESTED OF COMMISSION:

Motion: I move approval of Resolution 2020-04 amending the 2019-2020 Work Plan and associated Goals and Objectives as set forth in the Resolution; Exhibit A and Exhibit B; and that all action by port officers and employees in furtherance hereof is ratified and approved.

PORT OF KENNEWICK

REGULAR COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING

HELD ON

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2020

2:00 P.M.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18 l

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

MR. BARNES: This meeting of the Port of Kennewick Commission will please come to order. At this time, I'd invite everyone to check their noise-making devices, make sure they're in the silent or off mode. And if you would,

please rise and join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. BARNES: Thank you. I'd note, for the record, we have all three commissioners present. Our next item on the agenda is approval of the agenda. The Chair will entertain a motion.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Mr. President, I move approval of the agenda as presented.

MR. MOAK: Second.

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that we approve the agenda as published or as presented. If there's no discussion, we'll vote. All in favor, please say "Aye".

Opposed?

The Ayes have it, 3 nothing.

Our next item on the agenda is an opportunity for public comment. At the Port of Kennewick, we have two opportunities for public comment, one at the beginning of the meeting, one at the end of the meeting. If you would like to make a a public comment, we'd ask that you please move to the podium, please state your name and address for the record, and please limit your comments to three minutes.

Would anyone care to make a public comment? Mr. Burdick, please.

MR. BURDICK: Boyce Burdick, 414 Snyder Street,
Richland, Washington. At the previous Commission meeting,
there was a discussion about putting the audio records
online so they could be accessed easily. And also, I think
there was discussion that these audio recordings might
replace the written minutes. I think that would be a
mistake. Audio recordings are problematic at best.
Typically, you don't know who is speaking, whereas if you
look at the written minutes, you get the name of each person
before each paragraph and what they've said.

So I guess, also, the topic of action minutes also stuck its ugly head up, and I think that's also a mistake. I think the discussions that we see in the written minutes are really valuable.

Thank you.

MR. BARNES: Thank you very much. Are there any



other public comments? Thank you.

Okay. The next item on on our agenda is the consent agenda. These items are considered routine in nature, usually taken by one vote of the Commission. Any item can be removed, placed down the agenda or tabled for another meeting by two-thirds vote of the Commission. Do we need to have any items removed from the consent agenda?

The Chair will entertain a motion.

Clerk's Note: Mr. Novakovich

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. MOAK: Mr. President, I move approval of the

10 consent agenda.

Clerk's Note: Mr. Moak

NOVAKOVICH: Second.

THE COURT: Okay

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that we approve the consent agenda. Today our consent agenda is comprised of approval of direct deposit and epayments dated February 19th, 2020, and approval of warrant register dated February 25th, 2020. If there's no discussion, we'll proceed to vote.

All in favor, please say "Aye".

Any opposed?

The Ayes have it, 3 nothing. Thank you.

The next item on our agenda, presentations. Friend of the Port, Tana and Tim, please. 23

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Thank you, Commissioners. appreciate that. As you know, in the past, we have done a

NDT Assgn # 33574-2

Friend report for probably a decade now. It's an 1 2 opportunity for staff to come together under consensus and 3 determine somebody that's kind of gone over and above what is kind of normal activity in benefit of the Port district. 4 5 And this year, by consensus, the staff decided that ET 6 Estate Sales would be the one that we would recommend you 7 recognize. And there's a reason for that. Liz and Mark Thompson are here today for ET Estate 8 9 Sales, and they brought their team with them. And in a 10 moment, I'll let her introduce everybody. 11 Do you want to do that right now? 12 MS. THOMPSON: Either way. 13 MS. BADER IGLIMA: Okay. Let me tell you why we're so excited that they're with us today and why they've 14 brought such a great crew. They understood the Port's 15 vision for the Columbia Gardens redevelopment. A number of 16 17 years ago, they chose to move to a building across the street from the wine village, because they wanted to be part 18 19 of the synergy and the redevelopment and the transformation 20 of East Kennewick and the historic waterfront. So they supported the Port's vision from day one. 21 22 They made personal investment in that

They made personal investment in that neighborhood. They moved their business, and they created a retail opportunity at ET Estate Sales that complements what we're doing with the wine village development. And their

23

24

25

business, since it opened, has also attracted some additional retail to that end of town.

Port of Kennewick Meeting

But not only that, they took it upon themselves recently, because they have such pride in ownership of the revitalization of East Kennewick, that when we had a recent spate of some very bad graffiti, not just on their building, but all up and down Columbia Drive. And they hit just about every building, fortunately, not our wine buildings, but the ones across the street, a number of the buildings with some very ugly, very visible graffiti.

Liz contacted me and said, Hey, how do we get this cleaned up? And rather than waiting for the City's Graffiti Abatement Team -- which they do have a program in place, but it might take a day or two -- Liz said, No, we want to take this on and we want to get it cleaned up right away. So I gave her some contacts. She reached out to the other neighbors. And they sent their team out to clean up, paint over, power-wash and clean up the neighborhood.

So we felt that that was above and beyond, not only were they good neighbors making personal investments, they have really -- their prompt and civic-minded action really is helping us with the place-making that we're doing with Columbia Gardens, and they're helping us with that sense of urban revitalization. They have taken ownership of it and are making visitors proud, being able to come down to

that area.

So with that, I'll let Liz say -- she's got a chance to introduce her people, and then I think we can kind of --

MS. THOMPSON: I would just like to thank the Port
Commissioners --

MS. BADER INGLIMA: Can we get you up to the mic so we can record that?

MS. THOMPSON: I would just like to thank the Commissioners and the Port and the staff, all the hard work that you guys have done. It's been amazing to see the transformation. And it really was the decision for us in buying the building. If it would have been status quo down here, we would not even have looked twice at the building we purchased. So I just want to thank everybody. I know how hard you guys have worked. It takes dedication. It's taken a long time, and we really, really appreciate that.

And with that, I'm just start here in the front row and introduce some of our team. Jessica -- please stand up and wave real quick -- and then we have Devon Gardner, Lisa Ritchard, and then come back behind with Trevor, Curtis, he's the one that helped us clean up the graffiti, Curtis Malone, my husband, Mark Thompson, and in the back row, he helped clean up the graffiti, Jess Messenger and his girlfriend.

25

destination."

```
And thank you for having us here today.
 1
 2
   really, really appreciate it.
 3
             MR. BARNES:
                           Thank you. Liz and Mark, could you
   please come forward and join me?
 4
 5
             MS. BADER IGLIMA: And I think, Liz, did you want
 6
   to have the team up there as well?
 7
             MS. THOMPSON: Do we have enough room?
             MR. BARNES:
 8
                          We'll make room.
 9
             MS. THOMPSON:
                             You've probably got room for the
                                         Clerk's Note: Mark Showalter of PS Media
   other Commissioners, too. Mark is going to manage this
10
   whole thing.
11
             MR. BARNES: I'd like to, first of all, say how
12
13
   much the Port appreciates having a private sector partner
   make a significant investment in our area, not only an
14
   invest in money, but also time, and then be dedicated and
15
   committed to the neighborhood through actions.
16
17
             So I'd like to read the plaque that we'd like to
   present to you today. There says, "The 2019 Friend of the
18
19
   Port award presented to Liz and Mark Thompson, ET Estate
20
   Sales, in recognition of your efforts to transform Columbia
21
   Drive through personal investments, a commitment to
22
   excellence and tenacity in making East Kennewick and the
   Columbia Gardens Wine and Artisan Village an inviting
23
```

On behalf of all three commissioners, I'd like to

thank you very much.

2

1

(Whereupon, a picture was taken.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

Commission. 25

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Liz and Mark, thank you, and your entire team, we really appreciate it, as the Commissioners have said. We also have a plaque that's the same that will be hanging on our wall. So you will join our Friend of the Port walls with a plaque here on the wall in recognition of everything you've done.

February 25, 2020

So thank you.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Thanks again very much, for joining us today.

Okay. Moving to the next item on our agenda, we have budget review through December 31st, 2019. Nick?

MR. KOOIKER: Thank you. This is our six-month budget review, if you can remember the last one back in July, July 9th, I believe.

For reference, in your packets, you will see a one-page, it's like a profit and loss statement, otherwise known as an income statement. It shows the Port's revenues and expenses through December 31st, '19. And then also, you will see the PowerPoint presentation, which I have built the capital budget into that presentation. I've kind of condensed that down to make it, you know, simpler because then it sticks together, whereas making it easier for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I guess I'll just go ahead and get started So this is a graphic that I came across about a year GFOA is Government Finance Officer's Association of America and Canada. They're a highly regarded -- you know, they're an entity that basically teaches finance people like They're highly regarded for training and resources. And this is where I go to reference many things like this. Like running the financing for Vista Field, I went through a lot 9 of guidance with them and followed checklists and things. So GFOA has provided this to me. And I think this is just kind of relevant for any entities. This is kind of 11

just the guidance for us. And I show this, also, because I prepared this presentation based upon what I think the Commission wants to see, which is at the top of the pyramid, so the leadership of the Port Commission. Really, the level of data they are looking to see is higher-level summary data. Middle management in the green there, that's probably more budget meetings I have with Tim. I give Tim more detail, but still not extreme detail. And then lower bottom of that pyramid, these are meetings with directors and people at the Port, like Amber. We'll discuss down to the penny repairs for buildings, by line items, and various different costs like that.

So anyways, I based this presentation, based upon the top, just trying to keep it at a higher level.



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

So the first thing I always start with is some economic data. And I try to kind of gear this not only to the Port's -- how it can impact the Port, but also, things that are kind of interesting to the average person, just kind of interesting things we can take with us through the budget process or even this presentation.

This one, I found this pretty interesting. national unemployment rate, the state unemployment rate, the local Benton County rate. The national and State unemployment rates all went down in the last six months, but the Benton County unemployment rate went up, which I found kind of interesting.

So to put a few notes on the bottom here, the national unemployment rate is the lowest it's been in 50 years. And I think people underestimate that, but that's a pretty big deal. And the State, you can see that 10.4 percent -- all of us remember living through that last recession -- but 10.4 percent. Now we're at 4.3. And the Benton County unemployment rate is 5.4. Six months ago, I think it was about 4.9. It was still higher.

I didn't know until recently -- I went to the economic forum last week with other staff and a commissioner here -- and a presenter -- I don't know how to say her name, Ajsa Suljic. She's kind of highly regarded as the local Benton County economist. And one thing I caught from her

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

was that she thinks the reason why the County is higher than everybody else in the State is due to the fact that we have a very young work force. So basically, there's more people going into the work force, therefore, the unemployment rate is a little bit higher, just because the pool is increasing faster than everybody else. And that's the first time I've heard that, because I have never really been able to pin down what the reasoning for that technically is.

Recession. I always kind of include this, and I don't mean to be a Doomsdayer. But about six months ago, I mentioned the yield curve is negative, and that -- or it was I'm sorry. So that means short-term rates are inverted. higher than long-term rates. And that's always been a predictor of a recession. And I'm not trying to scare anybody, but this certainly should be on our radar.

And the bullet I have there, I found this, the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland shows the probability of recession, at the highest in August 2020, 43 percent. And I bring this up just, you know -- I think it's something, the recession could have a slower effect on the Port, or a delayed effect. And I just think it's something we need to think about when we're doing the budget here in eight months. I just think it's -- when we're forecasting revenues, our revenues are highly dependent upon private businesses, and some of those private businesses could be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impacted. So I think it's something that -- Part of the problem with us is our revenues can drop, and our expenses will still stay the same, because we're still supporting a higher level of services ongoing. So I bring that up.

This one, I think, hits everybody in this room. And this one, I kind of -- I was trying to correlate home prices with household incomes. The chart on the left is -the chart on the left is home prices. In '09, we were down to, like, 190. Today, we're up at about 320, I believe. home prices have gone up 70 percent since 2009, or 7 percent per year. And the biggest increase has been in the last four years, and that's 32 percent since 2016.

What I was hoping I would see, as I was gathering this data -- and I got this data from, as you can see at the bottom, the Association of Realtors and Ajsa, I guess I would call her. The chart on the right, I got that data from her. But I was hoping I would see a similar correlation on the right-hand side. But as you can see, you don't. So income has only risen 3 percent since '09, whereas home prices have gone up 70 percent. And I don't know about you guys, but I see a problem. I mean, I don't see how this could be sustainable.

How does this relate to the Port? I mean, we've noticed our construction costs have gone through the roof. I mean, trying to predict, when we bid a project, what it's



going to be six months from now, has become almost impossible, if not impossible, as, really, trying to pin jello down. And this does relate to us, because it can impact us in the future.

So our budget philosophy -- this is a resolution the Commission passed -- originally in '14, we amended it just this last year or two years ago. I always put a few bold points in here that I think apply to where we're at. The first one is eliminating, or transferring to private sector, holdings which provide minimal benefit to the Port or our constituents. This I brought up because the Port Commission recently sold the South Ridge property and the West Richland Race Track, with thoughts being that somebody else could probably develop in the near term, or in a better way the Port didn't have the ability to right now.

The second one, accurately predict funding sources, I thought this was relevant. We're going to talk a little bit about the RCCF funds, the 9/10ths of 1 percent sales tax funds from Benton County. We've been thinking about this. We now have a plan in place how we're going to utilize this money. And then also, you know, just part of the problem, kind of in the past, we've had a lot of partners, the City of Kennewick, Benton County. And this other people's money is a great revenue for the Port, but it's been hard to forecast. So we can be thinking about



this in our budget the next go-around.

The next one I think is always important,
evaluating economic development based upon results to be
derived district wide versus project specific. This, I
always come back to Vista Field. I mean, just because Vista
Field is in Kennewick doesn't mean it won't have a positive
effect on Pasco, West Richland, Benton City and Richland as
well. So we just want to keep that in mind.

And then the last one I always put in here, just because the staff -- the Port staff is consistently doing more with less. And I realize this is part of working in government, that we're asking more of less. But it's getting more and more in Vista Field. I think the operations, you know, once that takes over, is going to be further amplified, the stress you put on staff.

So as I mentioned a few Commission meetings ago, I just want to put some disclosures out there. So I'm being asked to put a year-end budget report together, and I just think the Commissioners need to know that those numbers will change, because we accrue are accounting. So for example, in the check run today, there's expenses in there, like the payment to Musser Brothers, for example, I accrued that to November, because the auction was in November. So that \$9,000 you paid for the South Ridge auction isn't in our revenues and expenses. So I just want to point that out.

1	This presentation is through last Commission
2	meeting's check run. So any check run you see or any check
3	you see in the warrant today is not included. And part of
4	that was because I've been preparing this presentation for a
5	couple of weeks.
6	MR. BARNES: I have a question, please. We're on
7	an accrual accounting basis?
8	MR. KOOIKER: That's correct, yeah.
9	MR. BARNES: But your reports are cash-based?
10	MR. KOOIKER: No, that is not correct. So we're
11	on accrual-based accounting, and this sheet I give you is
12	accrual-based accounting.
13	MR. BARNES: Okay.
14	MR. KOOIKER: The only thing that's cash-based is
15	the bank account balance.
16	MR. BARNES: Okay. So the Musser Brother payment
17	was accrued in November.
18	MR. KOOIKER: Right.
19	MR. BARNES: So if it was accrued in November,
20	then it is in
21	MR. KOOIKER: It's not in here because we paid it
22	today. If it was paid on February 11th, it would have been,
23	yes. Does that make sense?
24	MR. BARNES: No.
25	MR. KOOIKER: So the \$9,000, I accrued that back

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

to November, but the check run didn't happen until today.

MR. BARNES: So the \$9,000 obligation to pay them -- we knew the \$9,000 payment was coming back in November, right?

MR. KOOIKER: We didn't know what the amount was.

MR. BARNES: Oh, okay.

MR. KOOIKER: Because actually, the contract says we're going to pay them \$15,000. I'm glad we didn't, because they sent us a bill for \$9,000. So we don't accrue it back until we get an invoice for it.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Okay. So we knew there would be a payment coming, but we didn't know the amount.

MR. KOOIKER: Right.

MR. BARNES: So when you're doing debits and credits, you can't -- you didn't have a specific amount that you could enter in as an accrual or as an accrued entry in November.

MR. KOOIKER: Right. Yeah.

MR. BARNES: Oh, okay. Thank you very much.

MR. KOOIKER: Yeah. So I mean, yeah, just keep in mind, this check run -- and we'll do one more March 10th, or whatever the next Commission meeting is -- we'll still be accruing some back. You know, we got a bill, I think it was the HVAC company, and they did their work in August.

> MR. BARNES: Oh.



MR. KOOIKER: And for whatever reason, I don't know why --

MR. BARNES: Free credit for five months.

MR. KOOIKER: That is, you know. But it's amazing how long we invoiced this. So I should send an email out to some vendors saying, hey, we need to get these -- to make our financial statements accurate, I want to accrue that back to last year, so please send me a bill. And it's not that we're not going to pay it, it just -- it makes our financials inaccurate if I close the books and it accrues something to 2020 that we shouldn't have. So if that makes sense to the board --

So on that note, Commissioner Barnes, like the third bold I put there, the land sales. So we received \$1.8 million, roughly, on December 31st. That's in the bank account balance, but it doesn't show up on your profit and loss statement. Because it's in the bank, but we haven't recognized the revenue yet, because we're still accruing expenses for that land sale.

MR. BARNES: The land sale wouldn't be an item that would be on an operating statement.

MR. KOOIKER: It will be. It will go under nonoperating revenues.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Okay. Thanks.

MR. KOOIKER: But like I said, that Musser

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

payment, I'm capitalizing that payment, because we had to pay that to sell the property. So by GAP standards, we have to capitalize that payment.

MR. BARNES: And then amortize it over what period?

MR. KOOIKER: That's right, yeah. So we'll go back and recapture all the cumulative depreciation that we put on that property. And we hadn't done an entity yet because we're still accruing expenses back.

> MR. BARNES: Okay.

MR. KOOIKER: So anyways, I just want to bring that up. And then the second bullet, you know, these are draft form. I just told you the numbers will change anyways, I quarantee it.

Clifton Larson Allen, we've hired them again to do another three-year contract with the Port. And they independently audit our financial statements. We have to submit our financials by the end of May to the auditor's office, and then Clifton Larson Allen -- I've already got on them on the schedule. They'll be here the third week of June, right after the finance conference. And they'll probably be done in August, is my guess. So we're already planning ahead on that one.

So a couple of considerations to be thinking about, when looking at this data. The fund balance, like I



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said -- and I specifically put on there what that includes, because I figured the Commission would probably ask that question. The \$8 million includes the \$1.8 million from the raceway land sale and from South Ridge. So that money was in there December 31st, literally, from the escrow company.

The second bullet, Vista Field, I want the Commission to understand that just because the phase 1A work with Total Site Services is winding down, I think other things are going to come up, just smaller little things. I mean, we see this with the wine village. Just because you're done with the buildings, there's always things that come up that were either planned or not planned, you know, that we'll have to pay for. So just keep that in perspective.

The third bullet point is regarding the loan. have delayed taking a draw on the financing. My plan is to take a draw on that here shortly. I have delayed that because I don't want to pay interest, unnecessary interest to the bank with tax payer money. But I have to take the final draw by June. So I just want to point that out.

Excuse me again. When you say the MR. BARNES: final draw, would the final draw take it all the way up to the \$5 million authorized?

MR. KOOIKER: Yes.

MR. BARNES: Or is there some less than \$5 million

total final draw that's being considered?

MR. KOOIKER: Well, what I'll probably do is take a lesser draw. I'll probably take a total of two draws, is kind of what I'm thinking. I've been watching our cash flow really closely, but as you can see, our bank account balance — and actually, after January, our account balance is down to about \$7 million. And the Commission keeps two and a half in reserve. So all of a sudden, that leaves us with 4.5. And it really helped us, we put that \$1.8 million in the bank. So that allowed me to cash flow Vista Field and those total sites for payments longer.

What I don't want to do is pay 2.85 percent when our money at the bank is earning 2 percent. So I really, you know, carefully considered when to take a draw. I mean, most districts would have taken \$5 million when they got the bond. But we strategically haven't done that, just trying to save everybody money.

And to take a draw, it's not easy for me. It's a lot of paperwork. I have to fingerprint stuff, you know, notarize it and all kinds of stuff. So it's not like just going in and getting a loan, unfortunately.

So the last thing I want -- we're 15 percent through the budget cycle, revenues and expenses. But again, that's -- it's a little confusing, because the expenses technically aren't fully accrued yet.

The Shoreline project -- and I referenced this last budget presentation back in July. But Tana has been bulldogging this effort since I've worked here, and before that, I think.

Right, Tana?

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Mm-hmm.

MR. KOOIKER: So you know, it's been a while. And she's really pushed us along. There have been delays on their part. But I think the Port needs to recognize that, you know, this is a lot of other people's money, mainly the Corps' money. And if their estimates are off, we need to either say, hey, we're going to come to the table with extra money or, we're not going to do it. So I think we need to keep that in mind. Because I mean, we like to be able to utilize partners, and I think that would be a big -- a negative thing if we weren't able to do that project when it came up. So I just want people people to remember that.

The second one is Vista Field. I reference that again. I know Tim, at the last meeting, referenced -- or wrote a memo placed on implementation team from Vista Field. I think, you know, we haven't pinned costs down, exactly what that will be. But just keep that in mind. Again, keep that in mind. And then when we budget in eight months, we really need to have that refined.

The third one is insurance reimbursement. And I



have been on top of the insurance company about getting paid our \$582,000. And I finally -- I've had email correspondence with them, and they called me last week and said, well, we had a software glitch, and they never paid us. So it's things like that that we're doing that, you know, people don't see that. But you know, we will get paid this money. I mean, I haven't had to involve counsel, because I've heard that was just an error on their part. wasn't that they weren't trying to pay us.

And then the RCCF money, I already mentioned this, but coming into the plan with this money is a really probably a more pressing issues for the Port Commission than some people might realize, just because of the timeline that they put on it. And that money is accruing every month. So we need to think of a plan to use that.

Next slide, Operating Revenues. This is just -- I hate to say this, kind of the boring, day-to-day operations of the Port. So revenues, we're at almost \$1.3 million for the first half of the biennial. You know, these are things like marina payments, so somebody comes to the front counter and pays their lease. Our operating leases, these are, you know, Ice Harbor Brewery, people like that.

We're 55 percent collected. But you've got to remember, I booked the full year for the hotel land lease and then the yacht club building. So those aren't material.

It will still -- I mean, it's only a couple percent. So that will still put us a little -- about 3 percent above.

MR. BARNES: Excuse me, please. When you say 55 percent collected, that's 55 percent of the biennial budget that's been collected to this point?

MR. KOOIKER: That's right.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Thanks.

MR. KOOIKER: And I'm glad you point that out, because "collected" doesn't necessarily mean that we have the money in our bank account, but we've actually recognized that revenue. Because we're on modified accruals. So I'll recognize the revenue, you know, like the yacht club lease essentially bill in our books. It's a four-year lease at that point.

MR. BARNES: Okay.

MR. KOOIKER: So whereas, you know, normal private business is to do accrual, they do full accrual. We're modified accrual. So it's exciting, complicated.

MR. BARNES: It sounds exciting.

MR. KOOIKER: Yeah. Expenses, Operating Expenses. We're about \$2.4 mlilion or 46 percent. A budget, this is exactly, you know, where I like to be, just a little bit below 50 percent, the benchmark. You know, we put money in the expense budget for Vista Field and the Shoreline, and as you can tell, the Shoreline isn't -- it's been delayed,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

nothing on our part, but on the Corps'. So we are below our benchmark, probably for those reasons, because we plugged in, I can't remember exactly, but it was a significant amount of money. Because the Shoreline maintenance, people don't realize what that costs. And the irrigation costs -- I mean, justthe area where City water, that's, you know -- it gets expensive and taking care of it.

So that's it for operating. Any questions on that report?

Okay. Non-operating Division. So the best way to sum up non-operating things is operating is our day-to-day operations, capital is our building buildings, and nonoperating is everything else. Our revenues are 35 percent collected. But this is incredibly misleading, because our revenues -- like when I request a draw from the bank, that's going to be a non-operating revenue. So if I call the bank and say, we want \$2 million, all of a sudden that \$6 million is going to be \$8 million tomorrow, or whatever day. So operating revenues, what I'm trying to say is don't take too much -- the 35 percent doesn't necessarily mean as much as you might think it would. We're not -- a lot of this is based upon our own discretion, is what I'm trying to say. And when I get that \$582,000 from the insurance company, probably this week, that will show up as non-operating The RCCF money is non-operating revenue. revenue.

What I've noticed, too, is the interest revenues are higher than we expected. The yields, like I mentioned, are 2.06 percent. That's something that we did not -- when we budgeted, our interest income was slim to zero. So that's good. I mean, we made more money on our money in the bank than we expected, but that also increased our operating revenues.

Expenses. We're at 42 percent. You know, we're a little low on that. But we also have ribbon cutting ceremonies coming up. And those are -- you know, as great as they are, they do cost the Port money, which I think they're a good expense. But I think we'll have increased expenses for the remainder of the year from that.

And this is the budget that most people seem to care the most about. You know, this is our capital budget. This is our construction budget, otherwise known as. The first column is the project, and I've kind of summarized these. The budget amount, this is — this amount includes the rollovers from last budget cycle, and also, any adjustments the Commission has made in the last year. I've made those adjustments in here. The third column is the actual expenses through the 2/11 check run. Just like Vista Field, that doesn't include the \$311,000 paid today to Total Site Services. And then the remaining is just the formula, the budget minus actual.



budget. That's a million that we, the Commission, had transferred from the -- where did we -- yeah, that's right. And then the \$500,000 would be the RCO grant. That's where the budget number came from. We spent \$253,000. We have \$1.2 million remaining. And you know, at this point, that's probably about right. I think the commitment on the Port's part, from the paperwork they've given us, is about a million dollars at this time. So we do have a little bit of wiggle room in there already. Hopefully, we don't need more than that.

Second one is the Clover Island master plan. We budgeted \$175,000. We spent about eight with Makers, with the initial work they did. That leaves us at 167. And then I think, for the Commission's consideration later on in the agenda -- well, I guess that's next, probably. Right, Clerk's note: Julie Bassuk of Makers Larry? The latest proposal from Luliet Maker, she thought it would be more like \$250,000, is what she thought would be the estimate for work. So I've put a memo in there where we could potentially get the extra \$75,000. But I haven't made the adjustment in here because you guys haven't approved it.

Vista Field. As you can see, you know, out of that almost \$3 million remaining -- and obviously, you've taken out the \$300,000 payment today that we made -- we owe Total Site Services, I believe, about \$1.5 million.



MR. BARNES: After the payment?

MR. KOOIKER: Right, I believe, off the top of my head. So there is potential. You know, when we bid this project, you have to remember the Port bid this project right after the 421, the wine village buildings, and that really took us a lot of time. So we were very conservative with our budget. We forecasted a higher contingency, especially for the water feature. We were building this water feature that nobody else in this community has built.

I mean, at one point, Larry was telling me that we have subs come in from California for the water feature, or there was that potential, because nobody here had the expertise. So we were very conservative with our bidding, just because we had gotten beat up on that. So there could be a little surplus here, but you also have to remember, there are things that are going to -- like I mentioned earlier, beyond the total site contract. And then, you know, there are things that could come up, like a gateway type feature, for example, the hangar buildings. You know, there could just be many other things that were tagged up items.

The next one down, the Vista Field Traffic

Impacts, Owner Association, Town Planner. I was advocate

for the first one, the traffic impacts, just because the

City of Kennewick is going to make the Port -- help them

with modifying the intersections in and around Vista Field and the feature. I thought it would be prudent to park money there, just to kind of mitigate our risk. If they come just wanting a million dollars next week, then, you know, we want to build that in.

\$335,000. We paid \$66,000. That's primarily -- well, it's all for the Owners Association, about 66 grand we paid. So that's like the use of Foster Garvey and Ben Floyd, primarily. So we do have a balance in there, but I think, you know, that's -- we won't have any spurplus there.

The next one is kind of interesting. And like I \$550,000 said, the Vista Field loan payment originally is 550, and I've dropped it to 500, because the Commission moved \$50,000 with the tasting room budget, I believe. And I think we might have an extra -- I think our total outlay this year will be \$450,000. So we will have a surplus there. And I have also printed out, if the Commission wants to see it -- or if anybody wants to see it, for that matter, because I know this is really exciting -- but I have a new amortization schedule for the bank based upon a draw schedule the next three months. And that's where I get the \$450,000 from. That includes principal and interest.

So if anybody wants to copy of that, I can pass it down. Would you?



MR. BARNES: Would you?

MR. KOOIKER: Let me see if I can find it here.

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Do you have multiple copies?

MR. KOOIKER: I didn't know people were going to be that interested. I just have one for Commissioner Barnes.

MR. BARNES: Anybody else want one?

MR. KOOIKER: So Columbia Drive, our \$2.4 million

__

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. BARNES: Didn't I see this last July?

MR. KOOIKER: It would have been different,

though, in July. Yeah.

MR. BARNES: Okay.

MR. KOOIKER: So because I -- I didn't know we were going to sell South Ridge. I didn't know we were going to sell, you know, the raceway. So I have the cash flowing in the best I can, without taking unnecessary money from the bank. As much as I like bankers, I don't like to pay them, if I can.

Columbia Drive, we're at \$2.4 million budget line item. We spent about \$2 million. So we've fully paid Ben. So the tasting room is fully paid for. So there's a surplus there, but there are things we have pending that are going to come out of that. For example, I grouped together the tasting rooms and the \$150,000 for the Duffy's Pond project.

So the \$150,000 is in the \$2.4 million, just to simplify things. So that will have to come out of the \$400,000.

And then, also, too, I know Larry and Amber were working on -- they ordered a bathroom for down there, a public bathroom. I know we've ordered that. We haven't paid for it. You know, it will still coe out of there. And then I know Amber has a couple of other -- signage. So I think -- shade structure. Yeah. I mean, there's a list of smaller type things that need to come out of that \$400,000. So I wouldn't expect that we'd have a major surplus there. If it is, it's going to be very minor.

And then the last one is Columbia Park Trail improvements. And this just happened last Commission meeting — or two Commission meetings ago where the Port agreed to allocate money in our capital budget from RCCF. So you know, in lieu of RCCF, we put it in our budget. So I put that number here. That's it for the capital budget.

The RCCF Fund. This one is not easy. There's a lot of moving parts to the RCCF Fund. There's many factors.

As you can see, our balance at the end of November -- and that's not a typo -- November was about \$2.2 million. That's what we accrued, and then they reimbursed us \$497,000.

You've got to remember, that's only the Port's portion. So they actually reimbursed us more than that, because our \$550,000 Columbia Drive utility project was City money, half -- 550

\$550,000

to the City, 550 to the Port as well. So that doesn't include the City's money. This is just our balance. And --

Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

MR. BARNES: I'm sorry. Then the sale of the old Tri-City raceway property would mean that we have or were scheduled to receive some of West Richland's RCCF funds. That would be handled the same way?

That further complicates things, MR. KOOIKER: yes. And you know, that's -- yeah. And I don't know how we go about doing that. I know their balance right now is about \$725,000 of what they haven't used, West Richland's fund. When we access that, how we access that, you know, needs to be up for discussion. I mean, that's part of the RCCF plan that Tim and I have been talking about we need it implement.

The other thing is we have half a million dollars in there, as in with the City of Kennewick for the Willows project. So we need to figure out what we're going to do with that. So that's kind of encumbered on that \$1.7 million.

The other interesting dynamic is -- and nobody thought about this, including myself, at the very beginning, when RCCF was first started and everybody was saying disbursement agreements, the way it's written is it caps everybody at 550. And then Tim negotiated that the City

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

pays us 3 percent for administration of the project, which is great. But you know, nobody, including the County and the City, thought about, well, it caps at 550, so once they paid us 550 of the City's money, they capped to me at 497. That's \$53,000 that, you know, basically stayed in our fund, stayed in our RCCF fund. So the State owed the Port 53 grand, but it's now sitting there at the County because I can't request reimbursement for that because it's not matching money. It's just our money.

So there's many, many things to consider with this RCCF fund. And then also, I think one thing that we didn't -- I mean, the sunset was 2023, but I know they had talked about 2023 is when the revenue stops, but how many years do you have to spend the money. These are all things that should be part of that analysis. So that's something that Tim will be working on, and I'll be part of that.

So the air policy fund, that is one the Commission passed about three years ago. And I just kind of felt like this was kind of something that was in the cloud that nobody really knew what that number was, so I wanted to memorialize what we had in this fund. You've got to remember, the Port only has one technical fund. We don't have separate bank accounts anymore. So for me, like the opportunity to fund the art policy fund, you know, I keep track of that via spreadsheets. So I wanted to memorialize that in the

2

3 |

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 l

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presentation. That's what I think -- unless somebody else corrects me -- is in our art fund or our future art project. And this is how I came up with that.

So since we passed that resolution, I went through every land sale of the Port -- every piece of land the Port sold. And the only one where we technically collected, it was from the City of West Richland. And I think the way the policy is written is at the discretion of the Port Commission, because this can be a negotiable item between the buyer and the seller. So that's the only one that, to my knowledge, would apply.

This is the opportunity fund. As you notice, this is exactly the same as it was back in July. The Commission hasn't utilized this fund any more than they had approved prior to that. Parametrix, we contracted with them for traffic calming on Columbia Drive.

Larry, do you want to give them a quick update in this, where we're at?

I asked Larry to --

MR. PETERSON: The traffic calming project reviewed the 397 intersection with Columbia Drive, possible improvements to the side streets off of Columbia Drive. Bruno Street has been drawn up and submitted to the City of Kennewick and is awaiting the review on the traffic engineer's desk for the last two or three months.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

project hasn't had any expenses accrued to it -- there, I used one of the fancy words -- hasn't had any expenses accrued to it in the last three months. It is waiting for City of Kennewick traffic engineer review.

> MR. BARNES: Thanks.

MR. KOOIKER: Thank you, Larry. So I'll just move on here.

So this line, I kind of thought maybe just put a couple of interesting items -- well, interesting to me -that the Commission maybe doesn't realize or hasn't heard of this. But investment interest, we received investment interest on a monthly basis from the County. We are in the County's investment pool. And the idea behind that -- and luckily, I was -- before the Port, I was with the County when we developed the investment pool. So I kind of have knowledge of that. The idea is that when we pool money together from multiple districts, you get better investments with a higher rate of return, therefore giving the smaller districts more return in terms of dollar amounts.

The yield on this was 2.06 percent, as of January. And I'm thankful that we did this, because I was looking The Washington State investment pool is at 1.67 percent. So we're actually receiving more interest than we would be otherwise. And I have talked to many other finance managers for the Ports in the State. We all work together.

And this one, you know, is debatable, because I have thought about being our own bank, where basically the Port can -- I can go out and get our own banking services, but the kicker is we have to invest our own money. And so I probably need to hire somebody to manage our investments and, you know, have somebody on a full-time platform for that.

The advantages, I can directly have a bank, and I can probably get better services from a bank if I contract directly with, say, Key Bank or whatever. I can get more services at the front counter for -- like, accepting credit cards would be easier. The problem now is that we bank with the County, and they bank with Key Bank. So there's three -- so I guess I've analyzed this in full, and I'm really about to the point where I want to tackle being my own investment manager or being the bank. And I've heard mixed reviews to the Ports. Some think it's great, and some don't want to deal with it.

There's a lot of risk, too. You know, if you manage your own investments, you have to find a custodian that you trust, you know, as a safekeeping fund for the money. There's just a lot more risk to the Port. So right now, our investments are yielding higher than the State pool in the County investment pool, which I think has been good for us.

The second one I also -- leasehold tax. So many



23

24

25

1	of you probably know what this is. But this is something we
2	get from the County every other month. It's kind of weird,
3	because we collect leasehold tax, and we owe property tax,
4	12.84 percent. We send it to the State, and then two months
5	later, they send at the back to the County and they
6	distribute it to all the special purpose governments based
7	upon a formula. And as you can imagine, the reason why they
8	do this, it seems kind of convoluted and bureaucratic. But
9	the State keeps most the money before they send it back. So
10	that's really how it works. I just want to point that out.
11	This is something we track that we report monthly,
12	when I'm starting to collect monthly report quarterly to the
13	State. So it's just kind of interesting tax people haven't
14	heard of.
15	MR. BARNES: And the leasehold excise tax, as I
16	understand it, that's a tax that the Port receives on all
17	lease payments for from every Port tenant?
18	MR. KOOIKER: That's right. Yeah.
19	MR. BARNES: Okay.
20	MR. KOOIKER: Okay. So I also mentioned or I
21	also want to bring up property taxes. So I mean, our actual

MR. KOOIKER: Okay. So I also mentioned -- or I also want to bring up property taxes. So I mean, our actual levy is off a little bit from our budget amount, but it's not material. The reason for this is it could be different. Actually, it is different now, since January has already happened. But what happens is they have adjustments to the

tax rolls. You know, seniors apply for exemptions that go back three years, or if a mobile home gets destroyed or whatever -- a current use, that's when a farmer has been paying the full tax rate, and somebody tells them that, hey, you can get a reduced tax rate, and the County can go back three years and refund them. So that adjusts our levy.

get reports from the County, and we have to adjust our ledger to be -- you know, based upon their adjustments. They also have what's called an administrative refund levy, which is levied. The intention is, is that if there's a large refund, it would be paid out of this fund, because they don't want to hurt the special purpose by reducing their taxes on a one-time basis by a huge amount.

At the bottom there, you can see this chart, which I couldn't figure this out, so Tana did it for me -- thanks, Tana.

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Mm-hmm.

MR. KOOIKER: The 49 percent of the bond, that's Kennewick, so people in the City of Kennewick. That's where we get the bulk of our tax revenue from, almost half of it. The 22 percent is Richland, and the 9 percent is West Richland, and the 20 percent is Benton County. And then Benton City is under 1 percent of the Port's tax revenue. And this is based upon the '17 levy, just so you know. The

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

County does not update this every year. I've requested it for '19, but I haven't received it yet.

Okay. So we're basically at the end. At this point, I think I was going to ask the Commission, was this helpful, quidance from the board. I think before I do that, I might just -- I put together what could potentially be like a quarterly budget update, a more frequent budget update, but not as much detail. This is something that I've gone through, and I think I could manage this and have -manage it so it wouldn't take as much time. I mean, I could present this on a quarterly basis without too much increased staff time.

Tim and I have been talking a lot about this, so I've prepared something. So this is what it could potentially look like, just like before and all those times. I'll give you this profit and loss statement every quarter, plus the capital budget, and then just give you short operating, non-operating, and capital. And I thought that's something that, at a higher level, could be usedful for the board, you know.

So I guess now we'll go back and ask the Commission if they have any questions or -- you know, I quess for me, I just want some guidance of, is this acceptable? Or where should we be going, or some direction from the board would be helpful.

MR. BARNES: Well, if I may begin, I think this is excellent. I mean, the amount of information here is tremendous. It will give me something to look at. You know, I kind of went through -- I like what you just -- I didn't understand, in the packet, what was in -- I didn't completely understand right away, and now it's pretty clear, what you're suggesting as a more frequent update is significantly paired down. That matches exactly what some of my thoughts and comments that I had while you were making this presentation.

I mean, I don't know that you need to be out looking at what housing prices are doing or whether or not the yield curve is inverted or, you know, that kind of stuff. I'm more interested in the paired-down information that you're proposing where it's just, here's the operating budget, the non-operating, the capital funds, and here it is. If we could get that on a more periodic basis, or a more frequent basis, I'd be really happy.

It's clear to me that the finances of the Port of Kennewick are in superb hands, just under excellent guidance and stewardship. I'm just looking for a little more frequent information so I have that, you know, that warm, fuzzy feeling a little more frequently than every seven months or every six months. That's all I'm saying.

I think this is excellent.



Port of Kennewick Meeting February 25, 2020 NDT Assgn # 33574-2 MR. NOVAKOVICH: Nick, I think you've done an excellent job. I have a question, though. What's our current levy rate? MR. KOOIKER: Actually, because of '20, it's 28 cents per thousand. MR. NOVAKOVICH: MR. KOOIKER: 28 cents. MR. NOVAKOVICH: And that's dropped how much in the last 10 years? MR. KOOIKER: You know, off the top of my head, I can't remember. In the last couple of years, it's 33 cents. 11 I want to say it was at 39 cents, maybe. MR. NOVAKOVICH: That's what I thought. Yeah. 13 Because I remember -- and then I'm looking at -- this is just remarkable, what we've done. Because I'm looking at 14 the total assets here on your financial highlights sheet of 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

62 and a half million. I'm remembering assets, when I came on this Commission, was only about \$18 million. So you've done a remarkable job for the tax payers.

I do have a question, though. I know Commissioner Barnes wants this information, but I don't know that the Commission as a whole has ever had a vote or anything on having you do this. So I don't know if preparing it for one Commissioner is a smart move? I'm just questioning, because I don't ever remember voting on having to do this forever.

The other thing is if this is done, and you do



present it and the Commission, you know, votes to have you do this -- which I think would be appropriate, to give you direction -- I think, then, at that case, that there's no reason that a single commissioner needs to go to you and talk about to get information other than what's given in this meeting, so that one Commissioner doesn't have information the other two don't have. These are just questions I have.

MR. BARNES: Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yes. I think this kind of information is very helpful, like, annually. I mean, some of these -- like, the unemployment rate or variety of things, I think, you know, traditionally, I think these are things that are important to know, as they affect our Port. And so I think, you know, even though sometimes, my eyes glaze over because I don't understand the difference between cash accounting and accrual accounting, and all these various things, like some do. I do think it's important that we see some of these annually.

When you get into the quarterly report, you know - and certainly, I don't require photographs. You know,
that isn't important to me. I mean, I guess I -- you know,
the page that's identified as financial highlights -- it's
not numbered -- is the one that I like. To me, that gives
me a better idea. And whether they're in writing or, you

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, in some of your slides -- you do have them in writing -- you know, some of the notes that reflects, you know, what is happening in some of these various accounts or whatever, or, you know, if something says we're way under, well, we're going to expend that in the next year, or we expect to stay under, you know. And certainly, we do spike the capital projects. But I mean, it sounds like, from what you're recommending, that on a quarterly basis, that we're going to see two or three or four slides. Is that correct?

MR. KOOIKER: That's correct. This is what I'd recommend.

MR. MOAK: I mean, so that was a good one, and the one that we had that said Financial Highlights. But -- and so, you know, I think a reduced one quarterly I don't think is out of range. I think knowing, you know -- and I think a lot of it, to me, that was most helpful was your discussion about what these numbers meant, not what the -- the numbers are numbers. And as you have talked about in your financial presentation, a lot of it aren't the real numbers, because all the bills haven't come in, this or that or that and whatever. So I mean, it gives us an idea. And I think your comments related to what those really mean, what's in there, has been more helpful to me than seeing any of the slides.

So that's my comments.

MR. BARNES: Again, getting back to Commissioner



Novakovich's comment about, you know, whether or not the Commission has decided if this needs to be quarterly or biannually or whatever, I would just like to point or call the attention to our own rules of policy and procedure, and the section of our rules and policy and procedure that have to do with agenda planning, which says that, "Items may be placed on either the business agenda or consent agenda. Any title may be placed on the preliminary Commission meeting agenda by any Commissioner or by the executive director.".

And then we could get into, well, if an item is requested that a Commissioner place this on the agenda, then we could, I guess, get to the point of the meeting where we're at approval of agenda, and that, by two-thirds voting, could be removed from the agenda. But I don't know that we need to go in circles like that.

I really think it would be important -- it's important to me to have more frequent budget updates, more frequent than every six months or seven months. I would like to go to with once every two months, and have that update be comprised of the financial highlight page that Commissioner Moak mentioned, and then the capital projects page, and then keep it fairly short like that. And then if you have any comments or anything to add, anything to flag, anything to -- you know, that might merit special attention or special discussion, then there's an opportunity to

discuss that every two months.

And if it's not -- you know, I don't know how difficult it is to produce this financial highlight page and the capital projects page, but I would like to see that every two months, if that would be, you know, not the full blown thing where we're talking about everything you've discussed, but just to have an opportunity to go over these things, get it in a printed version, and then flag anything or highlight anything that comes up in a two-month period that would be worth Commission consideration or discussion.

MR. KOOIKER: Yeah, I mean, I guess that's a policy decision by the board. You know, I think what I don't want to do is underestimate -- I mean, I do have these numbers at my fingertips, but putting them in a format where you've got 20 people in the room and they become public record, I go through every number four or five times. I have Tana review the PowerPoint. Sometimes she uses Rochelle, you know, as a subcontractor. I think there is a cost to the Port. And if that's okay, then I think the Commission just needs to know that. But you know, making something ready for public consumption is different than me sitting with Amber and going through our stuff. I'm just saying, there is a major difference.

The last couple of weeks, this is what I've been doing, is this. So I just think, you -- do I have this



report all the time? Yes. But before I come to a

Commission meeting, I will go through and make sure that
there's no formula missing or whatever. I just want to
bring that up, because --

MR. BARNES: Well, I think you've made that very clear, that these are accrual or -- I think the term you used was modified accrual?

MR. KOOIKER: That's right.

MR. BARNES: Modified accrued basis. And it says right on the report, "Unaudited and In Draft Form." You know, I really like this information. I'd just like -- every six months, to me, was not frequent enough. I think I made that very clear. And I'd really appreciate having an opportunity to see this something like every two months.

Tim, please?

MR. ARNTZEN: Yeah. I have spent quite a bit of time talking with Nick. One of the things that we wanted to propose -- and it was included in the memo that I typed up - was to go quarterly. So if you ask me, can we do it every two months, how much additional work that will be, I'd have to say I need to sit back down with Nick, because our proposal was to give this information to you quarterly. That was something that we thought we could arrive at without pulling Nick off of too many other projects. Because there's some big projects that he's on, and my concern is that if we

go to something more than quarterly, there is a finite amount of time. And you know, come to you, when I talk about the Vista Field team, we're probably going to have to do some additional work in the finance department. I may need some additional help there any way.

So my point is right now, Nick and I have agreed that, yeah, we think that should the Commission ask for it quarterly, that we could do that without really pulling him off of too many other projects. I just don't know, at this moment, if I can answer on the floor, what having him do them every two months would do. So I would just point that out.

Clerk's Note: Mr. Moak

MR. BARNES: And I would be satisfied with quarterly. I mean, I think you offered quarterly. We haven't had a chance to see, well, what is a quarterly report going to be and what information is going to be there. And I'd like to see it for a year, to see a quarterly report. Then we can determine whether that's sufficient. I think we should accept that and move an.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: I would agree with Commissioner Moak on that one. The other question I have -- and Nick, you pointed it out and you explained it here -- a lot of these numbers, I'm just afraid, because it happens quite a bit and we're seeing it quite a bit in the news and everywhere else, that you turn these numbers out to the

public and they can take things out of context and misrepresent them, if they don't understand the background, they don't understand that some income isn't in here, or some expenses aren't in here. It could create a mess in the public.

I think I would agree with Commissioner Moak, is quarterly -- maybe try quarterly. Personally, I don't think that's even needed, if we go with quarterly. But somehow it has to be extremely simple, that the public can understand it. And you understand it, and you explain it to us. But if they just pick up a piece of paper, or pick it up online or get it in the newspaper and look at some numbers, we could be answering a lot of questions forever, which is going to take up more time.

I think something the Commission needs to realize is we have limited resources of finance and staff, and how do you want to apply those? Do you want to apply them to Vista Field, or do you want to apply them to answering questions from the public about financial figures. Do you want to apply them to preparing financial reports that we got along fine with without having them, except semiannyally?

I think that's a real question that needs to be answered. What's the highest and best use of the resources we have.

MR. MOAK: I should hope more people would be asking about Vista Field and Clover Island, or any of these projects. I think they're signature projects, and I think that I would hope that people would be asking.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Well, I agree with that, the projects. I'm worried about answering the numbers on the financial reports.

MR. MOAK: Well, I haven't heard people asking questions about the financial report. People want to see Vista Field happen. I don't think they're looking at --

MR. BARNES: From my point of view, I mean, there is a price of transparency. I mean, one of the things that's most important to me at the Port of Kennewick is that we be transparent. I mean, we let the public know what we're doing. And this -- I mean, the risk of having people misinterpret or not fully understand some numbers that are labeled, Draft, Unaudited, I would accept that. That's part of being transparent. That's part of providing information on the public on the expenditure of tax payer funds on big projects that are for our community.

So I will accept -- I will agree with the quarterly report. Let's go from there. I'm thankful that we're getting a more frequent report. Every six months, in my view, was not enough. And again, I really appreciate the time and effort that you put into this. I hope you didn't

take this as a personal -- you know, personal assault or affront or anything. It's not that at all. I really enjoy and appreciate having this information. It's reassuring to see these numbers, to see where we are relative to our budget.

You know, if you look, we're just past 50 percent of the way through this 2019, 2020 capital projects number, and the remaining balance there is a huge number. There's no total on that, but -- so we're in great shape. I mean, we're doing these big projects, but we're not on the edge of the cliff on the edge of disaster. I mean, and that's another message that the public, I think, would enjoy seeing.

MR. ARNTZEN: Commissioner Barnes, thank you. I am optimistic that we can get to a point where we have the Commissioners happy with the amount of information we provide. I liked the walk-through today. And I know this was the six-month one. Your quarterlies will be a little bit less. But I was just watching -- it's really funny when you hear terms of cash, and then you hear accrual, modified accrual, I appreciate the questions we got from the Commission. The thing I like is all three Commissioners got to hear the questions and hear the answers.

My staff got a really great walk-through as well, and hopefully, the public had a chance to see this. So I'm

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a huge fan of whatever Nick produces. I'd really like it to see the light of day, because it is interesting. We just need to figure out how much of it. And I like the fact that all three Commissioners get it, because then as the manager, I know when we conclude this meeting, if we have four or five questions still out there, that we will go get answers and bring back next meeting. Or you know, I really like the fact that all three Commissioners get to be a part of the process. So you know, once the gavel taps at the end of the meeting, we know that we've provided the information.

So for me, this was a very helpful process. think we'll just continue to fine-tune it. And should we get through it and say, hey, you know, there's a consensus from the Commission that we want a little bit more information, we can go in that direction. But let's try quarterly. I appreciate the flexibility. We'll see how it works. I'd like to get feedback from the Commission.

By I was kind of watching the process and thinking that, in my opinion, this was working smoothly. So thank you.

Thank you, Tim and Nick. MR. BARNES: Thank you very much. Excellent. I mean, I'm just -- top rate. I appreciate it. And I appreciate the comments of fellow commissioners. I mean -- it does represent a little bit of a change. You know, not to belabor this any further, but

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, Tim, you've made a couple remarks, you've been 1 here 17 years and you've seen a lot of things at the Port of 2 3 Kennewick. Well, if you look at what -- and Commissioner Novakovich just pointed out, what were the assets? What was 5 the balance of the assets 10 or 15 years ago? Substantially 6 less than what it is now. What were the projects going on 7 10 or 15 years ago? Substantially fewer, substantially smaller than what we have going on now. 8

The Port of Kennewick has changed. We're evolving. So thank you for this.

MR. KOOIKER: Well, and I appreciate the clear direction from the board. I also say, like, if you look back in history, when I first started working here, I mean, we did do quarterly financial updates. The Commissioners cried, Mercy. They were like, No, no, no, that's too much for me to look at.

MR. BARNES: So that was Tammy, though. No.

MR. KOOIKER: Yeah, but what I'm trying to say is we're trying to be dynamic with whatever the Commission needs today. I mean, so I appreciate having direction consistently that we can move forward with.

MR. BARNES: But thank you. I mean, to me, it's very, very clear the Port of Kennewick has excellent leadership and excellent management in this area. Thank you.

15 l

Okay. Moving on to the next item on our agenda, we are going to new business. Kennewick Waterfront Master Plan Resolution, 2020-01. Larry?

MR. PETERSON: Yes, for your consideration today, a general report and two resolutions have been prepared with different scopes of work for a master planning effort conducted by Makers Architecture Urban Design for the Clover Island and Columbia Drive area, or known as the historic Kennewick Waterfront District.

Our 2019, 2020 work plan identified \$175,000 for master planning along the historic Kennewick Waterfront District. Staff started discussions with Makers architecture, the firm that had completed the 2005 Clover Island Master Plan about updating that master plan and talking about scope of a project and budget.

When we talked about the outreach that the Port of Kennewick would like to see in this process and the need to discuss issues such as parking, design, and other use considerations, \$175,000 didn't go far enough to also include many of the properties along the Columbia Drive corridor. So there would either need to be a reduction in the public outreach portion of the process or finding some additional dollars.

Knowing the transparency that the Port of Kennewick likes to maintain in the engagement with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

citizens, we asked Makers to provide an alternative scope that would allow a two-day public -- open public charrette, which is identified in the scope, and also include considerations for the Kennewick Waterfront areas, the Columbia Drive Willows, the Columbia Gardens, the Cable Greens, and even the Washington Street corridor.

So there are two separate resolutions, one focusing just on Clover Island for a cost of \$174,971. There's a second resolution of \$248,000, which would be all of the work on Clover Island plus the work on the near shore area of the Columbia Drive Cable Greens, Columbia Gardens and incorporation of the Washington Street corridor concept the City of Kennewick is putting forward.

The mechanics would be, if you would -- there's an option to choose one or two of the resolutions identified as Clover Island or Historic Kennewick Waterfront. The firm Makers served the Port well in the creation of the Master Plan. And I look back on the implementation. undertook implementation of that Master Plan and of the upland projects. 12 out of the 15 upland projects have been completed to date. We had marching orders included in the Master Plan, a very useful implementation schedule. Both had time and approximate dollar amounts.

And then the in-water projects, nine of the 11 inwater projects have been completed. So it was time to ask



the next question -- or the question of what's next on Clover Island. And also, we heard from the Commission about how to tie in the efforts that have occurred over the last decade on the Columbia Drive corridor, from the pattern language, from some of the design work that Arculus did, the concepts that they created in 2011, some of the work that Gary Black did with Integrated Structures Incorporated on the visioning for the Columbia Gardens, and also to incorporate in Columbia Basin College Culinary Institute, initially located in the Willows, and now looking to have migrated to the Cable Green site.

A lot of concepts have been developed for the Columbia Gardens, but nothing cohesively pulled together. So when working with Makers, we asked that that scope include pulling together all of the previous activities, also pulling in the traffic calming that was mentioned earlier, and the connection to Downtown Kennewick through the City of Kennewick's Washington Street corridor design concepts.

Almost done. I'd just let you know, this does differ from Vista Field where we had a blank slate. Much of -- we're approaching this, and the scope is written, the concepts of Clover Island being the communities, waterfront, recreation, hospitality. That is a concept that -- we didn't treat this as having a blank slate, nor a blank slate when it comes to Columbia Gardens or the Willows site. There

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been some planning and concepts, much background information. So rather than starting from scratch, which includes a significantly broader scope of review, we asked Makers to put together an effort that would consolidate the planning activities over the last decade, rather than starting from scratch.

So in front of you are two different resolutions, the mechanics would be involved -- if you elect to go forward, to select one or the other resolutions. And I will note, the budget identified \$175,000 for this effort. Since we had a budget update today, I asked Nick if the Commission wished to select the larger project or the larger scope of \$248,000, where might those funds come from. He provided a memo with some suggestions. That's not the only option, but wanted to let the Commission know, there is some -- there are some different columns from which those dollars could come from. So that's been included. So there are two resolutions, a scope of work attached for your consideration.

MR. BARNES: Commission, comments or questions? Commissioner Novakovich.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: With the additional \$75,000, would that impede any other projects that we have negatively?

MR. KOOIKER: As far as the budget goes, or as far



as time goes?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Time implementation of the project.

MR. KOOIKER: That's probably a more Larry question, then.

MR. PETERSON: One thing I did want to point out,
I had a comparison and the contrast. The additional scope
of work would add four months to the overall project. So if
it was a Clover Island only based activity, it's an eightmonth master plan, as proposed by Markers Architecture and
Urban Design. If the additional near shore waterfront was
added, that would add four months to the project.

The dollars, again, one option for the funds Nick identified was simply reallocating \$50,000 from the Vista Field loan repayments, as we haven't even initiated the loan yet. That doesn't defund a project. So it doesn't pull — the additional dollars aren't being pulled from another priority project you identified. If you were to select the larger scope, that would add four months to the master plan process, making it a year-long effort.

MR. BARNES: Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: If we do select the larger project, are we making that decision to take the money that you have identified? Or is that a subsequent decision, either by destination or by staff, where that comes from?

MR. PETERSON: I'm going to defer to either Tim or Nick on that. I just want to let you know that there were options for those dollars.

MR. ARNTZEN: Sure. Commissioner Moak, if I could jump in. That is the source of funding that I would recommend. I've talked with Nick about that. And with all the moving pieces of the budget, we think that that is a fairly safe source for this funding. So, you know, I suppose the Commission could come in and say, well, we want to pull some from here and here and here, but typically, I view the Commission as flying at \$30,000 at the policy legal. Typically, I think you say, we'd like A or B. And then if it's B, I think you would rest assured the staff can find \$75,000. I don't want to use the term "budget." To us, it's real money. But that would be our proposal where it would come from.

MR. MOAK: I mean -- well, it's couched as, well, this is one idea. This is really the idea that you really want, because it really doesn't take the money from other projects that we're --

MR. ARNTZEN: Yeah, I think -- put it this way. We didn't do an in-depth analysis of every place it could come from. I think Nick spent some time with it in that he said, Here's where I recommend it coming from. I walked through -- I got a review of the budget prior to you getting it here

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

NDT Assgn # 33574-2

today, and I concluded, along with Nick, thta I think we're 1 2 safe taking from the two sources. But again, I mean, if the 3 Commission said, for one reason or another, we don't like it coming from there, we could come back with maybe a couple of 4 5 other sources.

MR. MOAK: But you're not looking yourself to go look for other sources. You feel this, right now, is the best --

> MR. ARNTZEN: That is correct. Yes.

MR. MOAK: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BARNES: So in other words, if Nick gives the recommendation that he sees these two sources as the best, then, I mean, I'm going to rely on what he says, after what I've seen today.

Sure. Thank you. And that's kind MR. ARNTZEN: of where we're at. I mean, I really don't know -- I guess my thought would be that the Commissioner might say to me, Mr. Manager, that's what we hired you for. I don't want to spend my Saturday morning over, you know, the cup of coffee trying to find \$10,000 here or \$10,000 there. I suppose the Commission could do that if you wanted to. That's probably not the realm that the Commission likes to deal with. think you're, like I say, 30,000 feet. You make the larger decisions of do we want to spend the extra \$75,000 for that.

So that's how I would answer your question;

Commissioner Moak.

2 MR. MOAK: Thank you.

MR. BARNES: Do you have something else,

Commissioner Novakovich?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: That takes care of the finance part of it. What about staff resources? Is that going to impede our projects we have --

MR. ARNTZEN: Well, I appreciate that. And as much as Larry wants to dive into this project and own it -- and I appreciate that. We've got a planner that really -- he spends a lot of time thinking of making sure that every project we do is the best -- I'm going to be relying on Makers to do the heavy lifting on this. And it's not that they're an unknown commodity. They've been here before. They know the neighborhood. Larry and I had a two and a half hour conference call with Julie last Friday. And they are very, very capable.

So I will tell you, as manager, I need Larry over at Vista Field. I want to see dust on his shoes when he comes in every morning, meaning he's been walking Vista Field. So we will rely on Makers to do the left heavy lifting. But as usual, I will promise you that every staff member here will keep a second set of eyes on it. But I don't envision this taking us from our objective of getting Vista Field going.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Okay. And then Tana's comments, either last meeting or the meeting before, about the 1135 project, does Julie understand that that's ongoing and that what she does may have some lasting effects on that project, or that project may have some effects on what she proposes?

MR. PETERSON: Julie Bassick from Makers is aware of the focus and the scope identified. This is a master planning effort looking at upland activities, not looking at the near shore or in-water activities. Because of the concern about confusion or doubling efforts, replanning for an area already being designed by the Corps of Engineers.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Good. Thank you.

MR. BARNES: I have a question. In your presentation, Larry, you know, you went over the scope of work -- the two different scopes of work. One scenario would require a budget modification. But then in your memo, you also addressed the issue of the CEO's annual goals related to this activity. Would you care to comment further on that?

MR. PETERSON: Yes. I believe the identified goal was completion of the Master Plan in 2020. And if the Commission was to select the larger scope that runs into 2021, the resolution, as it's written, changes the definition of success from completing the Master Plan to completing the public outreach portion of the Master Plan.

That way, there's both an exception for the Commission on a management method, but you're changing what you manage, as opposed to completed the document to completing of the public outreach process.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Well, I would note, you know, my preference would be, if we're going to talk about CEO goals and objectives -- which is the next item on our agenda -- my preference would be that we address it under that topic, as opposed to having it tag along here on this resolution. Just a preference.

Any further Commission comments or questions?

Okay. Because it's anticipated that the Port of

Kennewick may take action on this resolution, it creates an
opportunity for public comment. If anyone would like to

make a public comment regarding this item, we'd ask that you
please move to the podium, please state your name and
address for the record, and please limit your comments to
three minutes. Would anyone care to make a public comment?

Okay. No public comment. Commission discussion?

Any further Commission discussion?

The Chair will entertain a motion.

MR. MOAK: Mr. Chair, I move approval of
Resolution 2020-01, authorizing the Port's Chief Executive
Officer to execute the contract with Makers Architecture and
Urban Design LLP for master planning consulting services

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regarding the development for the Kennewick waterfront for the sum not to exceed \$248,288. Further, all action by Port officers and employees in furtherance is ratified and approved, and the Chief Executive Officer is authorized to amend the 2019, 2020 capital budget to reflect the \$75,000 project allocation, the Chief Executive Officer's annual goals are amended to identify completion of the public outreach process as a threshold for payment, and the Port Chief Executive Officer is authorized to take all action necessary in furtherance hereof.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: I second that motion.

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's been moved and seconded. I won't repeat the entire motion. Commission discussion? Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yeah. There is one question that I had related within the scope, and it deals with social media. It says, "Per Port policies, no social media will be used for this project." And I guess what is the Port policy that we have against social media? I guess I don't believe I ever remember that the board has taken a position opposing social media. I couldn't find it in our policy manual.

MR. PETERSON: I will address that, and then I'll pass it onto Tana. The scope does -- and that was one identified, we had a discussion with Julie with Makers, because they had some ideas about how they could use social

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

-- FaceBook and Twitter and all kinds of outreach. And we indicated, because of concerns on record retention, metadata, the Port of Kennewick is not on social media, and if one of our contracted subconsultants was doing that work, would there be a responsibility of retention of those records and metadata.

Being cautious when it comes to public record retention and, specifically, metadata, since we don't use Twitter, Instagram, TikTok -- I don't know, I'm not a social media guru -- since we're not using it as a Port, our consultant also identified that they wouldn't be using that because of the concern. The contract with them, is it not a responsibility to produce that record as requested. And I can defer to Tana or others that might want to augment what I'm sharing.

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Larry covered that. We do not have any social media presence. We have our website. in the past, when we did outreach with the charrettes for Vista Field, we promoted the charrettes using our partner's social media. But any record retention, record maintenance, we used email to capture that. And those emails went directly to Larry, and he was able to capture all that data so that we would have that as a public record.

But yeah, we've been cautioned by our legal that we have not entered into that foray as of yet.



	MR. MOAK:	Thank you	for that ar	iswer, bu	ıt it	
doesn't	answer my que	estion. It	says "per	Port pol	icy."	Do
we have	a policy tha	t bans socia	al media?	I don't	think	we
do. I ti	hink staff ha	as said we d	don't do it	t, but I	don't	know
that we	have a polic	y. This say	ys "per Por	t policy	7."	

MR. ARNTZEN: Maybe that is an inaccurate description produced by Makers. I think what the point was that we would use our usual and customary practice.

MR. MOAK: I understand that. And I would accept that. But is that appropriate to substitute that language?

MR. ARNTZEN: I would think so. Larry, does that work for you?

MR. PETERSON: No concern with modifying that word and saying "practices," as opposed to "policy."

MR. MOAK: Okay. Just for the record, I think we should have a social media policy. I mean, a lot of government jurisdictions do have social media policies that have an active social media presence. And I think we should on something like this. But I also realize that isn't something you'd change in a day. I am concerned when it says policy -- policies of this board up here, rather than that board over there. And our practice certainly is not to do social media.

Other than that, I think this is a good thing to do. I've been, you know, certainly excited about this for

1	some time. And I think tying in Clover Island with the wine					
2	village and all the other projects on Columbia Drive that we					
3	have identified there, and the public outreach that's					
4	identified with both private sector and public sector, and I					
5	think, you know, we've seen the Port award today					
6	apparently the Port, specifically, you know, that talks					
7	about importance of the private sector along Columbia Drive					
8	and what is happening on Columbia Drive. I really think					
9	that putting together this project really helps, hopefully,					
10	pull together a lot of folks that are interested in this					
11	whole area and have been interested for some time.					
12	We're looking at where we're going with this, and					
13	it will help cover our development for the next number of					
14	years.					
15	Mr. Chair, is it appropriate to seek to amend the					
16	word "policy" to "procedure" at this time?					
17	MR. BARNES: I think that would be consistent with					
18	the discussion that we've had to this point.					
19	MR. MOAK: Then I would move to substitute the					
20	word "practice" for "policy" in the section dealing with					
21	social media in the scope of work. Clerk's Note: Mr. Barnes					

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Second.

22

23

24

25

MR. BARNES: Okay. So we have a proposed amendment on the floor. Let's deal with the proposed amendment. It's been moved by Commissioner Moak and

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

seconded that in the Makers scope of work -- it appears to 1 2 be on page 3 under section 1B, in the last sentence, the word "policies" will be changed to "practices" so that the 3 last sentence reads, "Per Port practices, no social media 4 5 will be used for this project." 6 MR. NOVAKOVICH: It's also on page 6.

MR. BARNES: It's also on page 6.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Under Assumptions.

MR. BARNES: Under Assumptions. Same change on page 6 under Assumptions.

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's in the middle. And that sentence reads, currently -- well, the word "policies" will be changed to "practices." So that sentence, under the bullet point, will read, "Given the Port's practices, no social media will be used related to this project." And if the Commission's missed that in another spot in the scope of work, we'll ask staff to please --

MR. MOAK: I think that is the only two that I saw.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Yeah.

MR. BARNES: Okay. All right. It's been moved and seconded that the scope of work for the Makers contract change the word "policies" to "practices" with respect to social media references. Any further Commission discussion? It's been moved and seconded that we change Okay.

15 l

the word "policies" to "practices" with respect to social media references. All in favor of the amendment, please say "Aye".

Any opposed? The amendment passes 3, 0.

If I may, I voiced earlier, added to this resolution is language amending our CEO's goals and objectives. And I appreciate that. But at the same time, note that the very next item on our agenda is amendment of the 2019, 2020 work plan and CEO's goals and objectives. So my preference would be to address all changes and amendments to the CEO's goals and objectives at the same time, under the next agenda item. So I would move to amend the motion by striking these words, "The Chief Executive Officer's annual goals are amended to identify completion of the public outreach process as the threshold for attainment."

Is there a second? Okay. That proposed amendment dies for lack of a second. Any other discussion regarding this item?

Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Port adopt resolution 2020-01 authorizing Port CEO to execute a contract -- or the contract with Makers in the amount of \$248,288, with further provisos that I won't repeat, if that's all right. All in favor, please say "Aye".

Any opposed say "Nay".

The Ayes have it, 3, nothing. Thank you.

All right. Next item on our agenda, continuing under New Business. Amendment of the 2019, 2020 work plan and CEO's -- you know what? How about a recess. Let's recess for five minutes. Let's shoot to reconvene at 3:43.

(Whereupon, a break was taken.)

MR. BARNES: The Port of Kennewick Commission, moving to the next item on our agenda, continuing under New Business, we have amendment of the 2019, 2020 work plan and CEO's goals and objectives, proposed resolution 2020-04.

MR. ARNTZEN: Thank you. Commission, I'll try to move through this quickly, because I think you've seen the discussion related to the work plan a couple of times already. And I think that we have the work plan and the goals kind of dovetailing. I think the primary document is the work plan, and in my opinion, as long as the work plan and the goals are consistent with, you know, no anomalies, I think we're good.

So what I'd like to do is walk through the proposed amendments to the work plan. Again, I think the discussion has been had a couple of times. I'll try to hit the high points. If there's things that I've missed or you'd like more discussion, please stop me as we go. Or at the end, I could certainly discuss things that we've missed.

So what I've done is try to boil it down to, it



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

looks like, six items for the proposed amendments to the work plan. I'm working off of the document entitled Exhibit A, which would go to your resolution.

General. We've talked about producing an executive summary to the work plan. And I don't think that really requires a lot of discussion. But because we're part way through -- you know, halfway through our '19-'20 work plan, what we might propose is to fancy things up for this next work plan we will be doing for '21-'22. And the interesting point is we're going to start to bring the Commission ideas and some potential budget numbers real soon. So you're going to get a chance to work on your next work plan that might be the fancy version.

So I guess I really don't see us making an executive summary for this one that's only going to be for the balance of this year. So that would be, certainly, at the discretion of the Commission.

And I'll pause briefly to see if there's any feedback on that.

MR. BARNES: Commission feedback?

MR. ARNTZEN: Okay. Thank you. And we did learn from the Commission that there seemed to be interest in the executive summary, but not making a magazine, if you will, of the whole thing. So we have learned that from the Commission. Thank you.

Waterfront Master Plan -- and this is one that we just processed. We talked about it in detail. And I think, really, the only thing that we might need to consider is making sure that we have all of our paperwork consistent, where the resolution that was just passed, the amendment to the work plan, and the goal is modified. I think the important thing is to provide the additional 120 days. And. In my opinion, I really think you get a better product.

Again, I was privvy to the two and a half hour phone call with Julie, and in my paperwork -- I circled it, I don't want to go back there -- but it was something like an open studio charrette. When she said that on the phone, I asked her to repeat that, because I just got done telling the Commission, hey, we're not going to do a charrette like we did at Vista Field. Well, we're not. But I wanted to her to talk about the open studio charrette, because that's a really interesting concept.

So that's really what -- another of the major features you get in the Master Plan. I was excited to hear that, because I know all three Commissioners, and in my opinion, particularly Commissioner Moak, likes that process. And I do, too. So I think we can have some fun with that. But again, I just want us to say, let's get the resolution we just passed, our work plan, and our goals to align. So that would be the main one for the Waterfront Master Plan,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

in my opinion an acknowledge that we're going to have the extra 120 days. I think it would be well used.

So pause briefly.

MR. BARNES: Commission comment?

MR. ARNTZEN: Thank you. Duffy's Pond. This is another exciting one for me because Tana and Amber are working with Emily from the City of Kennewick Corps of This has kind of had a renewed life, if you I remember Commissioner Barnes was the one that was reluctant to take it off of the original work plan and goals. At that point, I didn't see any way forward. But walking the pond with the right people, I think there's a path forward. So I'm very excited about this one. I think we're going to have some deliverables for the Port Commission this summer. And I've talk to the winery owners about it. I said, I think we can get that algae eliminated. They're very excited.

So that's item number 3. We've kind of repackaged the work plan, the corresponding goal to talk about what we think is current information related to Duffy's Pond. And last night, I went to the Kennewick Man and Woman of the Year presentation. I had a chance to talk to Emily. Emily Estes-Cross is with the City of Kennewick. Good friend of She's in charge of Parks and Recreation. And we had kind of a chance to talk again about how that is critically

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

important for the City to help us with the pond, and she pledged her support on that. So I feel really good about goal number 3.

Vista Field Hangar Remodel. This is a big one. I've been working with Nick and Larry and others on this, Amber, I think this is one that's really potentially going to shape the next -- I don't want to say next phase, but your next deliverable at Vista Field. I think this can be a big one. We have in the room David Robison, who's on contract with us on this project. He's going to work up a plan that we can bring back to the Commission to show you what the options might be. And almost like the Makers project, David isn't going to put pen to paper until he sits down with the Commission and says, Let's just talk without any documents in front of us. What do you envision those places being? So you're going to get to have the first bite of the apple, so to speak, on this. But I think it's going to be a very big and important project.

And I want to mention the Rural County -- I'm sorry, the Opportunity Zone Analysis. While that does dovetail in with the hangar remodel project, we're going to address it, I think just administratively, under the next goal. So back up a second to goal number 4, Vista Field, Hangar Remodel. I'm optimistic that that's going to be a hallmark project that the community will see when that one



gets completed. So I want to stop here and see if the Commission has questions or comments for me on that.

MR. BARNES: Commission questions or comments?

MR. ARNTZEN: Thank you. Rural County Capital

Fund Strategy. I kind of got a kick out of the slide that

Nick showed, because you look at it and you say, well, Nick,

you've done it. That slide tells you everything. And then

the question comes up about the race track funding. And

Nick did a great job discussing that, but there's also the

political level to that, because Benton County said, gee,

Port of Kennewick, we love you, you put in some really great

projects, but we're not going to give you carte blanche on

this one. What we want to see is an application coming in

showing us how you're going to use that particular money,

and if the application is a good one, like we know it will

be, then we're going to move the money into your category.

So there's a number of, you know, moving parts to the Rural County Capital Fund analysis. I think once Nick and I work through it for you, we can put it up on some spreadsheets, I think it will be pretty clear to see. But that are number of interesting components. The other one he mentioned is City of Kennewick and Port of Kennewick. We threw about a million dollars in the hat for putting in infrastructure at the Willows. That was contingent upon a federal EVA grant, which we didn't get, unfortunately. So



you know have -- and I shouldn't say it like this -- but there's a million dollars out there, you know, in the clear blue sky that we need to go bring back in and see where the Commission would want to allocate that.

So there are a lot of moving parts to that. I think once we get a chance to sift through and bring you several options, hopefully, the information we bring back will be useful, interesting, clear and succint.

So I'll pause here at this one. And then also the Opportunity Zone Analysis.

MR. BARNES: Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yeah, I'm just also reminded of, the Mayor of West Richland says, we were going to use their RCCF money? Go use it someplace with somebody who's going to double your money. You know, and so that -- you've got to use it where you can leverage it. And we've been stuck with the City of Kennewick, unable to leverage that quite well, you know, and sort of understanding that not every jurisdiction has been that generous in working on projects that benefit both the community as well as the Port.

MR. ARNTZEN: Right. And part of the rules, if you will, from Benton County is they want to see two entities partner. So rather than just handing a check to two entities to do separate projects, Benton County and State law encourages people to partner up, because a lot of

times when you're doing a bigger project, there's just not enough money in each jurisdiction's column. So Benton County give you more points if you're partnering.

I've been -- I don't want to say summoned, but

I've been invited to the Kennewick Woman of the Year's

office tomorrow morning, our good friend, City Manager Marie

Mosley. She's got a couple ideas of how the Port can help

the City. So it is a two-way street. And this is going to

dovetail in, because part of her request might be for some

interesting ideas related to Rural County Funding. So the

process is moving along. Again, staff doesn't make

commitments. I'll be interested to hear what Marie says. We

can come back and talk about it. But I'm assuming that this

will probably dovetail in under the Rural County analysis.

So I'll pause again, see if we have comments or questions.

MR. BARNES: Comments or questions?

MR. ARNTZEN: Okay. So that works through the, I guess, the substance. I have just thrown in number 6. And in fairness to the Commission, I don't think you've seen this one before. But I believe that the work that we all — Commission, staff, public — have put into the work plan, it really should be recognized as a keystone document that will give staff very strong guidance from the Commission. So you know, maybe there's a nice way that we can say that you

expect your staff to hone in on the work plan and to not deviate from that. And if we get new bright ideas, those new bright ideas might have to be secondary to the keystone document of the amended work plan.

Exhibit A. You also have in your packet, Exhibit B. This is Nick's, I guess his chart form of the memo that I've put together for you. I believe the information is consistent. I think this just shows kind of the way that people look at the world. My world view is putting things in writing. I think Nick's is putting them on charts and spreadsheets. So hopefully, you'll find that Exhibits A and B are consistent with themselves and that the whole package we've provided is consistent with the agenda report and resolution.

Thank you, Commission.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Tim. Commission questions or comments?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Just a comment. I like your number 6. I think that this goes right along with what I've said. It's a proper allocation of resources. And if we have things on our plate that we're working on introducing, other things that may take away from what we have and what we've promised to the public is detrimental to the Port of Kennewick. So I really appreciate you putting that one in there.

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's anticipated the Port of Kennewick Commission will take action. That creates an opportunity for public comment. If you'd like to make a public comment regarding these proposed changes to the 2019, 2020 work plan and 2019, 2020 CEO goals and objectives, we'd ask that you please move to the podium, state your name and address for the record.

There are no public comments. The Chair will entertain a motion.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Mr. President, I move approval of resolution 2020-04 amending the 2019, 2020 work plan and associated goals and objectives as set forth in resolutions Exhibit A and Exhibit B, and that all actions by Port officers and employees in furtherance thereof is ratified.

MR. MOAK: Second.

MR. BARNES: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that we'll amend the 2019, 2020 work plan and associated CEO Goals and Objectives. Commission discussion? Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: I just think that, you know, the work plan is a living document. I think as things change, as we look at things, I think it should be reflected in our work plan as it is. Some of these are very good changes. I think the work plan, moving forward on a number of different areas that I think are very important to not only the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commission, but I think the public. So I think it's important to have that reflected in our work plan and move forward on those.

I appreciate the discussion on this. Thank you.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. I agree with Commissioner Moak's comments. Our work plan is a living document. don't have to look too far back in the rearview mirror to see evidence of that. We had a work plan that had addressed the Tri-City Raceway property, but I think that a significant opportunity presented itself, an opportunity to work with with a very good partner, even though I voted against the sale price -- I voted against the sale because of the price, in the end I think it was an excellent thing to do. We made the changes and decided to do that in spite of the fact that the work plan said, you know, do Y instead of X.

But it is a living document. And I think that also illustrates that the Port is aware of evolving change in our community. And we are capable of making changes that are in the best interests of the Port. And I think that change that we made, that decision that we made with the Tri-City Raceway was in the best interests of the Port and the City of West Richland. So I support these changes.

Any other comment? Okay. It's been moved and seconded that we approve resolution 2020-04 amending the

15 l

2019, 2020 work plan and associated goals and objectives as set forth in the resolution, Exhibits A and B. All in favor, please say "Aye".

Opposed say "Nay".

The Ayes have it, 3, 0. Thank you, Tim.

MR. ARNTZEN: Commission, thank you. And I do greatly appreciate your comments related to, we tried to get it right with the work plan, but there's always things that come up. So thank you for that recognition and explaining that to the public, because you know, we don't want to just appear to be flying willy-nilly. There are some very deep changes that caused us to have to review the work plan. So thank you for this opportunity.

MR. BARNES: It's a living document, so thank you for your work there.

Okay. Moving on to the next item on our agenda.
We're on to Reports, Comments, and Discussion items.
District Wide Project Timeline, Larry?

MR. PETERSON: Yes. At the last Commission meeting, the timeline for Vista Field identifying 10, 12, different tasks over the next year was presented to the Commission. And then 10 minutes later, a timeline was presented for Columbia Gardens identifying six or seven tasks to be undertaken for 2020. What we didn't have time at the last meeting was to share the entire district wide

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

list. So I'm asking Tana to put up on the screen the very busy -- and this is not -- we've had a couple caveats. was not intended to be a user friendly graphic. It wasn't intended to be un-user friendly, but it's function over form. And the idea was to capture all of the major tasks that we have in front of us.

MR. ARNTZEN: Commission, I want to interrupt for a second. I apologize for all these charts you're going to get from Larry. He loves this stuff.

MR. PETERSON: These charts are created to help keep on task, as it's not just on my desk at this stage. There are multiple -- between marketing, Amber, the real estate, and maintenance side of activities, Tim, the CEO, and the overview, and then when do we need to bring the policy. I hand this back to the Commission.

So we can quickly scroll down this -- slowly, but quickly. This is the Vista Field list that you would have seen last meeting. And then the next section, still in Vista Field, is the hangars. The next section is Columbia Gardens. What you didn't see was some of the timelines for the Kennewick waterfront, both the master plan and the work with the Corps of Engineers for 1135, and then some of the district wide tasks that are coming related to the work plan, the budget, and then the comps get amended.

Could you zoom out so the entire document can be



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seen on the screen. It will not be legible, but Tim, when he first saw this, he was overwhelmed. This is the tasks related to major capital projects that the Port of Kennewick is undertaking in the year 2020. The end result, Vista Field is ready to market, Columbia Garden is ready to market, the Kennewick waterfront -- historic Kennewick Waterfront District master planning process is well under way, and the design work with the Corps of Engineers 1135 project is moving toward the bid stage. And the two-year budget has been considered and approved along with the twoyear work plan.

February 25, 2020

That's awful busy. But I wanted, also, to keep the Commission to see this. And this is in your packets, so you can blow it up on the screen. But it's to help both staff and the Commission to know what's coming, to help keep us on track, so the Commission can have expectations of when might decisions be needed from you and when might supporting information be provided well in advance of those decisions that are needed.

The next timeline is a two-year summary. A little more than the user friendly, but when it was created, I wanted to flag a couple of items for the Commission's understanding. The number of activities reduced significantly. Vista Field is now a total of five tasks, and Columbia Gardens is three. This is also a two-year

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

timeline. But I wanted to call to attention the detailed Vista Field timeline for 2020 identifies marketing activities starting in August -- or excuse me, July, and then working through August and September in receipt of RFQs. What that really plays out to is review of the requests for proposals that we have received, reviewing those proposals in the latter part of 2020, design review process, permitting, and eventually marching towards closing in summer of 2021, and then foundations and private sector goes vertical.

So what looks like -- I want no surprises -- the timeline of the 2020 saying we'll be out for RFPs later this summer realistically means the private sector is not going vertical until close to a year later because of the due diligence period in investing several million dollars in the building. The private sector is going to want several months to review that. There's a permitting process with the City. So wanting to flag that. So this is a two-year look with the major projects. And there are, obviously, caveats with this. This is dependent upon staying in sequence. Some of these are best case scenario.

And the third note down at the bottom, it presumes the Commission gives direction to proceed with the remodeling of the hangars. If that doesn't occur, then that task is significantly altered. So that's a decision from a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

major policy. It was a little bit of a lead. If the Commission was to say, remodel those hangars when might construction start on those buildings. So that is included in the packet I wanted to share.

Tim said he was overwhelmed and wanted to see the entire task. I used my analogy of, you can talk about remodel the house, or you can talk about study for your masters program or learn a foreign language. This is all of those on one list, and potentially, one might be overwhelmed. But if you break it down -- get Commissioner Barnes to break it down into bite-sized pieces. And that's the intent here, to stay on task. These are big projects. We can take them on if we manage them in bite-sized pieces.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Larry. I think Commissioner Novakovich loves this logistic stuff, if I recall correctly. Do you want to begin with comments or questions of Larry?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: I do. Well, I'd probably have to side with Tim on this. It's probably a bit overwhelming. But thank you for presenting it, because it's going to be some fodder for a whole bunch of study. So good job, Larry. It really lays things out about what has to happen and what our responsibilities are and when. So that helps us plan, too.

MR. BARNES: Yeah, I'd like to say, I like this.



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Once I spend some time -- you almost need a magnifying 1 2 glass, some of the print is so small. But that's okay. 3 getting to that age where I have one laying around. And you start looking at this, a single X and convening with a 5 double X, and the color throughout on the time scale. So 6 you know, you can look -- if you want to look at Vista 7 Field, you can look out at Vista Field and see, well, what are some of the things, how does that timing look. Or if 8 9 you want to look in a particular month, you can look all the 10 way down and see what might be coming up in a particular month. So I like this. I like this. 11

It's something to look at. And I think this is a living document as well, because I think we'll encounter things that we don't even know about yet that will come up in the re-development of Vista Field. So this will probably become a living document. There will be changes that can be made as needed or as required. And again, I think we're in excellent capable competent hands here, someone that's really into this. So thank you, Larry.

Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yeah, I tell you that you have more charts than Nick, you know. But you know, it certainly shows, you know, I mean, the number of moving pieces. And the fact is, Vista Field isn't just Larry. It isn't just any one person. For any of these projects, there's a

multiplicity of staff and contractors trying to work together, and yet, all have decisions that need to be done at various times and various places and different things that come before this board. Yeah, I mean, it can be overwhelming to look at, but it also, you know, can give some idea as to the inner connectivity of projects and people and the importance, I think, of what we do.

So it's hard getting all this put together and understanding of it. But I think when you -- I think it's a way of, really, a better understanding of what all we've got in front of us and why it's important to keep to the task at hand.

Thank you very much.

MR. BARNES: Any further comments or questions?

Thanks, Larry, on to the next one. I see you're on the agenda for the next three or four. So now we're on to Vista Field?

MR. PETERSON: And now I'm marching down because there was an X on the previous chart in a variety of different columns. I'm going to quickly touch on many of those items. If we create a timeline and identify when we're going to be coming back to the Commission with information -- she's jumping the gun on the graphics. I can't compete with them now.

The timeline identified six different -- five or



six different items to talk to with the Commission, not a decision at this point, but simply update or share additional information. At this point, these are brief updates.

First off, the project team that was identified on the list to bring back to the Commission, we're working with the various subconsultants that have been identified on that Vista Field team, refining some of the scopes. And the key word I want to come away with is this is scalable. Likely, we're going to be using a lot of the assistance from the design folks at DPZ gentlemen when we work through questions in front of the Commission on youth and building exterior and design and such. And then they will scale off once those policy decisions are made and move into a marketing phase. So kind of an ebb and flow.

Their work, the need will recede a little bit, and then pick back up when those requests or proposals are actually received. So there's going to be some ebb and flow with the overall team. And that's been what we're trying to define with the scope and get an idea of what that might mean over a calendar year to come up with some expectation of time and dollars.

Skipping to the Property Owners Association, that was the next one to quickly touch on. We're at about the 85 percent stage. The document's written. The mechanics are

there. We're working with Ben Floyd of White Bluffs
Consulting, and Doris Goldstein to plug in some lots, plug
in some front footages, basically run a model a couple of
times to make sure or understand what the pricing would be.
So it's one of those, know your product and know your
customer.

Rather than just a 10-page document that, here's how the Property Owners Association functions and can be maintained, let's run it through so we know what a individual owner on a \$4,000 square foot lot with a single-family house would be expected to pay on an annual basis.

Let's have an understanding of what a property owner that has 16 or 24 apartments would be expected to pay under this. And we can ask the question, is there a bust in the numbers? Does this make sense to help from the marketing side. It will also help identify if there's an error in the equation or the thinking.

One of the things on the Property Owners

Association, you have to start with an initial budget, the way the mechanics are written. It can be adjusted to actual, as we work through time. So if we're wrong to begin with, we're not locked into undercollecting and having to pull out of the Port's pocket for decades to come. But we don't want to shock the buyers in Vista Field with the first year being a \$10 annual payment for homeowners dues and say,

oh, we were wrong, it's really \$200 a year. If we started out saying \$125, they can build that into their overall finances. No one likes to be surprised financially. So we're going to test the Property Owners Association.

That's not a -- we can bring in results, but at this point, really policy questions. It's just a, let's run some of the mechanics that -- the mechanism was created mostly by Doris Goldstein. I believe Liz's college roommate. So we have an attorney who understands the new organism fairly well that has subcrafted this, and tweek it to Vista Field. It's been used in the California and Florida model, but we want to make sure it works for us.

Real Estate Commission Policy. The last time this was adjusted was 2006. At the time, we had a significantly different portfolio. We have land extensive industrial properties, mostly in the rural areas. And the Commission policy was established to try to entice the private sector to look and show our properties. Because of the portfolio change we have and the desirability of our properties — and I believe much of the desirability is not just location, but it's also the entitlements that you've worked to put in place, the zoning regulations and the development agreement. It's time to revisit that. It may still be deemed appropriate, but it's time to revisit that.

Also, we can pull together in one cohesive place,



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the art policy, which is sitting separate. That can be folded in. Here's what a realtor can expect for compensation; here's what a buyer could expect to pay, and is that added to or -- is that added to the agreed-upon price? Or is that proceeds taken from the agreed-upon price? We can pull our real estate policies into one That's in a working draft format, and that's document. mostly in the camp with Amber and Nick. Nick's been kind of busy the last couple of weeks putting together the budget 10 update. But that's starting again.

Marketing, identified in the overall timelines to look to go out for an RFP later this summer. That involves a lot of marketing effort beforehand. You don't just put an ad in the newspaper. There's a lot of material that needs to be compiled. There's also a question, what are we doing in the interim. And right now, the answer is, we are taking people's contact information, we're directing them to the website for the sake of consistency, and we will be able to reach back out to them when we're ready for the RFP. avoiding showing the property to any one individual. that concern of did someone get their nose under the tent before the other. We're trying to remain consistent.

So the efforts are, capture their name and contact information, and direct them to our website, which has quite a few documents, both on the planning documents, the updated



construction documents, not only to keep them engaged, but also build some excitement as the new look of our website and the documents that exist there, the graphics, the renderings, provide some information that they want to -- the prospective buyer wants to dig a little deeper out online as the collaborative design process, the development agreement. They can see the details and mechanics.

Hangar Reuse. As recently touched on, in the previous item with the goals and objectives, we have engaged Strategic Construction Management or David Robison to review and start pulling together the concepts of use. And this is focused on what could go into the building, considering the constraints that the metal framed buildings have, what might the cost implications be of those uses, what might the interest from the markets that he's taken the pulse of some of the real estate professionals in the community. Some of the contractors get an idea of general construction costs.

There's also -- the first stop I believe he made was with Amber, the Port's real estate professionals, to say who's been calling and knocking on the door the last two years? What interest level have you had to this date? So David is pulling together many different pieces of that puzzle so we can get an idea. What might the hangars cost if they were a certain type of use, and what might be the interest level for that use? So we can bring that back. We

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can tell you, if they were all converted into restaurants, is there demand for six individual restaurant spaces out What would the budget cost be for that? What would the parking implications be for that? Trying to pull some of those together to give the Commission an idea before being asked to move considerably forward on a project to spend X millions of dollars to create -- how much money to create what, and if we build it, will they come and who will they be.

February 25, 2020

A site Reference Maps. You can pull those up. That was asked at the last Commission meeting. And I can -you might see these on a fairly regular basis, not this map so much, as this is the larger area identifying the streets, Crosswind Boulevard and Grandridge, pulling to the site, along with Vista Field Boulevard, the street that was known in the plans as Espinola Way, but now identified as Azure --That was not a slip; that was an intentional mention of the name -- and than the new little name of Constellation Way. This is to give the Commission a general idea of how we tie in the overall network.

The next map is site specific. The second page would be -- this second drawing shows that Phase 1A infrastructure improvement areas, the yellow identifying approximate locations of lots or properties that could be made available to others, and the light purple, light blue

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

shading for the hangars in the general area in and around the hangars that if the Port was to proceed with remodeling the buildings, that that general area would need to be retained under Port ownership to assure some parking is available.

Now, the large green block in the middle of the project, that would be a future site for Daybreak Commons. I wanted to call it Central Park. I lost. Daybreak Commons is the name for that future park. So that is not a salable chunk. That is actually a piece that we need significant investment. We'll be asking the private sector to locate in and around that central park, and the private sector will say, when is that central park coming, what will it be. you want me to build around this amenity, I need the amenity.

So we've given you some general idea of what's available. The next time that we see this map, it might have some block sizes or some acreage to give you what's in play. But roughly, of the 20 acres gross that we have, we have about 14 -- 13, 14 acres of net land. Some of that will be needed for common or joint use parking area. start breaking this down. You should expect to see this map over and over with different levels of detail from a reference standpoint. But there are the names of Azure and Constellation Way and road names that are to be established



here shortly.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

It was to be the last one, but it will be the 2 3 second to the last one. Crosswind Boulevard implementation. The City created that name and added it to their quiver back 4 5 in November of '18, and they established February 21st for 6 the day when that name would become official. 7 official on all of the 911 information networks that, you call for an address, they know where Crosswind Boulevard is. 8 9 No one told the City sign shop that they needed to make new 10 signs, so it still said Grandridge Boulevard, and they're frantically cranking out those signs, and they will be 11 installed later this week, as the sign must match what the 12 13 911 folks are looking for.

I'm not sure how long it's going to take your handheld device to start registering that as Crosswind Boulevard, as that might be a three-month to nine-month cycle for that overall update. But soon, even your handheld device will be looking for Crosswind Boulevard and will take you to the right location.

Those are the ones that are identified on a check next to Vista Field to at least touch on today with the maps and the Crosswind implementation, not being on the list. I just wanted to share.

We had one other item that came up today. It' definitely related to Vista Field. It's a policy question.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

It's a land use question. We've asked or hinted that we will be talking with the Art Center Task Force about the two-acre site -- two to two and a half acre site that's been reserved through an Memorandum of Understanding back in March of 2017, what the status is, are they ready to move forward. That agreement expired in March of 2019, but we're not done with the infrastructure yet, so we asked those folks, what is their status.

We have contracted with Strategic Construction Management to reach out to the art center folks and seek an update, what's their status. We have David Robison here to give you an update on what he's found. We've also received a letter from them. We received it today. It's dated February 20th, but we received that letter today from the Art Center Task Force, giving us their status.

MR. ARNTZEN: Commissioner Barnes, if I could, I got a briefing from David yesterday, along with Larry. And our preferred course of action was to have a chance to noodle on this a little bit more and bring this issue to you two weeks from now. But we just received this letter from the Art Center Task Force, and I think that kind of changes our view of this. One of the things I wanted to avoid was to have a letter dated February 20th. So it's important for the Art Center Task Force to get a letter out. Five days have already gone by from the date of the letter to when we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

received it. So I wanted to be cognizant of the information that the task force wanted to share with us.

So it's a little bit of a rush to put it on the agenda. Like I said, I think we might have had a better chance to prepare, but things are fast moving. So I wanted to get this in front of you today. So the presentation that you're going to hear might be a little bit unrehearsed, so to speak. I mean, I'm on the phone with David right around lunch hour, asking if he can get his nice clothes on and come on down and talk with the Commission. We were thinking that we could do this two weeks from now.

So I'm not sure we get to a decision point with this, but I want David to have unfettered access to the Commission and the public to kind of describe, in his own words, where he thinks we're at. And then maybe we could ask the Commission if you think you're at a decision point, or if we would take a little bit more time with this. again, kind of a fun thing, because we can react quickly to something that came in today. So you'll get kind of the unvarnished review of this matter.

MR. BARNES: Okay. And just for the record, on our agenda, we're still under Vista Field, and this would be the task status update? Is that --

MR. PETERSON: That is correct.

MR. BARNES: Okay. Welcome, Mr. Robison.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

always great to see you. Thanks for being here.

Thank you very much. It's always MR. ROBISON: good to see you, Commissioners. And of course, I appreciate the opportunity.

As Tim alluded to, you know, we only got this letter today. But we've been meeting with the task force. Whether this letter was generated because of our meeting with the tasks force or generated in advance, knowing this meeting was coming forth, I really don't know. But the letter is, in fact, appropriate, quite frankly.

So I'm going to talk a little bit about what our role and goal was. To start with, we quickly put this slide slow together for you to give a lot of history associated with this. So we're going to talk about where we've been, where we are, and of course, from there, some recommendations for where to go.

So a little bit of history. In 2014, charrette concept relocation of the corporate hangar, kind of the bonus to the site, and the FBO on buildings for BAC. August 2015, an off-site roadway extension for down hundred scissors. You know, kind of history there. It was 2017, the Art Task Force came consultants. LMN released the Art Walk concept for the building recess from the street.

End of March 2018, you can see revised at central area to accommodate the Art Center Task Force. And so just



kind of a little bit -- that was about the first time they really started committing to it. A letter of intent was executed March 28th, 2017. And that letter of intent acknowledged that there would be a closing on the properties by March 28th, 2019. The Art Center Task Force must show 75 percent funding, secured or committed, and that they complete reports for both feasibility of purchase and use. And of course, that letter of intent really has expired as of March 31st, 2019.

I want to talk a little bit about that timeline that you're looking at there. Part of the overall plan or associated work with Vista Field, Commissioners noted long ago that you would build this from your resources, that this wasn't going to be a tax or a levy or a bond associated with developing this particular property. And so the letter of intent essentially said they would get their monies and funding to provide the abilities to build this.

Now, what are the statuses associated with what the Art Center Task Force is doing today? Well, they have since responded to an RFQ for the old City of Richland City Hall site. The City of Richland's facts sheets noted, "Seeking mixed use for the site," and so they actually reached out and said, hey, how about considering our facilities on your properties, City of Richland.

They discussed a site near the reach for the



Richland Public Facilities District. And they are still in conversations with them and have said that they essentially would hope in the early stages of getting some sort of letter or agreements in place with them.

They also have been considering the Three Rivers

Campus and didn't elaborate much on that. They did indicate
they still have significant support, mid Columbia Symphony,

Valley Master Singers, and others interested in moving the
project forward. But it was clearly stated to me that they
do not want to close the doors on Vista Field, but unlikely
to revisit in the foreseeable future.

Funding Status. ACTF goal is to work with any site if funding is available, anticipate tax or bonding issue by a municipality in order to complete the project as envisioned. Now, if you read the letter that they currently have sent to you, they allude to some other things associated with the property. About the fourth or fifth paragraph down, they essentially talk about the facts that, thus far, they do not have the money, nor do they have the abilities to raise the money unless the municipality steps up with a tax initiative.

So what are the recommended next steps? You are embarking on the development of Vista Field, and now, really soon, in the next year, as Larry pointed out, sales of this property and moving forward with investors for people who

want to develop this property. And I don't see that the ACTF or its efforts are coming back to Vista Field, unless the Port or Commissioners decide that they want to assist them by putting a municipality or bond in place or raise taxes.

So what are our recommendations? Based on the letter you received today and my read of that letter, my recommendation would be, essentially, that you would thank them for their efforts, appreciate the fact that they've done their best and essentially put closing to the loop associated with the relationship and move forward. Either you're not willing to do a tax or are willing to do a tax for them in that letter, but release the property to the Port staff to market to other developers. And that is our recommendation or our opinion, based on what we've learned.

This was very quickly put together today, as Tim alluded to. The letter, you know, pretty much talks about some other things related to the property, which I'll let Larry jump in a little bit, if he'd care to. But I will simply say this about their take on that. In the early stages of this project, you can modify those puzzle pieces anyway you like to fit this property. It's still viable, if they have the resources and the Commission decided to do so.

Any questions? That was very quickly presented to you.



```
1
             MR. BARNES: Questions of David?
 2
             MR. NOVAKOVICH: Just a question. This letter was
 3
   dated February 20th. Was this letter dated before or after
 4
   you talked to them?
 5
             MR. ROBISON: This letter is dated after I talked
 6
   to them.
 7
             MR. NOVAKOVICH: After you talked to them.
             MR. ROBISON: Or excuse me, before.
 8
                                                   It's February
 9
   20th is what they dated it. But I met with them -- I'd have
10
   to look at my calendar, but I met with them about 10 days
11
   ago.
12
             MR. NOVAKOVICH: Okay.
13
             MR. ROBISON: So it might have been just a day or
   two after I met with them.
14 l
15
             MR. BARNES: Other questions? Comments?
16
             Thank you.
17
             MR. ROBISON: Larry, do you want to address
18 l
   anything on the property?
19
             MR. PETERSON: Could you put up the other
20
   PowerPoint.
21
             MR. ROBISON: Thank you. And I'm certainly going
   to say here if you have other questions.
23
             MR. PETERSON: The letter really touched on two
24
          First, the Vista Field site is no longer viable
   items.
   because you moved the roads around on us. And secondly, it
```

says it really only works if we can find an entity that will bring \$20 million of public funds to the table. That's a policy question on the funding and the deviation from the 2014 public process where we heard about funded private sector and no new taxes for Vista Field.

The one that I'd like to address is site specific, because that falls into my camp and the staff's camp. And to let the Commission hear from the staff's perspective, the deal that you cut with the art center folks was we would reserve them a prime spot across from the future park that would meet their needs, approximately two to two and a quarter acres. That occurred.

What the letter identified very quickly was the site that -- this was the original layout, and this was the configuration at that time that had all kinds of parking to the south and buildings set back quite a ways so we could have what was called liner buildings, as we were concerned about what the facade of the building might be. It might be a blank wall, so the idea of pushing the building back to somewhat hide it. And then the letter indicated taking that exact same rendering and showing, here's your current alignment. You cut off all my parking in the back; you even cut off a portion of the building. That's not accurate.

That's not an accurate reflection.

What happened -- this is the site identified, in



fact, on DPZ's generated rendering that created this. The staff went and met with the DPZ folks. Liz then sent in Michael Morris to refine this central area. And the concern of housing initially adjacent UPS, the variation was to move the parking to south of this facility down along the fence line, so it's about 175 feet. There are public passageways through the buildings. This was thought that this could be employee or performer parking, where the customer or visitors still have parking around the facilities. So it was effectively just moving the parking to the south, and a slight variation, moving the building to the north to allow the exact footprint that the art center folks had been talking about for years.

We met with them. And here's kind of a graphic -this was the concept of, move the parking down along by UPS
and slide the building to the north. This was following the
meeting in New Orleans with the DPZ folks in May, the
renderings that were shared with the entire public on April
19th, 2018, before the commencement of the construction
documents was directed.

Then in the summer of '18, from July through

November, a series of meetings were held with the Art Center

Task Force folks, myself, some of our design professionals

and the LMN Architecture team, the Art Center Task Force

consulting team, to revise a layout. This was actually

crafted by Port staff, the idea of moving that exact footprint to the north, and even received a response from -"The Art Center Task Force facilities committee met last night and very much liked your idea of reducing the size and extent of the liner buildings and moving this forward.".

This, as of April -- excuse me, as of November of 2018, we thought we had a site that was accepted -- more than thought, we believe we had a site that was acceptable and a layout that was acceptable to the Art Center Task Force folks. We continued and proceeded with designing the roads and the alleys to match this, still reserving the site and having both parking onsite and south by UPS. So we believed we were acting in good faith, that the site that we were working towards creating still met the needs of the Art Center. So I was stunned and actually hurt to see that we didn't deliver on the site that we had committed or promised to.

MR. BARNES: Yeah, Larry, I hear everything you're saying. I think everything you say is well taken. And I think it's understood. If the parking around this facility was what was holding up the development or the -- you know, the progress of the development of this facility, if it was a minor parking layout, I have every confidence that we could resolve something like that in fairly short order. I think the primary message that I'm receiving here that says

that they concluded that there is insufficient private funding capacity in the Tri-Cities to support a project of this size, and they need supplemental funding of \$20 million from a public entity.

If they had the funding, if they had the resources, if they had the ability to build this, I have every confidence that our staff could work with them on a parking issue and resolve that. I just don't believe that a parking issue -- at least from where I sit -- I don't see that that's a major issue.

MR. ROBISON: Thank you, Commissioner. You hit the nail on the head. And that is why we tried to put this together so quickly today, because the letter, in a sense, is incorrect in its statement. And we thought it was important that we address this and not let it sit for another week or two and try to organize something with more continuity. So Larry has his slides; I have my slides. Bottom line is, we wanted to make sure you got this information the very moment we had it. So I apologize that it isn't more polished.

But the fact of the matter is, the bottom line, they don't have the money. We need to put this property out there and let staff get this property back out on the market and get it ready and prepared, as they're putting all the other properties together.



MR. BARNES: Yeah. Well, I mean, this is fast moving. The LOI has been expired now for --

MR. ROBISON: Two years come this March.

MR. BARNES: Yeah. So --

MR. ROBISON: One year. Excuse me.

MR. BARNES: Yeah, one year come this March. And so I don't know that two weeks is a huge difference in this time frame. You know, I can't speak for my other two commissioners, but it's great to have this sort of fast breaking news, to have it as soon as you have it. And I really appreciate the effort that you and Larry went through to pull some information together to give us a really accurate picture of where we are.

Personally, I'd like to see this on the next agenda, if we're going to take some formal action. We have some time to think about it or whatever. I don't know that it needs much time. But you know, enough time has transpired since the expiration of the LOI, I don't know that two weeks really matters. We're not ready to market this property in two weeks in any event. But do my fellow commissioners have any feelings about this?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Yeah, let's do that, because -- I would really like to see them locate there. I mean, I think they tried. But there comes a point where you've got to either fish or cut bait, and the fact that, you know,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Clerks Note: \$20,000,000

raising \$2 million, I don't think this Commission or this board needs to take a look at raising taxes. I think we declared quite loudly that we weren't going to do that to develop Vista Field. If we were to do that just for one entity, I think we'd really be criticized pretty heavily.

So yeah, I totally agree. Let's put this on the

February 25, 2020

MR. BARNES: Commissioner Moak?

agenda to take some formal action.

MR. MOAK: Yeah. I mean, we're not the regional or the Kennewick Public Facility District. That's the district that was created -- the districts that were created to build major public facilities, regional public facilities such as this. You know, I've supported a performing arts center in Richland and Pasco and now in Kennewick. I'm was to support a regional public arts district or public art center somewhere, because I think this community needs it and continues to need it, no matter where it is. And I will personally be supportive of that. I was hoping it would be at Vista Field. I think there were a lot of discussions about the synergy of Vista Field and the art center that would have been absolutely phenomenal. But we weren't going to give them the \$20 million.

They're not able to raise it. And so, you know, they do need a public entity who has the capacity to do that and to convince the public that that's something that could



be done. And that hasn't happened. We can't wait, I don't think -- I mean, this is a key piece to -- that's why we wanted had art center there, because it was such a center piece to Vista Field. And when we're going out and trying to market these other properties and to know what is that center piece going to be, and how is that going to effect everything else -- so I mean, I think the sooner we move on and -- I mean, the Art Center Task Force has worked very hard over the last several years, I think, and you know, to have a synergy that they could convince Kennewick or Pasco or Richland or someone to help them.

But for our sake, we do need to move forward. And I think, in two weeks, I think we need to give staff very clear direction that way.

MR. BARNES: So agenda item for two weeks? Is that all right, Tim?

MR. ARNTZEN: Absolutely. Now, I have a question from our media department. If we are fielding comments from the public about this, would we have the ability to discuss, essentially, the information that was discussed in this meeting, such as we believe that -- we take exception with the clause in the letter that says that the parcel is too small. Are we able to advance that as our viewpoint?

MR. MOAK: I mean, there never has been any -- I mean, nobody's come and talked to this Commission and said,



Don't do what we did with this. I mean, there have been plenty of opportunities since -- you know, that they could have done that. So I mean, I think that if that's the case, then I think we should be laying out the same case that we have made, in my mind.

I wouldn't want to harp on -- I mean, you know, if media comes in, they're going to talk about that, I'm sure. But I mean, the fact is they don't have the \$20 million. And we've got an important piece of property that we need to market. I mean, if I thought that I could raise the \$20 million without that for them, I'd say, well, yeah, let's reserve this for them. I don't think they're anywhere close to getting a city or whatever for a public facilities zone. I mean, I think that's the major reason why they're not going to be there, and I would focus on that.

MR. BARNES: Commissioner Novakovich?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Tim, I think the simple answer to your question is yes. I think we need to defend our position in that what they're claiming in this letter is not accurate. I think that's what you were looking for.

MR. ARNTZEN: Right. And obviously, we went to be very professional in our response. I'm not going to instruct Tana to prepare a media report. But if we are asked, I think what I'd like to do is refer it to Tana, who is our public relations person, and we can talk about it in

a fairly accurate way.

I understand where the Commission is coming from. We genuinely hoped this project would work. And who knows? Sometimes when a deal doesn't come together today, maybe it comes together tomorrow. So I think we maintain a friendly relationship, because there's bound to be other things that might happen. This may not be the end of the line for our paths to cross.

MR. MOAK: I mean, it could be a Phase 7, or whatever, could it not be, that, you know, on Kellogg Street or whatever. Could that not be, if push came to shove at some point?

MR. ARNTZEN: Well, I don't want to respond for the planner, but for my vision, I think that if there's a great idea -- and connect with respect to the parking. If it's just about the parking, we can find you different parking. I think if the issue is, hey, we have funding now, I think this staff would jump on this and say, Okay, let's see if we can find a piece of property, even if we have to kind of move the curtain a little bit. We'll take the Commission and say, Can we find you some property. I'm telling you, this staff would look at that. We wouldn't close the door. And I'd say, Hey, I really have a great idea I'd like to take to the Commission.

MR. BARNES: That would be the attitude I'd want



the staff to take. Thank you.

MR. MOAK: I agree.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. Thank you, David. Thank you, Larry.

Clerk's Note: David Robison

MR. PETERSON: Thank you very much for your time today.

MR. BARNES: All right. Next item on our agenda, moving on here, Columbia Gardens Urban Wine and Artisan Village update. Amber?

MS. HANCHETTE: Yes, thank you, Commissioners. So I would just like to give you an update on the tasting room building that is under construction, finished construction, actually, and let you know that both of the tenants are working very, very hard to get open in the next couple of weeks. Permitting is where they're at right now, with the Liquor Control Board. We've just got a few little punch list items still outstanding on the construction site. But as far as the Liquor Control Board goes, they are really working diligently on that, as well as their health department requirements.

Then as far as what I have for you on the screen,
I would like to give Commissioners an update on our food
truck pilot program that we started last year. And I want
to just mention that I have no graph -- I have no charts, I
have no percentages. So -- sorry. I think you've had

enough of that today.

When we started our food truck pilot program, it was almost a year ago. So we kicked off in the spring of 2019, and our first food truck to roll in was Swampy's Barbeque. Ron was very excited and just chomping at the bit to get in, and he brought with him a custom barbeque that he also had made here by a local downtown weld company. So we moved in that first food truck, and then we had two more businesses that followed, the Chow Wagon, with the Mediterranean food, and then Frost Me Sweet Mobile Desserts, with their cupcake trailer. Both very, very excited to be part of Columbia Gardens and the Food Truck Plaza.

And given that we had started our Food Truck
Plaza, we already had a dessert in that area of Columbia
Gardens. But we also had another dessert business that
really wanted to be part of the downtown Kennewick
waterfront. So as an experiment, we put Rollin' Ice Cream
on Clover Island over by the White House plaza. And go
figure, that ice cream at the top of the boat ramp in the
summertime was a huge hit. She did very well in that
location, loved that location. She promoted it like heck,
that she was on Clover Island at the lighthouse.

This is an example of a FaceBook post that she had showing the lighthouse through the mother of reinvention.

So she just did a ton of marketing that her location was



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

down here. And people were down here all the time taking advantage of her hours. Her hours were more in the evenings and the weekends, because that's when families can come out and enjoy ice cream and kind of wander around the island.

Some that turned out to be kind of fun, something that we weren't anticipating. We weren't really set up, from an infrastructure standpoint, to have her down there, so we made sure she had some power and some picnic tables that we picked up at Home Depot, and she had her own umbrellas and some cute little plastic chairs, and she made it work to the point where she wants to come back again this summer and be on the island again.

So when I say there are no percentages, no charts, no graphs, really, these are lessons learned and observations that are very personal to me, because I was working the program very hard for the last year. And so I wanted to just kind of run through a few of these lessons that I observed.

The mobile food businesses in our community have to make money. That's their number one goal. You know, they're small businesses. They're single operators. might have a family member working with them. They might have some minimum wage folks working for them. Most of the times they work that truck themselves because it's very costly for labor otherwise. You know, it eats up their

profit.

So where are they able to make their money? Well, they make money off of catering, take their truck to a catering event. They're given a guaranteed fee. They know how many people are going to be there, so they've got a guaranteed income. That's one of their revenue streams. Other would be public events, wherever there is a large group of people. Usually they have to pay a fee to be there. But that would be big things, like boat races and the fair. But then we have seasonal events, Sunset at South Ridge. We have Food Truck Friday. We have Live at 5:00 in Richland. So there are a lot of different public events where they know there's going to be a large concentration of people spending money on food.

Another way they make money is on a set location. There are folks in different stages of their business. If you're a new business operator, maybe you need to go to those public events. Maybe you need to move around a little bit to get your name out there, get the word out, let people know who you are, and get familiar with your food. There are other operators who have been there, done that, or they've got more than one mobile food business, and they want a set location. For instance, Swampy's, he can operate his catering out of his truck, but still leave his truck there because he can take food elsewhere in other vehicles.



There are other set locations in the Tri-Cities.

North Richland has a big weekday food lunch crowd. So a lot of those mobile businesses will go out to North Richland on weekdays. Business parking lots, you see around town where a food truck will be set up in a business parking lot, just kind of a random location. A lot of times they don't charge them to be there. We also see that seasonally, the city parks, every city has a concession program. So food trucks can do a lot of those city park areas where there are kids playing in the park, doing the playgrounds.

They also can go to the wineries, sporting events, and some of the seasonal bazaars. Wineries right now are really looking for food trucks, because they don't oftentimes have a restaurant.

Some of the other observations that I've found during this first year of our pilot program, with the food truck owners, is that on a set location — set location meaning, like, Columbia Gardens — those businesses that were the most successful, Columbia Gardens or White House Plaza, those businesses were very active and extremely aggressive on their social media presence. They were posting every day. They have pictures. They have videos. They tell you what their hours of operation are going to be, whether it's that day or all week. They tell you what the menu is, and then they always have a consistent message. My



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

message is, I am a barbeque guy, I am an ice cream truck, you know, I'm not sharing memes of puppy pictures on my FaceBook page. I'm giving you a consistent message.

Also, one of my observations is that their owners are very excited. And they're engaged with their customer. They appreciate their customer. They're out there talking. They're outside of that food truck. They are just mixing and mingling. And they become the personality.

Also, their menu is varied. They don't have a single item. With ice cream, it is seasonal, but it's very popular in the summertime. Rollin' has also added other menu items. She sees the need to diversify her menu because if she wants to be open year round, she needs do that. there's varied menus, as well as their operations are pretty reliable. You can go on and you can find out that they're not going to be closed for three months because they're, you know, vacationing in Mexico or something.

The food quality is very high, and they have something unique to offer. So those are some of the observations that I made during this last year with those really successful set locations, why people are coming to see them and why they will drive across town to enjoy their food.

And what's kind of interesting is they really support each other. The ones who are very successful don't

look at that other food truck operator as competition. If we're all doing well and we're all supporting each other, we're all going to benefit from this. We're going to have a great reputation.

Location. So whether our location is on Clover Island -- which is a great location already. We've got the boating traffic, we're got the lighthouse plaza, we've got things do in the summer time. Fabulous location. Our Columbia Gardens location. Downtown Kennewick, in general, has a thriving weekday working population. You can go into our Food Truck Plaza and see all kind of work trucks. I see Twin City Metal work trucks, I see landscaping work trucks, I see all kind of work trucks. There are people walking across at the hawk from other businesses to come eat at the Food Truck Plaza. So we have a thriving, daytime weekday population for downtown.

Columbia Gardens, specific, and Port amenities are very attractive to the food truck operators, because we have a lot of the utility infrastructure that they need, the water, sewer, garbage, and electricity we hae down there.

And everybody I've talked to, that really pulls them in.

That's very interesting to them.

One of our location challenges is that we just need time to mature. We need our Food Truck Plaza to be given some time to build our community recognition that it's

down here. And that's just going to take -- it's not going to happen over night. So it's going to take a little bit of time to build. It's going to get its own personlity, its own character, and people are going to want to come down here for those reasons. Plus, we've got more to offer. With those constructions coming to completion, the tasting rooms are going to be open here, our existing wineries are open, and there's a lot of special events.

From just a management and operations of the food truck is we started out a little stricter. We have -- we're a government entity, so we have rules and regulations, and we have policies we need to follow. So we're pretty strict. Telling people what days we want you to be open, what hours of operation, you can take so many days off in a year. But there are just so many variables, so many variables. These are small businesses.

open Monday through Friday, 11:00 to 2:00, when she does better business at night, it seems unreasonable. So it requires a little more flexibility. So that's something that I'm moving toward, is more of a day rate for some of these folks, as opposed to putting them into some kind of a lease. Because they are looking at -- either they're very mobile, or they're semi-mobile.

Operating a food truck program is very hands-on



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

and very labor intensive. I'm just going to throw that in There's six to 10 businesses that you're still managing. But we are focused on fostering small business. And every time I talk to one of these food truck operators, they're really worried about, Well, you know, are you going to that I can make me do this, are you going to make me do that? And my response is always to them, We want you to be successful. So the Port is here to foster small business and help you be successful. So we don't want you to go out of business because you couldn't meet the expectations of the time. We'll work with you.

So the next chapter, real quick, like I mentioned, Rollin' Ice Cream would like to return to Lighthouse Plaza this summer. The tasting rooms are going to be open. That's going to drive more traffic. I've had great response so far to new food truck operators that would like to come in for 2020, and we will take a little bit more flexible of an approach. We've got several spaces. We can accommodate people at different hours and different days. We're working on the shade structure for the seating area with some decorative lighting. We've got some permanent picnic tables to install. And we will have another -- a public restroom container down there. And then signage. Signage is going to be huge. So we'll be really working on getting some signage put down there.



The last thing I wanted to mention was events. The Commission supported a vibrancy program. So kickstarting, you know, you think about kickstarting something, getting people recognizing what's going on. We need the energy, the enthusiasm and getting the word out there. So the current tenants, Bartholomew and Menorca, took advantage of our vibrancy program to where you to could get a reimbursement on some of your advertising.

So in 2019, we had probably -- there is an application process. We had probably less than \$3,000 that we allocated in reimbursements. We have already approved over \$2,000 worth of reimbursements for this year, and we're not even into March yet. So it's starting to get some momentum. They understand the process, because there is, there's an application and you have to do a few little things. But they're already starting to do more events. So those events will bring people in in as well.

Also, I wanted to mention that the Southeast
Washington Food Truck Association, which has led -- I think
the organized started founding member was Ron with Swampy's
-- they are putting on a food truck showcase in Columbia
Gardens on Saturday, March 21st. So you buy a ticket for
\$20 bucks and you get to go around to these different trucks
and food operators that he has already -- they're already
organizing among themselves. We are helping to promote it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through the vibrancy program. But this is something that's being done independent of the Port, with the support of the Port through the location. But it's actually very exciting. It's something that's very independent, hoping it will draw a lot of people down here, and then also give the food truck operators more exposure to Columbia Gardens and what they could possibly do down here as well.

So anyway, that was not as fast as I had expected to be, but pretty close. So if if you have any questions, I'm happy to answer them.

MR. BARNES: Amber, thank you very much. enthusiasm is very clear about this, and something the Port of Kennewick should be very proud of.

Questions or comments for Amber? Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yes. Thank you very much. appreciate that. I know Swampy's is down there daily, I Did the other -- I mean, are the rest of them, were think. they seasonable and you hire them for -- or you have a different process than for 2020? Or what is that process in terms of how -- who's going to be down there?

MS. HANCHETTE: So we still have an application process. And we've reached out to a number of food truck operators, some that have approached the Port first, and then some who were there last year. For instance, I've got

several food truck operators that would be brand new. Or maybe they have a cart or a trailer, or they have even just a table and a tent. We've got the area that we can do, you know, tables and tents in moderation.

So Frost Me Sweet, they didn't see huge sales. But you sell a \$3 cupcake, it takes a lot of cupcakes to cover your cost. Their social media presence for their mobile wasn't as strong as maybe some of their other stuff. But in fact, I just got an email from Megan today. She was like, Yeah, we're really interesting in coming back. I'll let you know, once I hire my food truck person for the season, what dates we would like to come back to Columbia Gardens. They didn't leave and say, I'm never coming back. They left and said, I think maybe the timing's a little off for us, because when they have those tasting rooms, they really see that traffic, and that's kind of more -- their sales will come from that.

It's just going to be a variety. There's a process. You need to fill out an application, and --

MR. MOAK: When does the season really begin?

MS. HANCHETTE: You know, for most of them, March. That's what I'm finding. If they are seasonal -- I have an Asian fusion. He wants to start in March. We have another food vendor in the Tri-Cities that is getting another truck. So they would like a set location. So it's going to be kind

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

of semi-permanent for them. But I don't want to give somebody a permanent spot in case it doesn't work out for So you know, we're working on a flexible basis right now. MR. MOAK: Thank you very much. I do appreciate that. Thank you. Questions or comments? MR. BARNES: Amber, again, thank you very much. It's great work. Okay. The next item on our agenda, we have Task

Status Update at Columbia Gardens. Larry?

MR. PETERSON: Due to the time and the fact that none of the tasks I was going to give you an update on are pressing, if acceptable, I'll share those with you in two weeks.

MR. MOAK: That's fine with me.

MR. BARNES: All right. Thank you. Then Tana, Phase 2, Ribbon Cutting Ceremony, please?

MS. BADER IGLIMA: I just wanted to let everybody know that we have set a date and time for welcoming the two new winery tenants to Columbia Gardens and really celebrating with our partners who helped invest in that Phase 2, the road, the utility, the landscaping, the art work, the parking lots, all of the things that went into Phase 2A and 2B, which is with the new building, which everybody gets excited about, Oh, yeah, the wineries.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

there's a lot of back story and a lot of partners.

So we've set March 27th, which is a Friday, at 2:30 p.m. The wineries will be participating, and the event will roll out much like it did when we celebrated the Bartholomew and Menorca Winery. But this time we'll have four wineries open celebrating two new tenants and the Food Truck Plaza as well. So I have flyers out front, if anybody from the public wants to grab them. We'll also be doing some inserts in the chamber news letter and getting some other messages out to encourage people to attend. So mark your calendars.

MR. BARNES: Thank you very much. That's a date to mark on the calendar and a date to look forward to.

> MS. BADER IGLIMA: Yeah.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. Okay. Next item, please. Posting Commission Meeting Audio Update. Bridgette?

MS. SCOTT: Okay. Another brief update for you. Since I gave some information at the last meeting, I have continued to research and work with our IT consultant in checking out different software companies. In addition, Commissioner Moak sent me an email that had a link to a newspaper article about Grays Harbor County Commissioners posting their audio online. So I did some research on that today and discovered that it is actually one of the companies that we're looking at using. So that was good to see, too.

So on Friday, I will be meeting with our IT consultant. We'll be doing demos, reviewing, and hopefully selecting the software that we can start implementing.

MR. BARNES: Great. Good news. Thank you for all your work in that area.

MR. ARNTZEN: Commissioner Barnes, if I could?

MR. BARNES: Yes.

MR. ARNTZEN: Do we think that the progress we've made to this point in the method of us reporting back, is that satisfactory up to this point for the Commission?

MR. BARNES: Yeah, I think so. I think we've had an update on this each of the last two meetings.

MR. ARNTZEN: I just want to make sure that we're progressing. I will share information as we get it with you. And again, our goal, I think, is the same as your goal. We'd like to get this project accomplished and checked off our list. I just wanted to make sure that the Commission is comfortable with the approach that we're taking.

MR. BARNES: Thank you.

MR. ARNTZEN: Thank you.

MR. BARNES: Yes. Okay. The next item on our agenda, we have a Congressman Newhouse update. Commissioner Novakovich?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Yeah, considering the time, I'll just be real brief. I attended a meeting that Congressman Newhouse had regarding Hanford and the issues and, basically, what about Hanford after. Well, it turned into more of a discussion about the dams and some really good information that Franklin PUD and Benton PUD responded, and just to let people know that right now, there's probably less than a 5 percent chance of blackouts with the dams. With the dams to be removed, there's probably a 25 percent chance. The dams provide 70 percent of our electrical power. Wind provides about 3 percent.

They also talked about the reconveyance and the fact that there would be an article or an op-ed talking about the reconveyance. I stressed the fact about the tribes, and they said, yes, the tribes would be included. And I pointed out the fact that when the presentation was first done to us, we asked the question of the presenters on the reconveyance, you know, have you talked to the tribes. Their answer was yes. Very shortly thereafter, we had a meeting in Pendleton with the tribes, and we asked them had they heard about the reconveyance, and they said, no, they hadn't heard about it. So I wanted to stress that that was happening.

And then the other thing, I talked to Josh Lozano, who's Congressman Newhouse's staff person of the 1135



project and the fact that we had the A&E funded and under
way, but the fact that the construction money had been
allocated elsewhere. And he said, oh, that's not good, and
he'd keep his eye on it. And they were going to request
that they receive all reports on it.
he'd keep his eye on it. And they were going to request

So overall, it was a pretty good meeting. It didn't start out to be exactly what what they wanted, but it was still a pretty good meeting attended by a lot of people. And of course, our executive -- friendly executive director from the Port of Benton pretty much dominated the meeting.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. Questions of Commissioner Novakovich?

All right, the next item on our agenda,

Commissioner Meetings, formal and informal meetings with

groups or individuals. Commissioner Moak?

MR. MOAK: Yes. I attended the Kennewick Man and Woman of the Year banquet last night, and also, a Benton Franklin Walla Walla Good Roads and Transportation Association meeting where we heard from representatives from transit.

Thank you.

MR. BARNES: And I have no meetings to report.

Commissioner Novakovich?

MR. NOVAKOVICH: I had several meetings with the Council of Government and our Port turning on bylaws for the

1	Council of Government. I attended the economic forum
2	luncheon at Three Rivers, and then had attended the
3	Kennewick Man and Woman of the Year banquet last night.
4	MR. BARNES: Okay. Thank you. Our next item on
5	the agenda, non-scheduled items. Let's start over with
6	Amber, please. Non-scheduled?
7	MS. HANCHETTE: I have nothing. Thank you.
8	MR. BARNES: Nick?
9	MR. KOOIKER: Nothing.
10	MR. BARNES: Larry?
11	MR. PETERSON: Nothing.
12	MR. BARNES: Luinda?
13	MS. LUKE: I have nothing this afternoon. Thank
14	you, Commissioners.
15	MR. BARNES: Thank you. Tim?
16	MR. ARNTZEN: Well, unfortunately, I do have one
17	that might take a few moments. Would you like me to get
18	into it now? Or do we work our way through and then come
19	back?
20	MR. BARNES: Let's do it now.
21	MR. ARNTZEN: Okay. I will start in with the
22	information that I have, which isn't a whole lot. I
23	received a phone call a few days ago from the executive
24	director of the CEO of the Port of Whitman County, Joe
25	Poire, and he asked me if I would present a resolution to

the Port Commission for signature related to saving the dams. And I believe the resolution was going to go to our governor.

I have known Joe for quite some time. He's a good friend of mine. But it was almost presented like, bring this up, get it signed, and get it back to me, and I said, Well, wait a second. I said, I can't spook for the Port Commission. And I actually found the Port Commission to want to talk about issues and maybe discuss it. And I said, I would not hazard to guess whether they would support it or not, but I might point out out to you that there would likely be some discussion. And my good friend Joe said, Oh, don't even want any discussion. I mean, this thing is moving ahead. So more or less, let's just drop it.

Then I think our good friend, Commissioner

Kammerzall, it's my understanding that maybe he called

Commissioner Novakovich -- I don't know if he attemped to

contact others.

MR. NOVAKOVICH: Several times.

MR. ARNTZEN: But I believe it was yesterday, I'm coming back from something, and there's a little old fashioned phone note of, Commissioner Kammerzall called you and he needs you to call him back. And I'm thinking to myself, Well, wait a second, I don't call Commissioners. I would go back with the executives. But as I'm reading the



note, I think it was Lisa that comes in, you know, skids up, Commissioner Kammerzall's on line 1. He's really wanting to talk to you. So I talked with him and I said, you know, just a little bit, because I talked to Joe about it, and you know, I typically report back to executives, not to Commissioners. But he started out with, I don't see it on your agenda. And I said, Right, it's not on the agenda.

So here we are. And I don't take a lot of amusement from this, but it is just kind of an interesting situation, because we get a lot of people involved on an issue that I do think needs to go to the Commission. I can't say, Sure, I'll write you a letter, or what have you. So I wanted to have a chance to discuss this with you.

As I mentioned, and around the office, Tana reminds me that things that we do that might pertain to resource issues that are important to the tribe, pursuant to our MOU that we have with the tribe, we probably need to run these by the tribe. And Commissioner Kammerzall seemed to know about that, and he said, Well, you have to go get approval from the tribe. I said, No, we don't seek approval from the tribe. But pursuant to our MOU, we do at least call them. I said, At a minimum, it would be manager to manager on the phone about this. I said, From there, it doesn't prescribe the methodology.

One of the things that I've learned in dealing



with the tribes is you have to be very patient. A very simple question sometimes takes you a significant length of time to route through. One of the things, in my opinion, that our culture doesn't understand about their culture, we like to come in and say, I need an answer now. From my experience, their culture doesn't exactly work that way. So I'm not sure how we would handle this. But if somebody says, I need an immediate answer from the tribes, I might suggest the answer is no.

So I'll stop right now. And I do have a related one on this. Somebody from the Franklin PUD wants us to send a representative to a press conference, a joint press conference on March 2nd.

So again, I think we've all been here before where we get limited information put up in front of us, and we're asked to -- I shouldn't say rubber stamp -- but we're asked to agree to an issue that is portrayed as a community wide project, you know, that the entire community supports. So I've put the brakes on this.

And then with many things -- I do appreciate Tana -- on many things that we do, I think the sensitivity to place a phone call to the tribes is a very important thing that I'm glad she reminds me not to forget. I haven't called the tribes on this, or anything. But these types of issues are the ones that I think the tribe has an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expectation that we will at least talk with them on.

So I'll pause for a moment.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. If I could comment on this. I, too, received a phone call from Commissioner Kammerzall asking if, you know, the Port of Kennewick might be willing to place an item on the agenda immediately in support of the dam's improvement. And I say, Well -- I said, you need to talk to Tim about getting it on the I didn't feel like I wanted to request it be on the agenda. agenda.

And then I called him back after I received email regarding the invitation from Franklin County and I saw the conversations there. So then I called him back. I left a message and I said, You know, anything like this would fall under our MOU with the tribes, where we would need, at a minimum, to collaborate, to communicate with them about this. This could not be something, in my opinion, the way I read the MOU, I don't think this would be something that could come to the Commission, single meaning, rubber stamped, unanimous approval, signature, and then back out the door. I think that our relationship with the tribe is much more important than that.

Any further comments on this issue? Commissioner Moak?

> MR. MOAK: Well, I would just say, I mean, Yeah.



I think we've been really -- I think that position that for some time in that I think we drafted a policy or procedure -- I mean, this is out of our wheelhouse, I think. And I think that a lot of people, and I think Port of Whitman, you know, because of the business they're in, they're much more affected by what the dams and whatever is. You know, I understand.

And Franklin PUD, I understand their position. I think, you know, my feeling is that the Port should get involved in things that the Port is directly involved in, you know, it has a direct impact on us, but that we're not going to be dragged into whether it's a good idea or not and, you know, into things just because everybody else is. I would prefer, not that because I'm in favor of taking down the dams, I just don't think that we should be having to sign every last thing that -- what the government does or what anybody else does, it's not going to be affected by whether the Port of Kennewick's signature is on a piece of paper.

And so my feeling is it's not our business.

MR. BARNES: Tim?

MR. ARNTZEN: Thank you. I think that gives me direction. And one of the other things I just wanted to say -- and I know the hour's getting late -- but when we have an agreement with the tribes, an agreement that very few other



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 l

24

25

entities have -- I think the City of Richland has one with the Umatillas, and the City of Portland, Oregon has one. can tell you, from my discussions with the tribes, they hold that document very sacred. It's a four or five page document. And you've all been to public meetings where the representative from the tribe will talk about Port of Kennewick.

I can tell you that those four or five pages are very meaningful to them. And this morning I was thinking about it, saying, Oh, my gosh, those four or five pages limit what we can do. But we knew that going into it. So if we want to brag about the four or five pages that we got that nobody else does, we also have to understand that it requires some things -- again, my own editorial.

So I believe what I've heard from the Commission is very consistent with the day that that document was signed and our view of that document as a very important document.

The other thing that I will tell you -- I didn't want to go too far here -- but when you sign a document with the tribes, they expect it to be honored.

MR. BARNES: Thank you. Okay. Continuing Nonscheduled. Tana?

MS. BADER IGLIMA: Yes, I do. I have just a One of the couple real quick questions of the Commission.

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things that has come up is the opportunity for the 1 Commission to have new Commissioners' photos done. It's 2 3 been a while. And I have checked with our photographer, and Mr. Bershears is available either prior to the March 10th or 4 5 prior to the March 24th Commission meeting, if the 6 Commission is available at that time. If that would work, I 7 can try and schedule. I wanted to find out if there was a preference on that, if you want to do something sooner 8 9 rather than later, or if you know now whether those dates --10 either of those dates would work for you. And it would take maybe half an hour, 45 minutes per Commissioner. 11

MR. MOAK: Whenever you're going to get a shave.

MR. BARNES: Whenever I find my razor. I don't know. I don't know that it's a priority. I guess if you want to book the later date, then --

MS. BADER IGLIMA: So I will work with the Commissioners to try and schedule something around March 24th, because I think all of you are typically in town for Commission meetings. That makes it easier if I can just book some appointments with Rich all at once prior to, but not all Commissioners together. So it would be separate Commissioners, different times, prior to the Commission meeting.

Thank you. The only other thing I wanted to remind everyone is that tomorrow is the Regional Chamber of



```
state of Ports luncheon. So Commissioner Barnes is going to
  1
  2
    be our presenter. I hope everybody has a chance to attend.
  3
     Thank you.
  4
               MR. BARNES: Thank you. Bridgette?
  5
               MS. SCOTT:
                           Nothing further, thank you.
               MR. BARNES: Thank you. You don't want to remind
Clerk's Note: PDC
  7
    me of the PEC deadline coming up?
               MS. SCOTT: I thought I'd wait until the next
  8
  9
    meeting.
 10
               MR. BARNES: All right. I know April 15th is
    coming. But thank you for the reminders.
 11
 12
               Lisa?
 13
               MS. SCHUMACHER: Nothing, thank you.
 14
               MR. BARNES: Commissioner Novakovich?
               MR. NOVAKOVICH: Yeah, I have one thing from WPPA
 15
     legislative committee. The local revitalization financing,
 16 l
 17
    House Bill 2804, is still considered necessary to implement
    the budget. Therefore, it's technically still alive. That's
 18
    all I have.
 19
 20
               MR. BARNES: Commissioner Moak?
               MR. MOAK: I have none.
 21
 22
               MR. BARNES: And I have nothing. That brings us
 23
    to our second and final opportunity for public comment.
 24
     Would anyone like to make a public comment?
 25
               There's no other business.
                                           I know we covered a
```



(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned.)



CERTIFICATE I, Ileia C. Perry, do hereby certify that I reported all proceedings adduced in the foregoing matter and that the foregoing transcript pages constitutes a full, true and accurate record of said proceedings to the best of my ability. I further certify that I am neither related to counsel or any party to the proceedings nor have any interest in the outcome of the proceedings. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 30th day of March, 2020. Ileia C. Perry